SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT

	529
-	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	
۷	L
5	
6	
7	VS.] 9 AM, SEPTEMBER 16, 2009
8	CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.] TYLER, TEXAS
9	
10	
11	REPORTER'S SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL
12	
13	VOLUME 3 OF ^ 000, PAGES 1 THROUGH ^ 000
14	
15	TABLE OF CONTENTS, ^ 000
16	
17	THE HONORABLE RICHARD SCHELL, U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE, PRESIDING
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	PROCEEDINGS REPORTED USING COMPUTERIZED STENOTYPE,
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED USING COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION.

SAME-DAY DELIVERY TRANSCRIPT

702

		702
02:39PM	1	Q. ALL RIGHT. AND THAT WOULD INCLUDE THE HIGH-PRICED
02:39PM	2	MR. MCWILLIAMS?
02;39PM	3	A. YES.
02;39PM	4	[LAUGHTER]
02;39PM	5	Q. CAN YOU TELL THE JURY WHAT IMPACT, OTHER THAN
02;39PM	6	FINANCIAL, THIS LAWSUIT HAS HAD ON YOU?
02;39PM	7	A. WELL, I MEAN OBVIOUSLY BEING SUED IS NO FUN; AND, YOU
02:39PM	8	KNOW, IT'S BEEN VERY EMOTIONAL FOR ME; AND, YOU KNOW, MY NAME
02:39PM	9	HAS BEEN SORT OF DRAGGED THROUGH THE MUD. IT'S HARD FOR ME
02:39PM	10	TOYOU KNOW, I'M IN A LAW FIRM NOW, AND MY FIRM HAS CASES OUT
02:39PM	11	HERE IN THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, AND IYOU KNOW, I CAN'T
02:39PM]	12	MAKE ANY APPEARANCES OUT HERE, YOU KNOW, WHILE THIS IS STILL
02:39рм]	ιз	HANGING OVER MY HEAD.
02;39рм 1	14	Q. IF CISCO WAS NOT PAYING FOR YOUR DEFENSE, DO YOU HAVE
02:39PM 1	5	THE FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO DEFEND YOURSELF IN THIS CASE?
02:40РМ 1	6	A. NO, HUH-UH.
02:40PM 1	7	Q. YOU SAID THAT YOU WEREN'T TERMINATED. DID CISCO DO
02:40PM 1	8	ANYTHINGDID THEY JUST LET YOU KEEP GOING ON AT WORK AFTER
02:40рм 1	9	THIS LAWSUIT HAPPENED?
02:40PM 2	0	A. NO; THINGS CHANGED.
02:40рм 2	1	Q. AND HOW DID IT CHANGE?
02:40рм 2	2	A. WELL, FOR ONE, THERE WAS A PERIOD OF TIME THAT THERE
02:40рм 2	3	WAS AN INVESTIGATION AND THAT I WAS ASKED TO BACK OFF FROM ALL
02:40рм 2	4	THE PATENT-LITIGATION CASES WHILE THEY LOOKED INTO NOT ONLY
02:40РМ 2	5	THIS POST BUT EVERY POST I HAD EVER WRITTEN, ALL 185 OF THEM.

716

	716
02;56PM	A. THIS WASN'T A CISCO BLOG, MR. PATTON, IT WAS MY
02;56PM .	PERSONAL BLOG.
02:56PM	Q. I UNDERSTAND THAT. BUT YOU WERE IN THE COURSE AND
02:56PM '	SCOPE OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT WITH CISCO, WERE YOU NOT?
02:56PM	A. FOR THIS ONE POST, YES.
02:56PM (Q. OKAY. FOR THE POST INVOLVED IN THIS LAWSUIT?
02:56PM 7	A. THAT'S RIGHT.
02:56PM {	Q. OKAY.
02:56PM C	A. THESE TWO POSTS OR ONE POST, WHATEVER IT IS.
02:56РМ 10	Q THEY HAVE ADMITTED THAT YOU WERE IN THE COURSE AND
02:56РМ 11	SCOPE OF YOUR EMPLOYMENT FOR CISCO?
02:56рм 12	A. THEY HAVE ADMITTED THAT.
02:56рм 13	Q. OKAY.
02:56РМ 14	NOW, YOU TALKED ABOUT THE PEOPLE AT CISCO DIDN'T
02:56PM 15	LIKE WHAT YOU HAD DONE IN THIS POST, IS THAT RIGHT?
02:57PM 16	A. THE BANANA REPUBLIC COMMENT, RIGHT. I WAS VERY LIKE
02:57PM 17	Q. WHO FUSSED AT YOU?
02:57РМ 18	A. WHO? DO YOU WANT ALL THE NAMES?
02:57рм 19	Q. YEAH.
02:57РМ 20	A. LET'S START WITH MR. CHANDLER.
02:57РМ 21	Q. OKAY. HE'S THE HEAD LAWYER.
02:57PM 22	A. HE SURE IS.
02:57рм 23	Q. WHAT DID HE TELL YOU?
02:57рм 24	A. HE WAS NOT VERY HAPPY.
02:57РМ 25	Q. WHAT DID HE TELL YOU IS WHAT I ASKED YOU.