Williamson v. Walters Doc. 24

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF ARKANSAS HOT SPRINGS DIVISION

CARLOS MONTANA WILLIAMSON

**PLAINTIFF** 

V.

CIVIL NO. 6:18-cv-6041-RTD-BAB

MARK A. WALTERS (Correctional Officer Arkansas Department of Correction) and GOLDEN DANIEL WAYNE (Disciplinary Hearing Judge)

**DEFENDANTS** 

## **ORDER**

The Court has received a report and recommendation (ECF No. 21) from United States Magistrate Judge Barry A. Bryant. Plaintiff has filed objections. Plaintiff provisionally filed the instant civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendants Walters and Wayne in their individual capacities. (ECF No. 12.) Plaintiff alleges his federal constitutional right to be free from the use of excessive force was violated during an altercation with Defendant Walters in the prison day clinic. (*Id.* at 4-10.) Plaintiff also challenges the constitutionality of the related disciplinary conviction that cost him thirty (30) days of punitive isolation and the loss of one year of good-time credit. (*Id.*) Plaintiff seeks damages and termination of the disciplinary conviction in his ADC records and an internal investigation of the allegations made in his complaint. (*Id.* at 14.) The Magistrate recommends that Plaintiff's personal capacity claim against Defendant Walters for the use of excessive force remain with the Court for further consideration. Citing *Heck v. Humphrey*, 512 U.S. 477 (1994), the Magistrate recommends that Plaintiff's other claims be dismissed without prejudice because Plaintiff has not alleged that his disciplinary conviction has been reversed, expunged, declared invalid, or called into question by a federal court.

The Court has conducted a de novo review of those portions of the report and recommendation to

which Plaintiff has objected. 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1). Plaintiff's objections offer neither law nor fact requiring

departure from the Magistrate's findings. The report and recommendation is otherwise proper, contains no

clear error, and is ADOPTED IN ITS ENTIRETY.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff's personal capacity claim against Defendant Walters

for the use of excessive force shall remain with the Court for further consideration. IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED that Plaintiff's other claims against Defendants Walters and Wayne are DISMISSED

WITHOUT PREJUDICE.

SO ORDERED this 1st day of March 2019.

/s/Robert T. Dawson

ROBERT T. DAWSON

SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE