EXHIBIT 11

1	TONY WEST			
2	Assistant Attorney General ANDRÉ BIROTTE, Jr.			
3	United States Attorney VINCENT M. GARVEY			
4	PAUL G. FREEBORNE W. SCOTT SIMPSON			
•	JOSHUA E. GARDNER			
5	RYAN B. PARKER U.S. Department of Justice			
6	Civil Division Federal Programs Branch			
7	l P.O. Box 883			
8	Washington, D.C. 20044 Telephone: (202) 353-0543 Facsimile: (202) 616-8202			
9	E-Mail: paul.freeborne @usdoj. gov			
10	Attorneys for Defendants United States of America and Secretary of Defense			
11	·			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA EASTERN DIVISION			
13	LOG CABIN REPUBLICANS,) No. CV04-8425 (VAP) (Ex)		
14	Plaintiff,)) DEFENDANTS' EVIDENTIARY		
15	v.	OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'SAPPENDIX AND STATEMENT		
16	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND	OF GENUINE ISSUES IN OPPOSITION TO		
17	ROBERT GATES, Secretary of Defense,) DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR) SUMMARY JUDGMENT		
18	Defendants.			
19				
20				
21				
22				
23	Plaintiff submitted to the Court 3,094 pages of documents in its APPENDIX			
24	OF EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF LOG CABIN REPUBLICANS' OPPOSITION			
25	TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ("Plaintiff's			
26	Appendix"). Many of the documents in Plaintiff's Appendix, however, are			
27	inadmissible, and the Court should not consider them in ruling on Defendants'			
28				
	DEFENDANTS' EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S APPENDIX AND STATEMENT OF GENUINE ISSUES IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT -1-	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE CIVIL DIVISION, FEDERAL PROGRAMS BRANCH P.O. BOX 883, BEN FRANKLIN STATION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20044 (202) 353-0543		

Motion for summary judgment. *See Orr v. Bank of America*, 285 F.3d 764, 773 (9th Cir. 2002) ("A trial court may only consider admissible evidence in ruling on a motion for summary judgment.")(cited in Judge Phillips's Stand Order).

The deficiencies in Plaintiff's Appendix also taint PLAINTIFF'S STATEMENT OF GENUINE ISSUES IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT (Plaintiff's Statement of Issues"), as many of the purported genuine issues that Plaintiff identifies rely on inadmissible documents. For the Court's convenience, Defendants have created an appendix that identifies (1) documents from Plaintiff's Appendix that are inadmissible, (2) the reasons that the listed documents are inadmissible, and (3) the specific issues from Plaintiff's Statement of Issues that rely on each inadmissible document.

Documents From Plaintiff's Appendix ("App.")	Reasons the Document is Inadmissible	Genuine Issues that Cite to this Document
App. 0839-0887: PERSEREC Report Entitled: "Nonconforming Sexual Orientation and Military Suitability"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. In addition, this document is a draft that was never adopted by the Department of Defense ("DoD") because DoD personnel found the report to be flawed and outside the scope of the approved research. See App. 1293-1294. Accordingly, this report is not an admission by a party-opponent.	8
App. 1100-1128: Homosexuality and the Israel Defense Force	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	43, 46
App. 1129-1280: Gays in Foreign Militaries 2010: A Global Primer	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	40

_				
1 2 3 4	App. 1281-1292: Attitudes of Iraq and Afghanistan Veterans Toward Gay and Lesbian Service Members	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	35	
5 6 7 8	App. 1330-1359: Draft of PERSEREC report by Michael McDaniel	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. In addition, as this document is clearly marked as a draft, it is not a statement from a party-opponent.	8	
9 10 11 12 13	App. 1360-1405: PERSEREC report entitled "Homosexuality and Personnel Security"	This document states explicitly that it does not address the military's homosexual conduct policy, and it is, therefore, not relevant to Plaintiff's claims: "This work does not deal with the Department of Defense policy that excludes homosexuals from military service. The exclusion policy is separate from those policies that apply to a civilian being investigated for a clearance." App. 1366		
141516	App. 1406-1491: Successful Integration of Stigmatized Minorities Into The U.S. Army	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	App. 1492-1558: U.S. Army Research Institute (AIR) Research Report 1657	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. In addition, this document states on its face that it does not represent the position of the Department of the Army: "Note: The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position, unless so designated by other authorized documents." App. 1493	49	
24 25	App. 1730-1754: Comparative International Military Personnel Policies	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	53	

27

1 2	App. 1791-1806: February 2, 2010 transcript of Admiral	In his testimony, Admiral Mullen prefaced his comments with the following statement: "Mr. Chairman,	9, 44, 88
3	Mike Mullen's and Secretary of Defense	speaking for myself and myself only" App. 1795. Because he was not	
4	Robert Gates's testimony before the	speaking on behalf of the Government, Admiral Mullen's testimony from that	
5	Senate Armed Services Committee	point forward is not an admission by a party-opponent and constitutes inadmissible hearsay.	
6 7	App. 1807-1876:	This report constitutes an out-of-court	41, 43, 46
8	November 2000 report by Aaron Belkin and R.L. Evans entitled	statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	
9	"The Effects of Including Gay and	therefore, machinistic nearsay.	
10	Lesbian Soldiers in the British Armed		
11	Forces"		4.1
12	App. 1877-1888: 2003 Report by Aaron Belkin entitled "Don't	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is,	41
13	Ask, Don't Tell: Is the Gay Ban Based	therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	
14	on Military Necessity"		
15	App. 1889-1928: September 2000 report	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove	43, 46
16	by Aaron Belkin and R.L. Evans entitled "The Effects of	the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	
17 18	Including Gay and Lesbian Soldiers in the		
19	Australian Armed Forces		
20	App. 1929-1935: 2009 article by Col. Om	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove	153
21	Prakash entitled "The Efficacy of 'Don't	the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	
22	Ask, Don't Tell'"	·	
23	App. 1936-1973: 2010 report by Gary Gates	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove	115, 116, 121, 122
24	entitled "Lesbian, Gay, and Bisexual Men and	the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	
25	Women in the U.S. Military: Updated Estimates"		
26			

1 2 3 4 5	App. 1982-2013: March 24, 1995 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The First Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	20	
6 7 8 9	App. 2014-2049: 1996 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Second Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	21	
10 11 12 13	App. 2050-2089: 1997 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Third Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	22	
14 15 16 17 18	App. 2090-2168: 1998 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Fourth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	23	
19 20 21 22 23	App. 2169-2253: 1999 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Fifth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	24	
24 25 26 27	App. 2254-2340: 2000 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Sixth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	25	

App. 2341-2443: 2001 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Seventh Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	26
App. 2444-2500: 2002 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Eighth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	27
App. 2501-2561: 2003 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Ninth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	28
App. 2562-2617: 2004 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Tenth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass"	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	29
App. 2618-2621: February 24, 2010 Los Angeles Times article entitled "Navy Moves to Allow Women on Submarines"	This article constitutes inadmissible double hearsay. See e.g., Green v. Baca, 226 F.R.D. 624, 637 (C.D. Cal 2005) ("Generally, newspaper articles and television programs are considered hearsay under Rule 801(c) when offered for the truth of the matter asserted. Even when the actual statements quoted in a newspaper article constitute nonhearsay, or fall within a hearsay exception, their repetition in the newspaper creates a hearsay problem. Thus, statements in newspapers often constitute double hearsay.").	11
	report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Seventh Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2444-2500: 2002 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Eighth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2501-2561: 2003 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Ninth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2562-2617: 2004 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Ninth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2562-2617: 2004 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Tenth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2618-2621: February 24, 2010 Los Angeles Times article entitled "Navy Moves to Allow Women on	report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Seventh Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2444-2500: 2002 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Eighth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2501-2561: 2003 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Ninth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2562-2617: 2004 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Ninth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2562-2617: 2004 report entitled "Conduct Unbecoming: The Tenth Annual Report on "Don't Ask, Don't Tell, Don't Pursue, Don't Harass" App. 2618-2621: February 24, 2010 Los Angeles Times article entitled "Navy Moves to Allow Women on Submarines" This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay. This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.

1	App. 2773-2775:	This article constitutes inadmissible	72	
2	August 28, 2000 New York Times article	double hearsay.		
3	entitled "Military Reserves are Falling			
4	Short in Finding Recruits"			
5	App. 2776-2777: March 31, 2010	This article constitutes inadmissible	92	
6	Washington Post	double hearsay.		
7	article entitled "A 'Don't Ask, Don't			
8	Tell' Rules Complicate Survey of Troops on Policy Change'			
9			114 117	
10	App. 2778-2820: Balancing Your	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove	114, 117, 119, 120	
11	Balancing Your Strengths Against Your Felonies:	the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.		
12	Consideration for Military Recruitment of Ex-Offenders			
13	App. 2821-2836:	This report constitutes an out-of-court	42	
14	Report entitled "A Review of the Armed	statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is,		
15	Forces Policy on Homosexuality"	therefore, inadmissible hearsay.		
16	App. 2837-2878: "Effects of the 1992	This report constitutes an out-of-court	47	
17	Lifting of Restrictions	statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is,		
18	on Gay and Lesbian Service in the	therefore, inadmissible hearsay.		
19	Canadian Forces: Appraising the Evidence'			
20		Th:	156	
21	App. 2879-2881: March 14, 2007	This article constitutes inadmissible double hearsay.	156	
22	Washington Post article			
23	"Bigotry That Hurts Our Military"			
24	App. 2937-2945:	This transcript of a CNN interview	157	
2526	App. 2937-2945: January 30, 2010 transcript of CNN Interview with William Cohen	constitutes inadmissible double hearsay.		
_				

DEFENDANTS' EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S APPENDIX AND STATEMENT OF GENUINE ISSUES IN OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

27

1 2 3 4 5	App. 2946-2993: September 15, 2004 report by Nathaniel Frank, Ph. D. "Gays and Lesbians at War: Military Service in Iraq and Afghanistan under "Don't Ask, Don't Tell"	This article constitutes inadmissible double hearsay.	113	
6 7 8 9	App. 2994: March 29, 2010 article in Roll Call entitled "Wesley Clark Backs Cunningham in North Carolina	This article constitutes inadmissible double hearsay.	158	
10 11 12	App. 2995-3093: August 1992, Update of the U.S. Army Research Institute's Longitudinal Research Data Base of Enlisted Personnel	This report constitutes an out-of-court statement offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	137	
13141516	App. 3094: February 3, 1020 New York Times article entitled "Powell Favors Repeal of 'Don't Ask, Don't Tell"	This article constitutes inadmissible double hearsay.	152	
17	Inadmissible Documents Cited in Plaintiff's Genuine Issues But Not Included			
18		in Its Appendix		
19 20 21 22	Log Cabin Military Survey of Membership, produced by Plaintiff as bates Nos. LCR 001-017 and included as Exhibit B to the Declaration of Terry Hamilton	This "survey" is a compilation of out- of-court statements introduced as evidence to prove the truth of the matters asserted and is, therefore, inadmissible hearsay.	137	
23	Tony numicon	<u> </u>		
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				