Ulrich v. Thornton et al Doc. 11

> IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

WILLIAM E. ULRICH **PLAINTIFF**

V. Case No. 10-CV-4024

DEPUTY J. THORNTON, individually and in his official capacity, et al.

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Before the Court is a Motion to Dismiss filed by Separate Defendant Hempstead County Sheriff's Department. (Doc. 4). Planitiff has filed a response to the motion, stating that he does not object to Separate Defendant's Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. 10). The Court finds the matter ripe for consideration. Upon consideration, the Court finds that Separate Defendant's Motion to Dismiss should be and hereby is **GRANTED**. All claims against Separate Defendant Hempstead County Sheriff's Department are **DISMISSED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 28th day of May, 2010.

/s/ Harry F. Barnes Hon. Harry F. Barnes United States District Judge