IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

ERIC M. ALBRITTON,

Plaintiff,

vs. No. 6:08-CV-00089

(1) CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,

(2) RICHARD FRENKEL, (3) MALLUN

YEN and (4) JOHN NOH,

Defendants.

CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER

DEPOSITION OF RICHARD G. FRENKEL

Tuesday, November 18, 2008

SHEILA CHASE & ASSOCIATES REPORTING FOR:

West Court Reporting Services 221 Main Street, Suite 1250 San Francisco, California 94105

Phone: (415) 321-2300 Fax: (415) 618-0743

Reported by: JANIS JENNINGS, CSR, CRP, CLR CRS-100282-023

11/18/2008

106

1	beginning of videotape No. 2, volume 1 in the
2	deposition of Richard Frenkel.
3	(Whereupon, Frenkel Exhibit 1 was marked
4	for identification.)
5	BY MR. PATTON:
6	Q. I have handed you just a moment ago,
7	Mr. Frenkel, Exhibit 1. Correct?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. Do you recognize that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Okay. Is this a blog that was posted on
12	October the 18th, 2007?
13	A. No.
14	Q. When was this one, the one you have in
15	front of you, Exhibit 1?
16	A. This is the version of the blog as
17	modified by me on October 19th, 2007.
18	Q. Okay. Does it show up on there somewhere?
19	A. Does what show up where?
20	Q. That it was modified on the 19th.
21	A. No.
22	(Whereupon, Frenkel Exhibit 2 was marked
23	for identification.)
24	BY MR. PATTON:
25	Q. I want to hand you 2 and ask you: Is that

107

11/18/2008

1	the one that was posted on the 17th?
2	MR. McWILLIAMS: 17th or 18th?
3	MR. PATTON: I'm sorry. The 18th.
4	THE WITNESS: The part on the first page
5	of Exhibit 2
6	BY MR. PATTON:
7	Q. Okay.
8	A is, I believe, what was attached to the
9	complaint in this case. And I believe that is what
10	I posted October 18th, 2007.
11	Q. Okay. There were two postings that
12	indicate October 18th, and that's Exhibits 1 and 2;
13	correct?
14	A. They both have the October 18th date on
15	them, yes.
16	Q. Okay. But Exhibit 2 is the one that was
17	filed first, and Exhibit 1 was modified, whatever
18	you want to call it, on the 19th? Is that what you
19	said?
20	A. Yes. I believe I did modify it, alter it.
21	Q. Okay. So Exhibit 2 is the first one
22	filed posted?
23	A. It was the I believe that's true, yes.
24	Q. Okay. Let's take a look at it, if we
25	could, No. 2, first.

108

11/18/2008

1	A. Let me just modify that answer. Exhibit 1
2	is a printout of the post that the printout was
3	made sometime after the 19th.
4	Q. Why do you say that?
5	A. Because it has the edit line. I just want
6	to make sure my testimony is accurate.
7	Q. Well, I do, too. And I don't really care
8	which one is which, but I want to make sure that I
9	understand.
10	Where is the edit that you're talking
11	about? Which one? On 1 or 2?
12	A. On 1.
13	Q. All right. And where is that located on
14	1?
15	A. On the second page.
16	Q. Where?
17	A. Fourth line, third line.
18	Q. "You can't change history, but you can
19	change a blog"?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. I don't see the 19th there anywhere.
22	A. It doesn't say the date, but I remember
23	doing it on the 19th.
24	Q. Okay. Where after edit? Is that what
25	you're saying?

112

11/18/2008

1	Okay. Back on the record.
2	BY MR. PATTON:
3	Q. Why was the date not changed on this
4	second posting on the next day?
5	A. The software I was using didn't have that
6	feature.
7	Q. Okay. Could you have started the next
8	day's blog by telling every whoever read it, "I
9	am modifying what I said yesterday"?
10	A. When I put the line in there saying
11	"edit," that was my way of doing that. I could have
12	said "edited 10/19/07." I didn't.
13	Q. Okay. And you let the reader determine
14	what the edits were?
15	MR. McWILLIAMS: Objection. Form.
16	BY MR. PATTON:
17	Q. Is that what you're saying?
18	A. I did not put in there what I was
19	altering.
20	Q. Where did you get what is the basis of
21	your assertion that the proper way to do this would
22	be to file a motion to correct the docket?
23	A. That was my opinion.
24	Q. Did you base your opinion on anything,
25	something you read or something someone told you, or