IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

LOIS DRIVER, et al PLAINTIFFS

VS.

CASE NO. 4:13-cv-4074

D. MOSLEY TRUCKING, INC.,

et al

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Before the Court is an Amended Motion to Strike Answer filed on behalf of Plaintiffs

Lois Driver and Robert Driver. (ECF No. 100). Plaintiffs request that the First Amended

Answers (ECF Nos. 49-50) filed on behalf of Defendant Ramon Colon and D. Mosley Trucking

be struck from the record. Plaintiffs request that these documents be struck because these

Defendants did not obtain leave of Court prior to filing the First Amended Answers.

Upon consideration, the Court finds that the motion should be and hereby is **DENIED**

AS MOOT. Subsequent to the present motion being filed, Plaintiffs filed a Second Amended

Complaint. (ECF No. 119). Defendants filed an Answer (ECF No. 121) to the Second Amended

Complaint. Because Defendants' First Amended Answers are no longer operative it is not

necessary for them to be struck from the record.

IT IS SO ORDERED, this 29th day of October, 2014.

/s/ Susan O. Hickey Susan O. Hickey

United States District Judge