IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS TEXARKANA DIVISION

STEVEN PAUL ADAMS

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 4:14-cy-04117

SHERIFF JAMES SINGLETON; OFFICER MAX FIELD; and OFFICER CODY AARON

DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Plaintiff, Steven Paul Adams, filed this action *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* on September 12, 2014, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Jury Trial in which he requests a jury trial and a settlement conference. ECF No. 27. Defendants responded to Plaintiff's Motion for Jury Trial. ECF No. 30.

1. <u>Motion for Jury Trial</u>

On February 11, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Jury Trial. ECF No. 27. Defendants responded arguing that Plaintiff's demand for a jury trial is time barred pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38(d) as it was not properly served within ten days of Defendants' Answer. ECF. No. 30.

The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure require Plaintiff to make a jury demand by "serving the other parties with a written demand . . . no later than 14 days after the last pleading directed to the issue is served." Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(b). Plaintiff waives a jury trial if his demand is not properly served and filed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 38(d). In the Eighth Circuit, this rule is rigidly applied and a complete waiver should be found when a jury demand has not been timely made, whether the failure was "inadvertent, unintended and regardless of the explanation or excuse." *Scharnhorst v*.

Independent School District No. 710, 686 F.2d 637, 641 (8th Cir.1982) (finding the pro se plaintiff

waived her right to a jury trial when she failed to demand a jury trial in her complaint), cert. denied,

462 U.S. 1109 (1983).

Plaintiff filed his original Complaint without a demand for a jury trial on September 12,

2014. (ECF No. 1). Defendants filed their Answer on February 11, 2015. (ECF No. 11).

Plaintiff's Motion for Jury Trial was filed approximately one year after the last pleading directed

to the issue—Defendants' Answer. Therefore, Plaintiff's jury demand is untimely. See Fed. R.

Civ. P. 38(b) (jury demand must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the last pleading directed to

the issue); see also e.g., King v. Patterson, 999 F.2d 351, 353 (8th Cir. 1993) (a jury trial demand

filed more than two months after filing a complaint and approximately seventy-eight (78) days

after defendants filed their answer was filed out of time pursuant to Rule 38).

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Jury Trial (ECF No. 27) is hereby **DENIED.**

2. <u>Motion for Settlement Conference.</u>

Plaintiff also requested a settlement conference in his Motion for Jury Trial. ECF 27. This

matter is set for a bench trial on Thursday, April 14, 2016 in Texarkana, Arkansas. ECF No. 25.

Plaintiff may contact counsel for Defendants at any time prior to the trial to discuss possible

settlement.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Settlement Conference (ECF No. 27) is hereby

DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 25th day of February 2016.

/s/ Barry A. Bryant

HON. BARRY A. BRYANT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

2