

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
HARRISON DIVISION

JAMES L. MCCRACKEN, JR.

PLAINTIFF

v.

CIVIL NO. 3:11-cv-03132-JRM

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner
Social Security Administration

DEFENDANT

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Plaintiff, James L. McCracken, Jr., brings this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), seeking judicial review of a decision of the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration (“Commissioner”) denying his application for disability insurance benefits pursuant to Title II of the Social Security Act. ECF No. 1. Defendant filed an answer to Plaintiff’s action on May 22, 2012, asserting that the findings of the Commissioner were supported by substantial evidence. ECF No. 7.

On July 23, 2012, the Commissioner, having changed positions, filed a motion requesting that Plaintiff’s case be remanded pursuant to "sentence four" of section 405(g) in order to conduct further administrative proceedings. ECF No. 10. Specifically, the Commissioner seeks remand to remove erroneous medical records and allow the Administrative Law Judge to further evaluate the medical evidence based on a corrected administrative record. *See* Def.’s Mot. Remand, 1-2.

The exclusive methods by which a district court may remand a social security case to the Commissioner are set forth in "sentence four" and "sentence six" of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g). A remand pursuant to "sentence six" is limited to two situations: where the Commissioner requests a remand before answering the complaint, or where the court orders the Commissioner to

consider new, material evidence that was for good cause not presented before the agency. The fourth sentence of the statute provides that "[t]he court shall have power to enter, upon the pleadings and transcript of the record, a judgment affirming, modifying, or reversing the decision of the Commissioner of Social Security, with or without remanding the cause for a rehearing." 42 U.S.C. § 405(g); *Shalala v. Schaefer*, 509 U.S. 292, 296, 113 S.Ct. 2625 (1993).

Here, the undersigned finds remand to allow the ALJ to further evaluate the evidence as addressed above, appropriate. Therefore, the Commissioner's motion is GRANTED and the case will be remanded to the Commissioner for further administrative action pursuant to sentence four of section 405(g).

IT IS SO ORDERED this 8th day of August 2012.

/s/ J. Marschewski

HONORABLE JAMES R. MARSCHEWSKI
CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE