Kimball v. Tucker Doc. 21

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS FAYETTEVILLE DIVISION

DARREN COTY KIMBALL

**PLAINTIFF** 

V. CASE NO. 5:20-CV-05145

CORRECTIONAL OFFICER TUCKER

DEFENDANT

## **OPINION**

Plaintiff Darren C. Kimball filed this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1983. He proceeds *pro se* and *in forma pauperis*. Plaintiff was incarcerated in the Benton County Detention Center when he filed this action.

Plaintiff was specifically advised (Doc. 3) that he was required to immediately inform the Court of any change of address. If Plaintiff was transferred or released, Plaintiff was told he must advise the Court of any change in his address by no later than thirty (30) days from the time of his transfer to another facility or his release. Additionally, Rule 5.5(c)(2) of the Local Rules for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas requires *pro se* parties to "promptly notify the Clerk and other parties to the proceedings of any change in his or her address, to monitor the progress of the case, and to prosecute or defend the action diligently."

Plaintiff submitted a change of address notice on September 11, 2020 (Doc. 12). He indicated he had been transferred to the Fulton Regional Diagnostics Correctional Center in Fulton, Missouri. Any mail that had been returned was sent to him at that address. On November 2, 2020, mail was returned as undeliverable with a notation that Plaintiff has been released (Doc. 16). Plaintiff had until December 2, 2020, to provide the Court with a new address. He has not done so.

Defendant has now filed a Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 17). Defendant states that he has been unable to effect service of his correspondence, pleadings, and discovery requests. Defendant indicates that he sent mail to the Plaintiff on October 14, 2020, and November 4, 2020, and both were returned as undeliverable with a note that Plaintiff had been released.

To date. Plaintiff has not provided a new address or contacted the Court in anyway. Accordingly, Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. 17) is GRANTED and this case is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 5.5(c)(2) of the Local Rules for the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas.

IT IS SO ORDERED on this \_\_\_ day of December, 2020.

TIMOTHY L. BROOKS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE