

1 KOHRS & FISKE
 J. PETER FISKE (State Bar No. 76408)
 2 DUNCAN MCCREARY (State Bar No. 225034)
 3250 Ocean Park Boulevard, Suite 130
 3 Santa Monica, California 90405-3218
 (310) 452-5524 Fax: (310) 452-6115
 4

(Exempt from filing fee per Govt. Code §6103)

JS-6

5 Attorneys for Defendants DEBRA DEBOSE, and IRENE QUINONES, public employees
 of PASADENA UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT
 6
 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 10

11 CLIFFORD RAMIRO MOSELEY,) CASE NO. CV 04-01973 RSWL
 12))
 13 Plaintiff,) JUDGMENT FOLLOWING JURY TRIAL
 14 vs.))
 15))
 16 IRENE QUINONES; DEBRA)
 JENKINS DEBOSE; and DOES 1-)
 10, Inclusive,)
 17))
 18 Defendants.)
 19 _____)

20 This action came on regularly for Trial on February 3, 2009
 21 before Honorable Ronald S. W. Lew, Senior United States District
 22 Court Judge, in Room 21 of the United States District Court for the
 23 Central District of California. Plaintiff Clifford Ramiro Moseley
 24 appeared by Gloria Dredd Haney, Esq., and defendants Irene Quinones
 25 and Debra Jenkins DeBose appeared by J. Peter Fiske, Esq. of Kohrs
 26 & Fiske.

27 A jury of eight persons was regularly impaneled and sworn.
 28 Witnesses were sworn and testified.

1 After plaintiff rested, defense counsel brought Motions for
2 Judgment as a Matter of Law under FRCP Rule 50(a) as to both
3 defendant Irene Quinones and defendant Debra DeBose. Upon due
4 consideration, the Court granted the Motion as to defendant DeBose,
5 and denied the Motion as to defendant Quinones. The case was
6 thereupon dismissed as against defendant DeBose, and Trial
7 continued as to defendant Quinones.

8 After hearing the evidence and argument of counsel, the jury
9 was duly instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the
10 jury with directions to return a verdict on special issues. The
11 jury deliberated and thereafter returned into Court with its
12 verdict consisting of the special issues submitted to the jury and
13 the answers given thereto by the jury, which said verdict was in
14 words and figures as follows, to wit:

15

16 "TITLE OF COURT AND CAUSE

17 We, the jury, find this Special Verdict based on the following
18 questions submitted to us:

19 **Question 1:** Did Plaintiff engage in a constitutionally
20 protected political activity, a form of free speech, as defined in
21 the court's instructions by engaging in union activity?

22

23 Answer Yes or No: Yes

24

25 If you answered Question 1 Yes, answer Question 2; if No, sign
26 and date this form.

27

28

1 **Question 2:** Was such protected political activity by the
2 Plaintiff a substantial or motivating factor in the School
3 District's decision not to rehire plaintiff?

4 Answer Yes or No: No

5

6 If you answered Question 2 Yes, answer Question 3; if No, sign
7 and date this form.

8

9 **Question 3:** Were the Defendant's acts the proximate or legal
10 cause of damages to the Plaintiff?

11 Answer Yes or No:

12

13 If you answered Question 3 Yes, answer Question 4; if No, sign
14 and date this form.

15

16 **Question 4:** Do you find that Plaintiff would not have been
17 rehired based on his job performance, even if his protected
18 activity had not been considered?

19 Answer Yes or No:

20 If you answer Question 4 Yes, sign and date this form; if No,
21 answer Question 5.

22

23 **Question 5:** Did plaintiff sustain monetary damages?

24 Answer Yes or No:

25

26 If your answer is Yes, in what amount?

27 \$

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Answer the next question.

Question 6: Did plaintiff sustain damages for emotional distress?

Answer Yes or No: _____

If your answer is Yes, in what amount?

\$ _____

Question 7: If you find the defendant engaged in conduct which was malicious, oppressive, or in reckless disregard of plaintiff's constitutional rights, should plaintiff be awarded punitive damages?

Answer Yes or No: _____

If your answer is Yes, in what amount?

\$ _____

SO SAY WE ALL.

DATED: February 10, 2009 NAME REDACTED BY COURT

Presiding Juror"

It appearing by reason of said dismissal as to defendant Debra Jenkins DeBose and by reason of said verdict as to defendant Irene

1 Quinones, that defendants Debra Jenkins DeBose and Irene Quinones
2 are entitled to judgment against plaintiff Clifford Ramiro Moseley:

3 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that said
4 plaintiff, Clifford Ramiro Moseley recover nothing by reason of his
5 Complaint and that Judgment shall be, and hereby is, entered in
6 favor of defendants Debra Jenkins DeBose and Irene Quinones and
7 against plaintiff Clifford Ramiro Moseley.

8

9 DATED: March 17, 2009

10

11

/s/

12

HONORABLE RONALD S. W. LEW
Senior, U.S. District Court Judge

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28