

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

O

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|------------------------|
| In re: |) | Master File No. |
| |) | CV 01-11115-RSWL (CWx) |
| HOMESTORE.COM, INC. |) | |
| SECURITIES LITIGATION |) | |
| |) | ORDER |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| |) | |
| This Document Relates |) | |
| To: |) | |
| |) | |
| All Actions |) | |
| |) | |

The Court is presently in receipt of Lead Plaintiff California State Teachers' Retirement System ("Lead Plaintiff") and Defendant Stuart H. Wolff's ("Defendant") Joint and Separate Statements of the Parties Regarding Judgment [1398]. Having reviewed all papers submitted, the Court **NOW FINDS AND RULES AS FOLLOWS:**

As a threshold matter, the Court **GRANTS** Lead Plaintiff's Request for Judicial Notice of the judgment in Joe H. Miller, et al. v. Manuel P. Asensio, et al., the amended judgment in that action, and the partial

1 judgment in Chubb & Son, Inc. et al. v. Kelleher, et
2 al. [1401] pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201.
3 Fed. R. Evid. 201.

4 The Court **DENIES** Defendant's request for the entry
5 of judgment in his favor against Lead Plaintiff
6 California State Teachers' Retirement System in this
7 Action, as well as Defendant's request for costs
8 against Lead Plaintiff California State Teachers'
9 Retirement System. The Court finds that Defendant has
10 not established that he is entitled to Judgment in this
11 Action against Lead Plaintiff California State
12 Teachers' Retirement System, or that he is entitled to
13 costs against Lead Plaintiff California State Teachers'
14 Retirement System. See Miller v. Asensio & Co., Inc.,
15 364 F.3d 223 (4th Cir. 2004).
16
17
18
19

20 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

21 DATED: August 30, 2011.
22

23 RONALD S.W. LEW

24 **HONORABLE RONALD S.W. LEW**

25 Senior, U.S. District Court Judge
26
27
28