Rochell v. Cody Ross Doc. 185

> N THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION**

JOHNNIE ROCHELL, JR.

PLAINTIFF

٧.

CASE NO. 5:16-CV-5093

**CODY ROSS** 

DEFENDANT

**ORDER ON JURY VERDICT** 

On the 20<sup>th</sup> day of September, 2021, this matter came before the Court for trial to

a duly qualified and selected jury consisting of eight members. After three days of trial the

jury was instructed on applicable law and the case was submitted on interrogatories,

whereupon the jury retired to deliberate its verdict. Thereafter, the jury returned to open

court and delivered the following verdict:

**INTERROGATORY NO. 1**: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer

Ross used excessive force in arresting Mr. Rochell?

Answer: YES

/s/ Jury Foreperson

September 22, 2021

**INTERROGATORY NO. 2**: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer

Ross's actions were the direct cause of any actual damages sustained by Mr. Rochell?

Answer: NO

<u>/s/ Jury Foreperson</u>

September 22, 2021

INTERROGATORY NO. 3-B: If you answered "no" to Interrogatory No. 2, meaning that any damages sustained have no monetary value, you must nevertheless award nominal

damages in the amount of One Dollar (\$1.00).

Nominal Damages: \$1.00

/s/ Jury Foreperson

September 22, 2021

1

**INTERROGATORY NO. 4**: Do you find by a preponderance of the evidence that Officer Ross's conduct involved reckless or callous indifference to Mr. Rochell's Fourth Amendment rights?

Answer: YES

<u>/s/ Jury Foreperson</u> September 22, 2021

**INTERROGATORY NO. 5**: If you answered "yes" to Interrogatory No. 4, then determine whether you wish to award Mr. Rochell an additional amount of money as punitive damages. If you wish to award such punitive damages, state the amount you find appropriate, keeping in mind that the amount of punitive damages should bear a reasonable relationship to the harm caused to Mr. Rochell.

Punitive Damages: \$7,000.00

<u>/s/ Jury Foreperson</u> September 22, 2021

The Court found no irregularity as to the form of the verdict and the foreperson confirmed that the jury was unanimous in each of its responses. Neither party requested polling. The jury was then discharged of its duties and the trial was adjourned. A Judgment will follow. The Plaintiff may submit a Motion for Fees and Costs by no later than October 7, 2021.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 23rd day of September, 2021.

MOTHY L. BROOKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE