

1 DAN WOODS (State Bar No. 78638)
 EARLE MILLER (State Bar No. 116864)
 2 AARON KAHN (State Bar No. 238505)
 WHITE & CASE LLP
 3 633 W. Fifth Street, Suite 1900
 Los Angeles, CA 90071-2007
 4 Telephone: (213) 620-7700
 Facsimile: (213) 452-2329
 5 Email: dwoods@whitecase.com
 Email: emiller@whitecase.com
 6 Email: aakahn@whitecase.com

7 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 Log Cabin Republicans
 8

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 11

12 LOG CABIN REPUBLICANS, a
 13 nonprofit corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and
 17 ROBERT M. GATES (substituted for
 Donald H. Rumsfeld pursuant to FRCP
 18 25(d)), SECRETARY OF DEFENSE,
 19 in his official capacity,

20 Defendants.
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

Case No. CV04-8425 VAP (Ex)

AMENDED INDEX OF PLAINTIFF'S
 DEPOSITION DESIGNATIONS AND
 DEFENDANTS' COUNTER-
 DESIGNATIONS AND OBJECTIONS
 THERETO

Judge: Hon. Virginia A. Phillips

Complaint filed: October 12, 2004
 Trial Date: July 13, 2010

Pretrial Conf: June 28, 2010
 2:30 p.m.

Deposition Designations of Jamie Scott Brady

Designations			
From	To	Party (P/D)	Objections
6:1	6:6	D	
6:9	7:13	P	
7:14	7:18	D	
7:19	8:14	P	
8:21	9:20	P	
9:21	10:2	D	
10:3	12:13	P	
12:14	20:13	D	
20:14	21:9	P	21:3-9 Irrelevant
21:10	22:6	D	
22:7	22:11	P	
22:12	23:6	P	Irrelevant
23:7	26:17	P	Irrelevant
26:18	27:1	D	
27:2	27:14	P	
27:15	28:14	D	
28:15	29:6	P	28:22-29:6 Irrelevant
29:7	29:9	D	
29:10	30:5	P	Irrelevant
30:8	30:21	P	
30:22	31:3	D	
31:4	31:10	P	
31:11	31:16	D	
31:17	31:21	P	Irrelevant
31:22	33:2	D	
33:3	33:15	P	Irrelevant
33:16	33:22	D	
34:1	34:7	P	
34:8	34:12	D	
34:13	36:5	P	Irrelevant
36:6	36:7	P	Irrelevant
36:8	37:1	D	
37:2	44:2	P	37:2-39:4; 39:12-40:5; 40:22-44:2 Irrelevant
44:3	44:20	D	
44:21	45:13	P	
45:14	55:12	D	53:7-54:13 Irrelevant
55:13	55:20	P	
55:21	56:7	D	
56:8	59:11	P	59:6-11 Vague
59:12	59:15	D	
59:16	59:22	P	
60:2	61:2	P	
61:3	64:22	D	
65:1	65:22	P	
66:1	66:11	P	
66:12	67:15	D	

Deposition Designations of Jamie Scott Brady (Continued)

Designations			
From	To	Party (P/D)	Objections
67:16	68:2	P	
68:3	71:14	D	
71:15	72:1	P	
72:2	83:11	D	
83:12	89:13	P	83:12-84:14 Irrelevant
89:14	95:13	D	92:10-93:12 Irrelevant
95:14	96:7	P	Irrelevant
96:8	97:17	D	97:9-97:17 Irrelevant
98:15	104:16	D	
104:17	105:16	P	Irrelevant
105:17	106:2	D	
106:3	107:8	P	Irrelevant
107:9	108:17	D	
108:18	109:4	P	
109:5	126:11	D	
126:12	128:21	P	
128:22	131:18	D	
131:19	133:21	P	
133:22	138:5	D	137:4-138:4 Irrelevant
138:6	152:16	P	138:18-152:16 Irrelevant
152:17	152:21	P	Irrelevant
153:5	154:8	P	153:5-11 Vague
154:9	166:5	D	
169:21	192:6	D	170:9-173:6; 175:15-183:6 Irrelevant
192:7	192:13	P	
192:14	192:22	D	
193:1	193:21	P	
193:22	194:1	D	
194:2	195:1	P	194:18-195:1 Calls for speculation, lack of foundation, vague
195:2	201:16	D	
201:17	203:20	P	
203:21	206:5	D	
206:6	206:16	P	
206:17	207:14	D	
207:15	208:10	P	Irrelevant
208:11	209:8	D	
209:9	210:7	P	209:9-18 Irrelevant
210:8	211:3	D	
211:4	211:21	P	
211:22	215:2	D	
215:3	221:10	P	220:7-17 Irrelevant, vague
221:13	226:10	P	
226:11	226:15	D	226:11-15 Irrelevant
226:16	228:6	P	226:16-227:14 Irrelevant 227:20-228:6 Irrelevant, calls for speculation, lack of foundation
228:7	228:21	D	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Deposition Designations of Jamie Scott Brady (Continued)

Designations			
From	To	Party (P/D)	Objections
228:22	235:3	P	230:17-22 Vague 232:2-19; 234:4-235:3 Irrelevant
235:4	235:17	D	
235:18	240:6	P	
240:7	243:12	D	
243:13	245:20	P	243:22-245:12 Irrelevant
245:21	246:8	D	
246:9	248:21	P	
258:7	260:18	P	259:6-14 Calls for speculation, lack of foundation 259:16-19 Lack of foundation 259:20-260:6 Vague
262:20	263:12	D	262:21-263:12 Speculation, an incomplete hypothetical question
263:17	265:16	D	265:12-16 Leading
265:18	266:12	D	265:18: Leading
266:15	268:9	D	
268:11	273:22	P	

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Deposition Designations of Dennis Drogo

In addition to the objections reflected in the table below, Defendants object that the entire deposition of Dennis Drogo is irrelevant.

Designations			
From	To	Party (P/D)	Objections
6:2	11:3	P	
11:4	12:11	D	
12:12	20:5	P	
20:7	39:5	P	20:7-19 Argumentative, calls for speculation 21:5-18 Calls for speculation 33:12-34:6 Asked and answered 36:15-20 Vague 38:1-11 Argumentative
39:6	39:16	D	
39:17	52:9	P	
53:7	55:3	P	Calls for speculation
55:19	56:5	P	Calls for speculation
56:6	56:7	D	
56:8	58:1	P	56:17-57:3 Vague
58:2	60:22	D	
61:1	62:1	P	
62:2	62:10	D	
62:11	63:15	P	
63:16	64:7	D	
64:8	64:22	P	
65:1	67:6	D	
67:14	68:10	P	
68:14	70:21	P	70:15-21 Calls for speculation
68:11	68:13	D	

///
///
///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Deposition Designations of Paul Gade

In addition to the objections reflected in the table below, Defendants object that the entire deposition of Paul Gade is irrelevant.

Designations			
From	To	Party (P/D)	Objections
6:1	91:5	P	
91:6	93:22	D	
94:1	118:18	P	99:2-20 Vague 101:4-102:13 Vague, lack of foundation 114:1-8 Lack of foundation