Wilmoth v. Lockhart et al Doc. 91

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

MICHAEL SHANE WILMOTH

PLAINTIFF

v.

Civil No. 10-5048

DEPUTY LOCKHART ET AL.

DEFENDANT

ORDER

NOW on this 15th day of September 2011, comes on for consideration the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 88) to which no objections have been filed. The Court, being well and sufficiently advised, has reviewed the Report and Recommendation and finds it sound in all respects.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge (Doc. 88) is adopted in toto.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, the Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice (Doc. 81) filed by separate defendants ARAMARK Correctional Services, LLC and Barbara Hardwick, is hereby denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Jimm Larry Hendren
HON. JIMM LARRY HENDREN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE