## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HARRISON DIVISION

STUART G. ROBINSON

**PLAINTIFF** 

v.

CIVIL NO. 13-3095

CAROLYN W. COLVIN<sup>1</sup>, Commissioner Social Security Administration

**DEFENDANT** 

## MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Pending now before this Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"). ECF No. 15. The parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge to conduct any and all proceedings in this case, and pursuant to said authority, the Court issues this Order. ECF No. 7.

On January 27, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs under 28 U.S.C. § 2412, the Equal Access to Justice Act (hereinafter "EAJA") requesting \$1,228.06, representing a total of 6.00 attorney hours for work performed in 2013 and 2014 at a rate of \$155.00 per hour, 3.65 paralegal hours at an hourly rate of \$75.00, and \$24.31 in postage expenses. ECF No. 16-11. On February 26, 2015, the Defendant filed a response voicing no objections to Plaintiff's request for fees. ECF No. 17.

It is the opinion of the undersigned that the Plaintiff is entitled to a fee award in this case, as he is the prevailing party, the government's decision to deny benefits was not "substantially justified", the hourly rate requested for both attorney and paralegal hours does not exceed the CPI for either year in question, and the time asserted to have been spent in the representation of the Plaintiff before the district court is reasonable. *See Jackson v. Bowen*, 807 F.2d 127, 128 (8th Cir. 1986) (burden is on the Commissioner to show substantial justification for the government's denial

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Carolyn W. Colvin became the Social Security Commissioner on February 14, 2013. Pursuant to Rule 25(d)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Carolyn W. Colvin has been substituted for Commissioner Michael J. Astrue as the defendant in this suit.

of benefits); Johnson v. Sullivan, 919 F.2d 503 (8th Cir. 1990) (the hourly rate may be increased

when there is "uncontested proof of an increase in the cost of living sufficient to justify hourly

attorney's fees of more than \$75.00 an hour); and Allen v. Heckler, 588 F.Supp. 1247 (W.D.N.Y.

1984) (in determining reasonableness, court looks at time and labor required; the difficulty of

questions involved; the skill required to handle the problems presented; the attorney's experience,

ability, and reputation; the benefits resulting to the client from the services; the customary fee for

similar services; the contingency or certainty of compensation; the results obtained; and, the amount

involved). Accordingly, Plaintiff is entitled to an attorney's fee award under EAJA in the amount

of \$1,228.06.

Pursuant to Astrue v. Ratliff, 130 S.Ct. 2521, 2528 (2010), the EAJA fee award should be

made payable to Plaintiff. However, as a matter of practice, an EAJA fee made payable to Plaintiff

may properly be mailed to Plaintiff's counsel.

The parties should be reminded that the award herein under the EAJA will be taken into

account at such time as a reasonable fee is determined pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406, in order to

prevent double recovery by counsel for the Plaintiff.

IV. Conclusion:

Based upon the foregoing, the Court awards Plaintiff \$1,228.06 pursuant to the EAJA, 28

U.S.C. § 2412.

Dated this 17th day of February 2015.

|s| I. Marschewski

HON. JAMES R. MARSCHEWSKI

CHIEF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2