EX. E

	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	SHERMAN DIVISION
4	
5	
6	ERIC ALBRITTON] CASE NO. 6:08CV89
7	VS.] 9 AM, SEPTEMBER 14, 2009
8	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.] TYLER, TEXAS
9	
10	
11	REPORTER'S SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
12	
13	VOLUME 1 OF, PAGES 1 THROUGH 248
14	
15	TABLE OF CONTENTS, ^ 000
16	
17	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCHELL, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	DROCEEDINGS DEPORTED HIGHIS COMPUTEDIZED STENOTYDE
24	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED USING COMPUTERIZED STENOTYPE,
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.

132

AND HERE IS SOME OF THE THINGS THAT WE THINK THE 02:35PM EVIDENCE IS GOING TO SHOW IN THIS CASE. FIRST OF ALL, NO 02:35PM MR. ALBRITTON HAS SUFFERED NO MENTAL-ANGUISH DAMAGES, DAMAGES. 3 02:35PM AND THAT'S ALL HE'S CLAIMING IN THIS LAWSUIT. WE'LL TALK ABOUT 4 02:35PM THAT A LITTLE MORE IN A MINUTE. 5 02:35PM MR. HOLMES IS QUITE RIGHT. WE DO SAY THAT THE 6 02:35PM STATEMENTS ARE TRUE. ESN'S LOCAL COUNSEL CONVINCED THE CLERK 7 02:35PM TO ALTER THE DOCKET. YOU'LL GET TO SEE THE ACTUAL LANGUAGE IN 8 02:36PM A MINUTE, BUT THERE'S A CONCEPT IN THIS AREA OF THE LAW CALLED 02:36PM SELF-DEFAMATION, AND THAT OCCURS WHEN SOMEBODY, TYPICALLY A 02:36РМ 10 PLAINTIFF AND HIS LAWYER. TAKE THE ACTUAL LANGUAGE AND THEN 02:36РМ 11 THEY INTERPRET IT IN A WAY THAT THEY THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL IN 02:36РМ 12 THEIR LAWSUIT. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU HEARD MR. HOLMES SAY A NUMBER 02:36РМ 13 OF TIMES THAT WHAT MR. FRENKEL HAD DONE WAS TO ACCUSE 02:36РМ 14 MR. ALBRITTON AND THE CLERK OF FALSELY, CRIMINALLY CHANGING A 02:36РМ 15 DOCUMENT. I WROTE DOWN THE LANGUAGE HERE IN A MINUTE, AND 02:36РМ 16 WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT MORE. 02:36PM 17 THE THIRD THING WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS THAT MORE 02:36рм 18 PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT FRENKEL'S ARTICLES AS A RESULT OF THIS 02:36РМ 19 LAWSUIT THAN EVER READ THEM AT THE TIME. 02:36РМ 20 02:36РМ 21 NOW, THE FOURTH POINT WE WANT TO TALK ABOUT, ALBRITTON SELF-DEFAMED BY CLAIMING THAT HE WAS ACCUSED OF 02:36РМ 22 CRIME. LET THERE BE NO DOUBT THAT AS I STAND HERE IN FRONT OF 02:37РМ 23 YOU, CISCO IS TAKING FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE PATENT TROLL 02:37PM 24

TRACKER ARTICLES, EVEN THOUGH THE COMPANY DID NOT WRITE,

02:37PM 25

133

REVIEW, OR EDIT THE ARTICLES OR SEND THEM OUT TO ANYBODY. TT 02:37PM IS QUITE CLEAR. AND THE EVIDENCE WILL NOT BE DISPUTED. THAT 02:37PM MR. FRENKEL'S SUPERIOR KNEW ABOUT THE PATENT TROLL TRACKER AND 02:37PM KNEW THAT HE WAS GOING TO WRITE THESE ARTICLES. EVEN THOUGH SHE 4 02:37PM DIDN'T READ WHAT HE WROTE BEFORE HE SENT IT OUT. AND IT'S ALSO 02:37PM QUITE CLEAR THAT CISCO, AS A COMPANY, DIDN'T AGREE WITH HIS 02:37PM OPINION ABOUT THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS BEING A BANANA 7 02:37PM HE APPARENTLY. WITHOUT ANY CONSULTATION FROM US. REPUBLIC. 02:37PM 8 THOUGHT BETTER OF THAT THE NEXT DAY AND CHANGED THE LANGUAGE. 02:37PM I THINK MR. MCWILLIAMS WILL TALK A LITTLE MORE ABOUT 02:37рм 10 HOW MR. FRENKEL CREATED THE PATENT TROLL TRACKER BECAUSE OF HIS 02:37PM 11 02:37РМ 12 PERSONAL INTEREST IN PATENT REFORM. AND FINALLY, I THINK WE'RE BOTH GOING TO TALK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT FREE-SPEECH GUARANTIES. 02:38РМ 13 THE RIGHT TO EXPRESS YOUR OPINIONS, WHICH IS WHAT MR. FRENKEL 02:38РМ 14 WAS DOING IN THIS CASE. BUT NOW THIS VOICE -- IT MAY NOT BE AN 02:38РМ 15 IMPORTANT VOICE TO YOU; CERTAINLY IS NOT AN IMPORTANT VOICE TO 02:38PM 16 THE PLAINTIFFS. BUT THIS VOICE IS SILENT. THE PATENT TROLL 02:38PM 17 TRACKER IS NO LONGER PUBLISHING TO ANYBODY. 02:38PM 18 LET ME GO TO THE EVIDENCE OF MENTAL ANGUISH. 02:38рм 19 MR. ALBRITTON IS NOT CLAIMING IN THIS CASE THAT HE HAS HAD ANY 02:38РМ 20 02:38рм 21 ECONOMIC DAMAGE. HE IS NOT CLAIMING THAT HE HAS LOST ANY HE IS NOT CLAIMING DAMAGE TO HIS GOOD REPUTATION. 02:38РМ 22 BUSINESS. EVERYBODY AGREES HE'S GOT A GOOD REPUTATION IN THIS DISTRICT, 02:38РМ 23

AND HE'S NOT CLAIMING REPUTATIONAL DAMAGES. ALL HE IS CLAIMING

IS MENTAL-ANGUISH DAMAGES, WHAT MR. HOLMES REFERRED TO AS THE

02:38РМ 24

02:38PM 25

	249
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	SHERMAN DIVISION
4	
5	
6	ERIC ALBRITTON] CASE NO. 6:08CV89
7	VS.] 9 AM, SEPTEMBER 15, 2009
8	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.] TYLER, TEXAS
9	
10	
11	REPORTER'S SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
12	
13	VOLUME 2 OF, PAGES 249 THROUGH ^ 000
14	
15	TABLE OF CONTENTS, ^ 000
16	
17	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCHELL, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED USING COMPUTERIZED STENOTYPE,
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.

458 SO SOMETIME AROUND -- ON OCTOBER 18TH, SOMETIME AROUND 03:43PM 1 Q. 9:30 A.M., MR. PANKRATZ CALLED YOU AT YOUR OFFICE TO DISCUSS 03:43PM 2 THE ESN COMPLAINT; IS THAT RIGHT? 03:43PM 3 YES. 03:44PM 4 Α. AND MR. PANKRATZ ASKED YOU TO DO TWO THINGS. HE ASKED Q. 5 03:44PM YOU TO GET THE COMPLAINT, THE ESN COMPLAINT WITH THE REVISED 03:44PM 6 03:44PM 7 BANNER, AND TO GET IN TOUCH WITH THE COURT CLERK; IS THAT RIGHT? 03:44PM 8 Α. THAT'S CORRECT. 03:44PM 9 Q. I WANT TO ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ABOUT 03:44PM **10** MR. PANKRATZ'S FIRST REQUEST, THAT YOU GET A COPY OF THE ESN 03:44PM **11** COMPLAINT WITH THE REVISED BANNER. DID YOU KNOW WHAT 03:44PM **12** MR. PANKRATZ MEANT BY "REVISED BANNER"? 03:44PM **13** YES. 03:44PM **14** Α. AND WHAT IS A REVISED BANNER? Q. 03:44PM **15** IT'S THE CASE INFORMATION, DATE OF FILING, AND THE 03:44PM **16** Α. IT'S --03:44PM **17** DATE. IT'S ALL RIGHT. IT'S NOT A MEMORY TEST --03:44PM **18** Q. OKAY. 03:44PM **19** Α. 03:44PM **20** Q. -- SO --03:44PM **21** Α. I REMEMBER IT WAS THE DATE OF THE FILING AND THE COURT 03:45PM **22** IT WAS IN. SO I KNOW YOU WEREN'T HERE WHEN MS. -- THROUGH Q. OKAY. 03:45PM 23 03:45PM **24** THE TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE, BUT LET ME TELL YOU THAT WE'VE HEARD TESTIMONY THAT THE BANNER THAT'S AT THE TOP OF THE 03:45PM **25**

462

03:49PM	1 I	Α.	YES:	BUT	USUALLY.	THEY	CONTACT	US	BEFORE WE	GET	T0	IT.	
---------	-----	----	------	-----	----------	------	---------	----	-----------	-----	----	-----	--

- O3:49PM 2 Q. OKAY. BUT IF YOU NOTICED AN ERROR AND YOU WANTED TO
- 03:49PM 3 KNOW WHAT TO DO TO FIX IT, THAT'S THE PERSON YOU WOULD CALL?
- 03:50PM **4 A**. **YES**.
- 03:50PM 5 Q. AND THE COURT CLERKS MAKE CORRECTIONS TO DOCKETS?
- O3:50PM 6 A. I CAN'T -- I DON'T KNOW WHAT KIND OF CORRECTIONS THEY
- O3:50PM 7 MAKE; BUT I KNOW THEY MAKE CERTAIN CORRECTIONS, YES.
- 03:50PM 8 Q. OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH. AND YOU YOURSELF HAVE PREVIOUSLY
- O3:50PM 9 CALLED THE COURT CLERK TO TALK ABOUT PROBLEMS WITH FILINGS?
- 03:50PM **10 A**. **YES**.
- O3:50PM 11 Q. IN FACT, YOU HAVE GROWN ACCUSTOMED TO CALLING ONE
- 03:50PM 12 PARTICULAR COURT CLERK, MS. MAE VELVIN, BECAUSE SHE WAS THE
- 03:50PM 13 COURT CLERK THAT WORKED ON TWO OF YOUR BIGGEST CASES?
- O3:50PM 14 A. I WOULDN'T SAY ACCUSTOMED, BUT I CALLED HER A HANDFUL
- 03:50PM **15** | **OF TIMES**, **YES**.
- 03:50PM 16 Q. OKAY. AND THE CALLS BETWEEN THE LEGAL ASSISTANTS THAT
- O3:50PM 17 WORK IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FOR THE VARIOUS FIRMS AND
- O3:50PM 18 THE COURT CLERKS IS OFTEN INFORMAL; WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME?
- 03:50PM 19 A. I WOULD AGREE, YES.
- O3:50PM 20 Q. SO ON THIS OCCASION, YOU DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM AT
- O3:50PM 21 ALL -- WHEN MR. PANKRATZ ASKED YOU TO CALL THE COURT CLERK, YOU
- 03:51PM 22 DIDN'T HAVE A PROBLEM CALLING THE COURT CLERK, DID YOU?
- 03:51PM **23 A**. **NO**.
- 03:51PM 24 | Q. AND WHEN YOU CALLED THE COURT CLERK, YOU WERE CALLING
- 03:51PM 25 SPECIFICALLY TO ASK ABOUT THE FILING OF THE ESN COMPLAINT; IS

		463
03:51PM	1	THAT RIGHT?
03:51PM	2	A. YES.
03:51PM	3	Q. YOU WANTED TO KNOW WHY THE DATES ON THAT OPTIONAL
03:51PM	4	BANNER HAD CHANGED; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:51PM	5	A. YES.
03:51PM	6	Q. AND WHEN YOU CALLED THE COURT CLERK, YOU LEARNED FROM
03:51PM	7	HER THAT AMIE MATHIS FROM MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE HAD CALLED
03:51PM	8	OVER TO ASK ABOUT THE SAME THING, RIGHT?
03:51PM	9	A. I DIDN'T GET INTO NAMES OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT.
03:51PM	10	Q. OKAY. BUT YOU LEARNED FROM THE COURT CLERK THAT
03:51PM	11	SOMEBODY FROM MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE HAD CALLED ABOUT THE SAME
03:51PM	12	THING, THE FILING OF THE ESN COMPLAINT; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:51PM	13	A. YES.
03:51PM	14	Q. SO WHAT MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE CALLED OVER BECAUSE
03:51PM	15	THEY HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THE FILING OF THE ESN COMPLAINT?
03:51PM	16	THAT'S WHAT YOU LEARNED?
03:52PM	17	A. YES.
03:52PM	18	Q. AND YOU HAD A QUESTION ABOUT THE FILING OF THE ESN
03:52PM	19	COMPLAINT, AND THAT'S WHAT YOU WERE CALLING ABOUT?
03:52PM	20	A. YES.
03:52PM	21	Q. WHEN YOU CALLED OVER TO THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE, DID
03:52PM	22	ANY DID YOU CALL MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE AND ASK HIM TO BE ON
03:52PM	23	THE CALL?
03:52PM	24	A. NO.
03:52PM	25	Q. DID MR. PANKRATZ I'M SORRY. I'M LOSING MY VOICE.

464 GIVE ME JUST A MINUTE. 03:52PM 1 DID MR. PANKRATZ TELL YOU THAT BEFORE YOU CALLED 03:52PM 2 OVER TO THE COURT CLERK'S OFFICE TO ASK ABOUT THE FILING OF THE 03:52PM 3 COMPLAINT, THAT YOU NEEDED TO CONFERENCE SOMEBODY IN FROM 03:52PM 4 MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE? 03:52PM 5 NO. Α. 03:52PM 6 AND YOU WEREN'T WORRIED AT ALL, WERE YOU, ABOUT CALLING 03:52PM 7 Q. TO THE COURT CLERK TO TALK ABOUT THE ESN COMPLAINT WITHOUT 03:52PM 8 HAVING SOMEBODY FROM MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE ON THE PHONE? 03:52PM 9 03:52PM **10** Α. NO. THERE WAS ABSOLUTELY NOTHING IMPROPER ABOUT WHAT YOU 03:53PM **11** Q. DID. WAS THERE? 03:53PM **12** NO. 03:53PM **13** Α. MS. POWELL, I WANT TO ASK YOU A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS 03:53PM **14** Q. ABOUT YOUR CONVERSATION WITH THE COURT CLERK. I KNOW THAT YOU 03:53PM **15** HAVEN'T BEEN HERE THROUGH THIS TRIAL, BUT WE'VE HEARD A LOT 03:53PM **16** 03:53PM **17** ABOUT THE CONFUSION ABOUT THE DIFFERENT BANNER DATES AND THE CONFUSION ABOUT THE DOCKET. WOULD YOU AGREE WITH ME THAT THERE 03:53PM **18** WAS. AT LEAST FROM CISCO'S PERSPECTIVE, SOME CONFUSION ABOUT 03:53PM 19 THE BANNER DATES? 03:53PM **20** 03:53PM **21** Α. I DON'T KNOW. OBJECTION, YOUR HONOR. I BELIEVE THIS 03:53PM **22** MR. MORAN: CALLS FOR SPECULATION AS TO WHAT CISCO KNEW OR DIDN'T KNOW; AND 03:53PM **23** 03:53PM **24** SECOND, MAY BE IN VIOLATION OF PRIVILEGE. MS. PEDEN: I'LL REPHRASE THE QUESTION. 03:53PM **25**

		465
03:53PM	1	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE QUESTION. YOU'RE
03:53PM	2	ASKING HER WHAT CISCO WAS THINKING.
03:53PM	3	MS. PEDEN: I'LL REPHRASE THE QUESTION.
03:53PM	4	MS. PEDEN:
03:53PM	5	Q. MS. POWELL, ON OCTOBER 18TH, WERE YOU CONFUSED ABOUT
03:54PM	6	WHAT WAS WHY THE BANNERS ON THE ESN COMPLAINT SHOWED TWO
03:54PM	7	DIFFERENT DATES?
03:54PM	8	A. I HAD NEVER SEEN IT BEFORE, BUT I WAS JUST TOLD TO CALL
03:54PM	9	AND ASK FOR THE DIFFERENT FOR THE REASON BEHIND IT AND SEE
03:54PM	10	IF I COULD FIND OUT WHAT HAPPENED.
03:54PM	11	Q. OKAY. SO YOU CALLED THE COURT CLERK; IS THAT RIGHT?
03:54PM	12	A. YES.
03:54PM	13	Q. AND YOU TALKED TO MRS. RHONDA LAFITTE?
03:54PM	14	A. I BELIEVE THAT'S WHO I SPOKE WITH.
03:54PM	15	Q. AND YOU WERE CALLING MS. LAFITTE BECAUSE YOU WERE
03:54PM	16	TRYING TO ASCERTAIN THE FACTS OF WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE ESN
03:54PM	17	COMPLAINT?
03:54PM	18	A. THAT'S CORRECT.
03:54PM	19	Q. AND WHEN YOU CALLED MS. LAFITTE FOR THE FACTS,
03:54PM	20	MS. LAFITTE TOLD YOU THAT THE CIVIL COVER SHEET WAS RECEIVED BY
03:54PM	21	MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE BEFORE THE COMPLAINT WAS FILED, DIDN'T
03:54PM	22	SHE?
03:54PM	23	A. YES. SHE SAID IT WAS BEFORE.
03:54PM	24	Q. AND MS. LAFITTE TOLD YOU THAT ESN'S COUNSEL HAD LOGGED
03:55PM	25	ONTO THE ELECTRIC FILING SYSTEM BEFORE MIDNIGHT? SHE TOLD YOU

		466
03:55PM	1	THAT, DIDN'T SHE?
03:55PM	2	A. YES.
03:55PM	3	Q. AND SHE ALSO TOLD YOU THAT MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE HAD
03:55PM	4	OFFICIALLY FILED THE COMPLAINT AFTER MIDNIGHT?
03:55PM	5	A. I DON'T KNOW IF SHE SAID "OFFICIALLY FILED." I CAN'T
03:55PM	6	REMEMBER EXACTLY, BUT I THINK IT WAS SOMETHING LIKE, THEY
03:55PM	7	FINISHED THE FILING AFTER MIDNIGHT.
03:55PM	8	Q. SHE TOLD YOU THAT MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE DIDN'T
03:55PM	9	COMPLETE THE FILING UNTIL AFTER MIDNIGHT?
03:55PM	10	A. THAT IS CORRECT.
03:55PM	11	Q. AND SHE ALSO TOLD YOU THAT MR. ALBRITTON'S OFFICE HAD
03:55PM	12	EXPLAINED THAT THERE HAD BEEN AN ERROR IN THE WAY THAT THE
03:55PM	13	COURT'S ELECTRONIC FILING SYSTEM RECORDED THE FILING DATE; IS
03:55PM	14	THAT RIGHT?
03:55PM	15	A. WE I DON'T RECALL EVER TALKING ABOUT AN ERROR,
03:55PM	16	BUT I DON'T RECALL TALKING ABOUT AN ERROR.
03:55PM	17	Q. OKAY. WHAT SHE DID TELL YOU WAS THEY LOGGED ON BEFORE
03:56PM	18	MIDNIGHT, RIGHT?
03:56PM	19	A. YES.
03:56PM	20	Q. AND THEY FILED AFTER MIDNIGHT?
03:56PM	21	A. YES, MA'AM.
03:56PM	22	Q. AND BASED ON THAT INFORMATION, THE COURT CLERK MADE A
03:56PM	23	CORRECTION TO THE DOCKET ENTRY?
03:56PM	24	A. I WAS TOLD THEY CHANGED THE DOCKET ENTRY.
03:56PM	25	Q. DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER OR NOT SHE USED THE

		467
03:56PM	1	WORD "CORRECTED"?
03:56PM	2	A. I DON'T REMEMBER.
03:56PM	3	Q. MS. POWELL, AS YOU SIT HERE TODAY, YOU DON'T RECALL
03:56PM	4	WHETHER OR NOT SHE USED THE WORD "CORRECTED"?
03:56PM	5	A. I'M SORRY. I DON'T REMEMBER.
03:56PM	6	Q. OKAY. DID THE E-MAIL THAT YOU WROTE ON OCTOBER 22ND
03:56PM	7	HAVE THE BEST SUMMARY OF THE FACTS THAT YOU LEARNED FROM
03:56PM	8	MRS. LAFITTE?
03:56PM	9	A. I CAN'T RECALL, BUT IT'S LIKELY.
03:57PM	10	Q. IF YOU HAD A COPY OF THAT E-MAIL JUST FOR YOUR
03:57PM	11	REFERENCE, WOULD THAT HELP YOU REMEMBER WHAT YOU DISCUSSED WITH
03:57PM	12	THE COURT CLERK?
03:57PM	13	A. IT WOULD BE MOST OF THE INFORMATION. YES.
03:57PM	14	Q. OKAY.
03:57PM	15	MS. PEDEN: YOUR HONOR, MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS
03:57PM	16	AND JUST GIVE HER A COPY OF HER E-MAIL TO REFRESH YOUR
03:57PM	17	RECOLLECTION?
03:57PM	18	MR. MORAN: IS THIS PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 284?
03:57PM	19	MS. PEDEN: PLAINTIFF'S EXHIBIT 285.
03:57PM	20	MR. MORAN: I BELIEVE IS THAT I DON'T BELIEVE
03:57PM	21	THAT EXHIBIT IS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE, YOUR HONOR.
03:57PM	22	MS. PEDEN: YOUR HONOR, IT'S NOT PREADMITTED. IT
03:57PM	23	WAS ADMITTED ONLY FOR IMPEACHMENT, BUT
03:57PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY. YOU WANT TO SHOW IT TO HER TO
03:57PM	25	REFRESH HER RECOLLECTION?

		468
03:57PM	1	MS. PEDEN: I WANT TO REFRESH HER RECOLLECTION. I'M
03:57PM	2	NOT PLANNING ON PUTTING IT ON THE SCREEN.
03:57PM	3	THE COURT: OKAY.
03:57PM	4	MR. MORAN: IN FACT, YOUR HONOR, JUDGE BUSH RULED
03:57PM	5	THAT 285 WAS NOT ADMITTED.
03:57PM	6	THE COURT: OKAY. THEN WE WON'T DISPLAY IT BUT I
03:57PM	7	THINK SHE CAN SHOW HER ANYTHING TO REFRESH HER RECOLLECTION.
03:57PM	8	MS. PEDEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
03:57PM	9	THE COURT: THAT'S FINE.
03:57PM	10	MS. PEDEN: MR. MORAN, DO YOU WANT TO SEE A COPY OF
03:58PM	11	IT BEFORE I TAKE IT UP TO HER? OKAY.
03:58PM	12	(TENDERS DOCUMENT.)
03:58PM	13	MS. PEDEN:
03:58PM	14	Q. I'LL TAKE IT BACK. THANK YOU.
03:58PM	15	A. (TENDERS DOCUMENT.)
03:58PM	16	Q. MS. POWELL, DID THAT REFRESH YOUR RECOLLECTION OF WHAT
03:58PM	17	MS. LAFITTE TOLD YOU?
03:58PM	18	A. A LITTLE.
03:58PM	19	Q. OKAY. AND SO MY QUESTION WAS: DID MS. LAFITTE TELL
03:59PM	20	YOU THAT THEY MADE A CORRECTING ENTRY TO THE DOCKET?
03:59PM	21	A. I CAN'T I MEAN, THAT'S WHAT IT SAYS, BUT I CAN'T
03:59PM	22	REMEMBER FOR SURE.
03:59PM	23	Q. OKAY. MS. POWELL, MS. LAFITTE NEVER TOLD YOU THAT THE
03:59PM	24	CLERK'S OFFICE WAS DOING A FAVOR FOR A LOCAL LAWYER, DID SHE?
03:59PM	25	A. NO.

		475
04:06PM	1	EXHIBIT 251.
04:06PM	2	MS. PEDEN:
04:07PM	3	Q. MS. POWELL, HAVE YOU SEEN THIS DOCUMENT BEFORE?
04:07PM	4	A. NO, MA'AM.
04:07PM	5	Q. OKAY. I WANT TO DRAW YOUR ATTENTION TO THE SECOND
04:07PM	6	SENTENCE THERE.
04:07PM	7	MS. PEDEN: CAN YOU HIGHLIGHT IT FOR HER, DEREK,
04:07PM	8	"ONE E-MAIL SUGGESTED."
04:07PM	9	MS. PEDEN:
04:07PM	10	Q. THE SENTENCE SAYS, "ONE E-MAIL SUGGESTED THAT ESN'S
04:07PM	11	LOCAL COUNSEL CALLED THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS COURT CLERK
04:07PM	12	AND CONVINCED HIM OR HER TO CHANGE THE DOCKET TO REFLECT AN
04:07PM	13	OCTOBER 16TH FILING DATE RATHER THAN THE OCTOBER 15TH FILING
04:07PM	14	DATE."
04:07PM	15	DO YOU SEE THAT?
04:07PM	16	A. YES, MA'AM.
04:07PM	17	Q. DO YOU KNOW, MS. POWELL, WHETHER OR NOT THAT E-MAIL
04:07PM	18	REFERENCED THERE IS AN E-MAIL FROM BAKER, BOTTS?
04:07PM	19	A. I HAVE NO IDEA.
04:07PM	20	Q. OKAY. WELL, CERTAINLY THE INFORMATION THERE THAT LOCAL
04:07PM	21	COUNSEL CALLED THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS COURT CLERK, THAT
04:07PM	22	WAS INFORMATION YOU LEARNED FROM MS. LAFITTE, RIGHT?
04:08PM	23	A. CAN YOU REPEAT THAT AGAIN? I'M SORRY.
04:08PM	24	Q. WELL, THE SENTENCE SAYS THAT ESN'S LOCAL COUNSEL CALLED
04:08PM	25	THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS COURT CLERK. DO YOU SEE THAT?

		476
04:08PM	1	A. YES, MA'AM.
04:08PM	2	Q. AND THAT'S THE INFORMATION THAT YOU LEARNED FROM
04:08PM	3	MS. LAFITTE; IS THAT RIGHT?
04:08PM	4	A. YES.
04:08PM	5	MS. PEDEN: AND DEREK, IF YOU CAN HIGHLIGHT FROM "I
04:08PM	6	CHECKED, AND SURE ENOUGH."
04:08PM	7	MS. PEDEN:
04:08PM	8	Q. IT SAYS, "I CHECKED, AND SURE ENOUGH, THAT'S EXACTLY
04:08PM	9	WHAT HAPPENED."
04:08PM	10	DO YOU SEE THAT?
04:08PM	11	A. YES, MA'AM.
04:08PM	12	Q. IN FACT, MS. POWELL, IT WAS YOU THAT CHECKED ON BEHALF
04:08PM	13	OF BAKER, BOTTS; IS THAT RIGHT?
04:08PM	14	A. I CHECKED, BUT I DON'T KNOW
04:08PM	15	Q. OKAY. SO YOU'RE THE ONE THAT CHECKED?
04:08PM	16	A. I CHECKED, YES.
04:08PM	17	Q. AND YOU REPORTED THAT BACK TO MR. PANKRATZ?
04:08PM	18	A. YES.
04:08PM	19	Q. AND WE AT LEAST KNOW THAT MR. PANKRATZ PROMISED TO GIVE
04:08PM	20	THAT INFORMATION TO CISCO?
04:08PM	21	A. YES, MA'AM.
04:08PM	22	Q. AND MS. POWELL, IN THIS TROLL TRACKER ARTICLE, THERE'S
04:09PM	23	NO MENTION ABOUT THERE BEING ANY CONFUSION AROUND THE OPTIONAL
04:09PM	24	BANNER ON THE ESN COMPLAINT, IS THERE?
04:09PM	25	A. IT DOESN'T SEEM SO, NO.

503 A MONTH LATER. I DON'T KNOW. 04:40PM 1 WAS THERE ANY CONFUSION GOING ON WITH YOU BAKER BOTTS Q. 04:40PM 2 GUYS ABOUT WHEN WAS THIS CASE FILED, WHEN WAS THE ACTUAL DATE, 04:40PM 3 WHAT WAS THE EFFECTIVE TIME OF THE FILING? WERE YOU GUYS 04:40PM 4 TALKING ABOUT THAT? 5 04:40PM CONFUSION? OR WERE WE TALKING--WE WERE DEFINITELY Α. 6 04:41PM TALKING ABOUT THE FILING DATE. 04:41PM 7 AND WAS THERE CONFUSION ABOUT WHEN IT WAS FILED? 8 Q. 04:41PM I WOULD SAY ON THE 15TH AND 16TH THERE WAS NO CONFUSION 04:41PM 9 Α. AS FAR AS I KNEW. 04:41PM **10** OKAY. AND WHEN DID THE CONFUSION ARISE? 04:41PM **11** Q. WHEN THE DATE--THE BANNER ON THE COMPLAINT CHANGED 04:41PM **12** Α. AND WE BECAME AWARE THAT THE DATE THAT IT HAD BEEN FILED OR 04:41PM **13** THAT WE THOUGHT IT HAD BEEN FILED AND THAT WAS PRINTED ON THE 04:41PM **14** TOP OF THE COMPLAINT SUDDENLY CHANGED TO A DIFFERENT DATE. 04:41PM **15** AND WHEN WAS THAT? Q. 04:41PM **16** 04:41PM **17** Α. SOMETIME THAT WEEK. I DON'T RECALL THE EXACT TIME. 04:41PM **18** Q. SOMETIME WHAT? SOMETIME THE WEEK OF THE 15TH. I DON'T RECALL EXACTLY 04:41PM **19** Α. 04:41PM **20** WHEN. 04:41PM **21** Q. OKAY. WERE YOU AWARE THAT JILL POWELL, JILLIAN POWELL, 04:42PM **22** WHO IS A PARALEGAL AT BAKER BOTTS, HAD HAD A CONVERSATION WITH THE COURT CLERK ABOUT THE ESN FILING? 04:42PM **23** YES, SIR, I WAS AWARE OF THAT. 04:42PM **24** Α. AND WHAT WAS YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT MS. POWELL 04:42PM **25** Q.

		504
04:42PM	1	FOUND OUT?
04:42PM	2	A. I THINK THE COURT CLERK TOLD HER THAT HE HAD RECEIVED A
04:42PM	3	CALL FROM ESN'S COUNSEL, THAT ESN'S COUNSEL TOLD THEM THERE HAD
04:42PM	4	BEEN AN ERROR ON THE COURT DATE AND ASKED FOR IT TO BE CHANGED,
04:42PM	5	AND THE COURT CLERK SUBSEQUENTLY CHANGED THAT BANNER.
04:42PM	6	Q. I ASSUME THAT MS. POWELL RELAYED THAT INFORMATION TO
04:42PM	7	SOMEONE AT BAKER BOTTS.
04:42PM	8	A. YES.
04:42PM	9	Q. AND TO WHOM DID SHE RELAY THE INFORMATION?
04:42PM	10	A. TO ME AND MAYBE ONE OF MY PARTNERS AS WELL.
04:43PM	11	Q. OKAY. DID YOU RELAY THAT FACTUAL INFORMATION TO CISCO?
04:43PM	12	A. YES, I DID.
04:43PM	13	Q. AND WHEN DID YOU DO THAT?
04:43PM	14	A. AGAIN, IT'S BEEN A COUPLE OF YEARS. I WOULD SAY
04:43PM	15	PROBABLY SOMETIME THAT WEEK OF THE 15TH.
04:43PM	16	MR. PATTON: THERE'S AN E-MAILJUDGE, I THINK
04:43PM	17	COULD I ASK IF 249 HAS BEEN ADMITTED?
04:43PM	18	THE COURT: LET'S SEE. JUST GIVE ME A MINUTE TO
04:43PM	19	FIND THE ORDER HERE.
04:43PM	20	MR. PATTON: THEY'RE NODDING THEIR HEAD AT ME THAT
04:43PM	21	IT HAS BEEN, JUDGE.
04:43PM	22	THE COURT: HAS BEEN?
04:43PM	23	MR. MORAN: I BELIEVE IT HAS, YOUR HONOR.
04:43PM	24	THE COURT: OKAY.
04:43PM	25	MR. PATTON: MAY I APPROACH THE WITNESS?

		SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT
		519
05:05PM	1	THE COURT: I'LL SUSTAIN THE LAST PART OF THAT,
05:05PM	2	WHETHER IT COULD MAKE YOU LOSE YOUR LAW LICENSE.
05:05PM	3	MR. PATTON: OKAY.
05:05PM	4	THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW, BUT
05:05PM	5	MR. PATTON: OKAY.
05:05PM	6	Q. LET'S JUST SAY ACCUSATION OF A CRIME AND AN ACCUSATION
05:05PM	7	OF UNETHICAL BEHAVIOR. OKAY? DO YOU THINK THAT IT'S OKAY TO
05:06PM	8	POST A BLOG HAVING THE INFORMATION THAT YOU HAD SENT TO THEM
05:06PM	9	AND SAYING WHAT THEY SAID?
05:06PM	10	A. AGAIN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT ALL OTHER INFORMATION THEY
05:06PM	11	HAD, BUT IF WE'RE ASSUMING THAT THE INFORMATION FROM ME WAS THE
05:06PM	12	ONLY INFORMATION THAT THEY HAD, I WOULD CERTAINLY ADVISE THEM
05:06PM	13	NOT TO POST A BLOG.
05:06PM	14	Q. THE E-MAILTHIS OCTOBER 18TH ARTICLE SAYS FRENKEL GOT
05:06PM	15	AN E-MAIL SAYING THAT ESN CALLED THE COURT CLERK. DID YOU KNOW
05:06PM	16	THAT?
05:06PM	17	A. I'M SORRY. COULD YOU REPEAT THAT QUESTION?
05:06PM	18	Q. THAT ERIC ALBRITTONTHE YEN MATTER. ARE YOU AWARE
05:07PM	19	THAT MSYOU KNOW WHO MS. YEN IS, MALLUN YEN?
05:07PM	20	A. YES, I DO.
05:07PM	21	Q. AND SHE IS HIGH UP IN THE LEGAL DEPARTMENT AT CISCO?
05:07PM	22	A. SHE'S A VICE PRESIDENT THERE, YES.
05:07PM	23	Q. THAT'S HIGH UP, ISN'T IT?
05:07PM	24	A. I WOULD CONSIDER THAT HIGH UP, YES.

Q.

05:07PM **25**

ARE YOU AWARE THAT MS. YEN TESTIFIED--DID YOU MAKE

		520
05:07PM	1	THE STATEMENT ABOUT BUZZ? WAS THAT IN YOUR E-MAIL? THE BUZZ
05:07PM	2	THAT'S GOING AROUND, WAS THAT IN YOUR E-MAIL?
05:07PM	3	A. I DON'T REMEMBER EVER SAYING ANYTHING ABOUT BUZZ.
05:07PM	4	Q. ARE YOU AWARE THAT MS. YEN HAS TESTIFIED THAT:
05:07PM	5	"I HEARD FROM A COUPLE OF SOURCES."
05:07PM	6	AND I SAID, "WHO? WHO WERE THE SOURCES?"
05:07PM	7	AND SHE SAID, "KURT PANKRATZ."
05:07PM	8	AND I SAID "AND"?
05:07PM	9	AND SHE SAID, "I BELIEVE MARTA BECKWITH."
05:08PM	10	WOULD THAT BE THE PEOPLE TO WHOM YOU SENT YOUR
05:08PM	11	FINDINGS OR INFORMATION?
05:08PM	12	A. YES, I THINK THAT'S RIGHT, THAT THE TWO OF THEM WOULD
05:08PM	13	HAVE RECEIVED IT.
05:08PM	14	MR. PATTON: DEREK, LET'S LOOK AT THAT SENTENCE.
05:08PM	15	Q. IT SAYS, "ONE E-MAIL SUGGESTED THAT ESN'S LOCAL COUNSEL
05:08PM	16	CALLED THE COURT CLERK AND CONVINCED HIM"I'VE ALREADY READ
05:08PM	17	THIS. YOU SEE THAT?
05:08PM	18	A. I DO SEE THAT.
05:08PM	19	Q. WAS THAT YOUR E-MAIL?
05:08PM	20	A. I DON'T KNOW. I HAD NO PART IN THIS, AND SO I CAN'T
05:08PM	21	TELL YOU WHETHER THAT'S MY E-MAIL OR NOT.
05:08PM	22	Q. WELL, DID YOU, IN YOUR E-MAILDID YOU SUGGEST IN YOUR
05:08PM	23	E-MAIL THAT ERIC HAD CALLED THE COURT CLERK?
05:08PM	24	A. YES, I DID. WELL, I THINK THAT'S RIGHT. THAT WAS MY
05:08PM	25	BEST RECOLLECTION.