Ervin v. Hyslip et al Doc. 9

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS
FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

ROBIN WADE ERVIN PLAINTIFF

v. Civil No. 14-5102

DENNY HYSLIP, Public Defender; BLAKE CHANCELLOR, Public Defender; and ACE BAIL BONDS, LLC DEFENDANTS

ORDER

Now on this 2nd day of June, 2014, comes on for consideration the **Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge** (document #8), to which there are no objections, and the Court, being well and sufficiently advised, finds that the Report And Recommendation is sound in all respects[1], and should be adopted in its entirety.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the **Report And Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge** (document #8) is **adopted in its entirety.**

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, this case is dismissed as all claims asserted are frivolous, fail to state claims upon which relief may be granted, or are asserted against individuals immune from suit. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(I)-(iii)(in forma pauperis action may be dismissed on such grounds at any time). The dismissal of this case will constitute a strike under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). The Clerk is directed to place a § 1915(g) strike flag on the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Jimm Larry Hendren
JIMM LARRY HENDREN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

[1]The Court did, however, notice what seemed to be an error on page 3 of the Report and Recommendation. The first line of page 3 names "Hyslip and Norris" as defendants. Upon consultation with the recommending court, the Court finds that naming "Norris" as a defendant was a typographical error. The Report and Recommendation should have stated "Hyslip and Chancellor". The Court adopts and approves the Report and Recommendation with that correction.

Dockets.Justia.com