1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIV Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Rachel M. Herrick (Bar No. 191060) rachelherrick@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, California 94065-213	
9 10	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
11	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
12	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
<ol> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants. AND COUNTERCLAIM PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation, Plaintiff, vs. AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation; A9.COM, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, Defendants.	CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05- 4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
27		
28	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED	Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

Google Inc. ("Google") hereby answers the second amended complaint 1 of Perfect 10, Inc. ("Perfect 10") as follows: 2 3 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 4 1. Google admits that Perfect 10 has brought claims in its second 5 amended complaint under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, et. seq., and under the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1051, et. seq. Google states that the remaining 6 allegations of paragraph 1 of the second amended complaint constitute legal 7 8 conclusions for which no response is necessary. 9 Google admits that venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 2. U.S.C. § 1391(c). 10 Google admits that personal jurisdiction is proper over it. 11 3. Google denies that there has been any wrongful activity at issue. Google denies the 12 13 remaining allegations of paragraph 3 of the second amended complaint. 14 THE PARTIES 15 4 Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 4 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it 16 denies the allegations. 17 5. 18 Google admits that it is a California corporation which owns and operates an Internet website located at the URL google.com and denies the 19 20 remaining allegations of paragraph 5 of the second amended complaint. 21 6. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 6 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it 22 23 denies the allegations. 24 7. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 7 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it 25 denies the allegations. 26 27 **THE BUSINESS OF PERFECT 10** 28 -1-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

8. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
 allegations of paragraph 8 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
 denies the allegations.

9. Google denies that PERFECT 10 was a well-known magazine.
Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations of
paragraph 9 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it denies the
allegations.

8 10. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
9 allegations of paragraph 10 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
10 denies the allegations.

11 11. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
allegations of paragraph 11 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
denies the allegations.

14 12. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
15 allegations of paragraph 12 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
16 denies the allegations.

17 13. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
allegations of paragraph 13 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
denies the allegations.

20 14. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
21 allegations of paragraph 14 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
22 denies the allegations.

15. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
allegations of paragraph 15 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
denies the allegations.

26 16. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
27 allegations of paragraph 16 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
28 denies the allegations.

-2- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1 17. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
 2 allegations of paragraph 17 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
 3 denies the allegations.

4

# THE BUSINESS OF GOOGLE

5 18. Google admits that it operates a search engine available at the 6 website google.com. Google admits that the users of its search engine use the 7 search engine at that website to locate information available on the Internet. Google 8 offers numerous different search functions, including Web Search, a search of the 9 World Wide Web generally looking for terms found on the Internet; Image Search, a 10 search of the World Wide Web generally looking for images found on the Internet; a "Groups" function, which allows users to create, search and browse discussion 11 groups on the Internet; a "News" search, which aggregates news items posted on the 12 Internet and allows users to search them; "Product Search," which allows users to 13 search for products available online; the "Google Scholar" search, which allows 14 15 users to search diverse sources of scholarly research, including books, peerreviewed papers, abstracts and articles; and other types of search for a wide variety 16 of information. Google also provides "Blogger," a web publishing service and 17 optional hosting service, whereby third-party users may create a personal website 18 19 and post content to the Internet.

20 Google's ambition is to apply its groundbreaking, and patented, search 21 technology to enable persons around the globe to have instantaneous access to virtually every kind of information. Google admits that when a user types search 22 terms into a field in a search interface on Google's website, Google's search engines 23 will frequently generate a list of links to websites associated with the search terms 24 25 and will display those links on google.com, sometimes with a short excerpt, extract, or description of the content in each of those websites. Google admits that when a 26 user types search terms into a field in a search interface on Google's website, in 27 28 addition to a link to a website associated with the search terms, Google also Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated -3with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

sometimes provides a link to "cached" content that resides on Google's servers,
 which is a snapshot that Google takes of a web page as Google's search engine
 software crawls the Internet. Google denies that it has infringed copyrights, or that
 it has assisted others in infringing copyrights.

Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the remaining
allegations of paragraph 18 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it
denies the allegations.

8 19. Google admits that it offers an image search feature to provide 9 users with images related to whatever search term or terms users input. Google 10 admits that searches performed using the Image Search feature of the search engine return reduced-size images from websites throughout the Internet, and that Google 11 12 creates those reduced-size images. Google admits that, as part of the current automated crawl process that generates Google's Image Search index, copies of the 13 crawled images are saved to Google servers. Google denies that Image Search 14 15 results return high-quality copies of images that reside on Google's own servers. Google admits that Huch Medien GmbH filed a lawsuit against Google. Google 16 lacks information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 17 19 of the second amended complaint and on that basis it denies the allegations. 18

Google admits that Image Search locates images available on the 19 20. 20 Internet by analyzing the text on the page adjacent to the image, the image caption 21 and dozens of other factors to determine the image content. Google admits that Image Search also uses sophisticated algorithms to remove duplicates and ensure 22 that quality images are presented first in search results. Google denies that it 23 commits copyright infringement or defamation. Google lacks information or belief 24 to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 20 of the second amended 25 complaint, and on that basis it denies the allegations. 26

27

28

Google admits that it sells millions of dollars of advertising each 21. 1 2 year to advertisers wishing to have their websites seen by Google users. Google 3 denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 21 of the second amended complaint. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 22 of the second 22. 4 amended complaint. 5 Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 6 23. allegations of paragraph 23 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 7 8 denies the allegations. 9 Google denies that it would be virtually impossible for 24. 10 consumers to locate websites, allegedly infringing or otherwise, if they were not directed to them by Google. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 11 remaining allegations of paragraph 24 of the second amended complaint, and on that 12 basis it denies the allegations. 13 Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 14 25. allegations of paragraph 25 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 15 denies the allegations. 16 Google denies the allegations of paragraph 26 of the second 17 26. 18 amended complaint. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 27 of the second 19 27. 20 amended complaint. 21 28. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 28 of the second amended complaint. 22 23 29. Google admits that it has implemented an advertising program it 24 refers to as "AdWords," and that under that program customers pay Google a fee corresponding to occasions when a user of Google's search engine clicks on an 25 advertisement for the websites. Google admits that under the AdWords program 26 customers agree to abide by Google's Terms and Conditions which include 27 prohibitions of illegal conduct, including copyright infringement, and which permit 28 -5-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

Google to terminate accounts of customers who violate these terms. Google admits
 that to place an advertisement, an advertiser must, among other things, submit to
 Google the text of the ad, the relevant URL, and a keyword. Google denies the
 remaining allegations of paragraph 29 of the second amended complaint.

30. Google denies the allegations of the first sentence of paragraph
30 of the second amended complaint. Google lacks information or belief to admit or
deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 30 of the second amended complaint
and on that basis denies the allegations.

9 31. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
10 allegations of paragraph 31 of the second amended complaint and on that basis
11 denies the allegations.

32. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the first two
sentences of paragraph 32 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis
denies the allegations. Google denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 32 of
the second amended complaint.

Google admits that it has implemented an advertising program 16 33. known as "AdSense," from which Google generates revenues and under which 17 website publishers agree to abide by Google's Terms and Conditions that include 18 prohibitions of illegal conduct, including copyright infringement, and which permit 19 20 Google to terminate accounts of website publishers who violate these terms. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the allegations of paragraph 33 of the 21 22 second amended complaint that Google advertisements have appeared next to more 23 than 10,000 Perfect 10 copyrighted works, and that there are currently hundreds of 24 Perfect 10 reduced-size images on Google's servers which, when clicked on, promote websites that are Google advertising partners, and on that basis denies the 25 allegations. Google denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 33 of the second 26 amended complaint. 27

28

Google denies the allegations of paragraph 34 of the second 34. 1 2 amended complaint. 3 35. Google admits that, in some contexts, it is paid on a pay-perclick-through basis. Google denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 35 of the 4 5 second amended complaint. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 36 of the second 6 36. 7 amended complaint. 8 37 Google admits that Google's Web Search includes a caching function, and that its services include Google Page Creator, Google Analytics, 9 10 Google Groups, a translation service, and Blogger. Google denies the remaining allegations of paragraph 37 of the second amended complaint. 11 FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF 12 13 38. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to paragraphs 1 thorough 37, above. 14 Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 15 39. allegations in paragraph 39 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 16 denies the allegations. 17 40. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 18 allegations in paragraph 40 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 19 denies the allegations. 20 21 41. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 41 of the second amended complaint. 22 23 42 Google denies the allegations in paragraph 42 of the second 24 amended complaint. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 43 of the second 25 43. amended complaint. 26 27 Google denies the allegations in paragraph 44 of the second 44. 28 amended complaint. -7-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

Google denies the allegations in paragraph 45 of the second 45. 1 2 amended complaint. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 46 of the second 3 46 amended complaint. 4 5 47. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 47 of the second amended complaint. 6 7 Google denies the allegations in paragraph 48 of the second 48. 8 amended complaint. 9 49. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 49 of the second 10 amended complaint. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 50 of the second 11 50. amended complaint. 12 13 51. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 51 of the second amended complaint. 14 Google denies the allegations in paragraph 52 of the second 15 52. amended complaint. 16 Google denies the allegations in paragraph 53 of the second 17 53. amended complaint. 18 SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF 19 Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to 20 54. 21 paragraphs 1 thorough 37, above. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 22 55. 23 allegations in paragraph 55 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 24 denies the allegations. Google denies that any of Perfect 10's alleged trademarks are 25 56. widely known throughout the United States. Google lacks information or belief to 26 admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 56 of the second amended 27 complaint, and on that basis it denies the allegations. 28 -8-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

57. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
 allegations in paragraph 57 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it
 denies the allegations.

4 58. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
5 allegations in paragraph 58 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it
6 denies the allegations.

59. Google denies that any of Perfect 10's alleged trademarks are
widely known throughout the United States and elsewhere. Google lacks
information or belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations in paragraph 59 of
the second amended complaint, and on that basis it denies the allegations.

11 60. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 60 of the second12 amended complaint.

13 61. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 61 of the second14 amended complaint.

15 62. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 62 of the second
16 amended complaint.

17 63. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 63 of the second18 amended complaint.

19 64. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 64 of the second20 amended complaint.

21 65. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 65 of the second
22 amended complaint.

23 66. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 66 of the second
24 amended complaint.

25 67. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 67 of the second
26 amended complaint.

27

28

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

-9- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1	68. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to	
2	paragraphs 1 thorough 37 and 54 through 67, above.	
3	69. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 69 of the second	
4	amended complaint.	
5	70. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the	
6	allegations in the first sentence of paragraph 70 of the second amended complaint,	
7	and on that basis it denies the allegations. Google denies the remaining allegations	
8	in paragraph 70 of the second amended complaint.	
9	71. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 71 of the second	
10	amended complaint.	
11	FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
12	72. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to	
13	paragraphs 1 thorough 37 and 54 through 71, above.	
14	73. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 73 of the second	
15	amended complaint.	
16	74. Google denies the allegations in paragraph 74 of the second	
17	amended complaint.	
18	75. Google admits that, in some contexts, when AdSense	
19	advertisements are clicked on, Google receives revenue. Google lacks information	
20	or belief to admit or deny the allegations purporting to describe Exhibits 2 and 4 of	
21	the second amended complaint, and on that basis denies the allegations. Google	
22	denies the remaining allegations in paragraph 75 of the second amended complaint.	
23	76. Google admits that advertisements from AdWords customers	
24	appear under the "Sponsored Links" section of Google Web Search results. Google	
25	admits that, when users click on "Sponsored Links," Google receives revenue.	
26	Google admits that advertisers participate in an auction that determines whether or	
27	not their advertisement will appear under the heading "Sponsored Links," and that	
28	the bid is one of many factors that determines the appearance or nonappearance of -10- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]	
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

the advertisement in "Sponsored Links." Google admits that the ranking of pages in
 Google search results is determined by Google's patented PageRank technology,
 which relies on the uniquely democratic nature of the web by using its vast link
 structure as an indicator of an individual page's value. Google denies that Google
 search results and "Sponsored Links" are one and the same. Google denies the
 remaining allegations in paragraph 76 of the second amended complaint.

7 77. Google denies the allegations in the first sentence of paragraph
77 of the second amended complaint. Google lacks information or belief to admit or
9 deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 77 of the second amended complaint,
10 and on that basis it denies the allegations.

11 78. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 78 of the second12 amended complaint.

13 79. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 79 of the second14 amended complaint.

80. Google admits that Image Search locates images available on the
Internet by analyzing the text on the page adjacent to the image, the image caption
and dozens of other factors to determine the image content. Google admits that
Image Search also uses sophisticated algorithms to remove duplicates and ensure
that quality images are presented first in search results. Google lacks information or
belief to admit or deny the remaining allegations of paragraph 80 of the second
amended complaint, and on that basis it denies the allegations.

81. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the
allegations of paragraph 81 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it
denies the allegations.

25 82. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 82 of the second
26 amended complaint.

27 83. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 83 of the second
28 amended complaint.

-11- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1	FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
2	84. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to	
3	paragraphs 1 thorough 37 and 54 through 71, above.	
4	85. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the	
5	allegations of paragraph 85 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it	
6	denies the allegations.	
7	86. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 86 of the second	
8	amended complaint.	
9	87. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 87 of the second	
10	amended complaint.	
11	88. Google admits that one or more advertisers has purchased the	
12	name of one or more models in Exhibit 8 of the second amended complaint as a	
13	keyword in Google's AdWords program. Google denies the remaining allegations	
14	of paragraph 88 of the second amended complaint.	
15	89. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 89 of the second	
16	amended complaint.	
17	90. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 90 of the second	
18	amended complaint.	
19	91. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 91 of the second	
20	amended complaint.	
21	92. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 92 of the second	
22	amended complaint.	
23	SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF	
24	93. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to	
25	paragraphs 1 thorough 37 and 54 through 92, above.	
26	94. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 94 of the second	
27	amended complaint.	
28	-12- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

Google denies the allegations of paragraph 95 of the second 95. 1 2 amended complaint. 3 SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF 96 Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges its responses to 4 5 paragraphs 1 thorough 37 and 54 through 71, above. Google lacks information or belief to admit or deny the 6 97. 7 allegations of paragraph 97 of the second amended complaint, and on that basis it 8 denies the allegations. 9 98. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 98 of the second 10 amended complaint. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 99 of the second 11 99. amended complaint. 12 13 100. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 100 of the second amended complaint. 14 101. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 101 of the second 15 amended complaint. 16 102. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 102 of the second 17 amended complaint. 18 103. Google denies the allegations of paragraph 103 of the second 19 amended complaint. 20 21 **GENERAL DENIAL** 104. Except as expressly admitted herein, Google denies each and 22 23 every allegation of plaintiff's second amended complaint, and specifically denies 24 that Google has infringed upon any of plaintiff's purported rights, or that plaintiff is entitled to any relief against Google. 25 26 27 28 -13-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1	AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES	
2	By alleging the Affirmative Defenses set forth below, Google does not	
3	agree or concede that it bears the burden of proof or the burden of persuasion on any	
4	of these issues, whether in whole or in part.	
5	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
6	Plaintiff's second amended complaint, and each cause of action within	
7	it, in whole or in part, fails to state a cause of action.	
8	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	This Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over claims to enforce	
10	copyrights for which Plaintiff has not obtained, or has not pleaded ownership of,	
11	validly issued copyright registrations.	
12	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
13	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of	
14	copyright fair use.	
15	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
16	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by relevant statutes of	
17	limitations.	
18	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
19	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of	
20	laches.	
21	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by its failure to	
23	mitigate damages.	
24	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
25	Plaintiff lacks standing, in whole or in part, to assert claims pertaining	
26	to the publicity or intellectual property rights of others, or to assert unfair	
27	competition claims based on alleged harm to third parties.	
28		
	-14- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

1	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by its failure to join	
3	indispensable parties.	
4	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
5	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by free speech rights	
6	guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution and by the	
7	Constitution of California and other states.	
8	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
9	Plaintiff's state-law claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the	
10	Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230(c).	
11	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	Plaintiff's claims are pre-empted, in whole or in part, by the Copyright	
13	Act, 17 U.S.C. § 301.	
14	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
15	Plaintiff's copyright claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the	
16	Online Copyright Infringement Liability Limitation Act, 17 U.S.C. § 512.	
17	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
18	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by estoppel.	
19	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
20	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by consent,	
21	acquiescence, and actual and/or implied license.	
22	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by unclean hands.	
24	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
25	Plaintiff's remedies are barred, in whole or in part, by 15 U.S.C. §	
26	1114(2) and Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et. seq.	
27		
28		
	-15- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]	
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

1	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	Plaintiff's claims for damages, including but not limited to statutory	
3	and/or punitive damages, are barred, in whole or in part, by Google's right to due	
4	process under the United States Constitution and/or the Constitution of California	
5	and other states.	
6	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	Plaintiff's claims for statutory damages and attorney's fees are barred,	
8	in whole or in part, by the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 412.	
9	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	Plaintiff's claims for damages, including for disgorgement of Google's	
11	alleged profits, attributable to sales or other activities outside the United States are	
12	barred by reason of the Copyright Act's territorial limitations and by the lack of	
13	subject matter jurisdiction over such extra-territorial claims in proceedings under the	
14	U.S. Copyright Act.	
15	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
16	Plaintiff's claims for damages, including for disgorgement of Google's	
17	alleged profits, attributable to sales or other activities outside the United States are	
18	barred by reason of the Lanham Act's territorial limitations and by the lack of	
19	subject matter jurisdiction over such extra-territorial claims in proceedings under the	
20	Lanham Act.	
21	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
22	Plaintiff's second amended complaint is barred, in whole or in part,	
23	because plaintiff's alleged marks are generic, unprotectable, and lack secondary	
24	meaning with respect to services provided by Google.	
25	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
26	Plaintiff's claimed rights in the purported marks and the registrations	
27	thereon are invalid.	
28	TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	-16- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]	
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

1	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the alleged use	
2	of Perfect 10's trademarks has created no likelihood of confusion.	
3	TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
4	Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of	
5	trademark fair use and nominative fair use.	
6	TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	Plaintiff is barred from obtaining any relief from Google in this action	
8	because plaintiff has suffered no injury or damage as a result of any act or conduct	
9	by Google, and none of Google's revenues or profits is attributable to its allegedly	
10	infringing conduct.	
11	COUNTERCLAIMS	
12	<u>INTRODUCTION</u>	
13	Google and Its Search and Reporting Technology	
14	1. This case is fundamentally about the legality of web search	
15	engines. In challenging Google in this case, Perfect 10 attempts to create a vast new	
16	set of liabilities and burdens that are incompatible with the technological and	
17	business necessities of the search industry and contrary to basic principles of free	
18	speech.	
19	2. Google is a global technology leader focused on improving the	
20	ways people connect with information. Google's innovations in web search and	
21	advertising have made its website a top Internet destination and its brand one of the	
22	most recognized in the world. Google is the world's most popular tool for accessing	
23	information on the Internet.	
24	3. The Internet is a massive, interconnected network of networks of	
25	computing devices that offer a vast array of resources to users of the network. The	
26	Internet operates by digital technologies that operate through extensive conversion,	
27	reproduction, transmission, and display or other output of many types of data.	
28		
	-17- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]	
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS	

1 4. Google maintains the world's largest online index of websites 2 and of content stored on web pages, and Google makes this information freely 3 available to anyone with an Internet connection. Google's automated search technology helps people obtain nearly instant access to relevant information from 4 our vast online index. Google relies upon thousands and thousands of computer 5 network servers containing indices of over 10 billion documents and extraordinarily 6 sophisticated software technology that can handle thousands of queries per second 7 8 from around the world and deliver results in under a second in over 40 languages.

9 5. In addition to its search services, Google operates and maintains
10 numerous other services. One such service is Blogger, a web publishing service and
11 optional hosting service, whereby third-party users may create a personal website
12 and post content on the Internet.

6. At a basic level, Google's search engine operates in four phases.
Google (1) uses an automated process to "crawl" the web to find websites, (2)
indexes the content found on those websites, (3) responds to search queries by
presenting results that are relevant to the search terms, and (4) provides links to the
web location where the content resides.

Google has accomplished what it has because its processes and
 systems are highly automated and scalable. Exhibit A to the Answer and
 Counterclaims is a true copy of the Technology Overview of the Google system
 found at www.google.com/corporate/tech.html.

8. While Google indexes a massive number of pages on the web
through automated processes, it refrains from indexing pages for which the sponsor
of the page has used standard technical means, such as called a "robot exclusion
header," a metatag, or a robots.txt file that indicates a website publisher's desire to
be passed over by automated indexing tools.

9. Google seeks to be comprehensive in describing relevant content
 on the web and to provide relevant and useful search results that enable users to

 -18 Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

locate and identify web content that matches what they seek. Google's automated
 search and reporting process provides results neutrally according to its formulas,
 independently of financial incentives. Google does not accept payment for inclusion
 or ranking in search results, and it identifies and distinguishes sponsored links that
 appear separately on search result pages.

10. Google uses a proprietary and objective system for ranking the 6 display of search results in response to queries entered by a user. Its PageRank 7 8 technology performs an objective measurement of the importance of web pages by 9 solving an equation of more than 500 million variables and 2 billion terms. 10 PageRank relies on the uniquely democratic nature of the web by using its vast link structure as an indicator of an individual page's value. Important, high-quality sites 11 12 receive a higher PageRank, which Google remembers each time it conducts a search. Google combines PageRank with sophisticated text-matching techniques to 13 find pages that are both important and relevant to user searches. 14

15 11. Google has revolutionized the way people around the world
16 search for information. It has changed the lives of students, journalists,
17 genealogists, scholars, lawyers, doctors, politicians, medical patients, and virtually
18 everyone else who interacts with the Internet on a regular basis. There are countless
19 purposes for which persons use Google.

20 12. Google is not the only information location tool for the Internet. 21 There are numerous other tools for searching for information and content on the 22 Internet, including other search engines, directories, recommendation sites, and the 23 like. Without Google, users could use other search engines and other information 24 location tools to find information, including infringing or other unlawful content, on the Internet. Many persons prefer Google to other search engines, however, because 25 of the size of Google's index and because of their satisfaction with Google's ordering 26 of search results. 27

28

-19- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1 13. Google's search engine indexes textual content of web pages, 2 information in source code of web pages but not displayed to the viewer, and links 3 information among pages. Google does not create a human-edited index by evaluating or describing the content of pages; it relies upon the verbal content of 4 web pages, with certain automated tools applied to improve the quality of indexing 5 and search results. This process is contrasted with web directories, which make 6 human-based editorial determinations and judgments about the classification and 7 8 characterization of websites.

9 14 When a user enters a search term or phrase into its web search 10 engine, Google returns search results that identify web pages that contain that term 11 or phrase or pages that were linked to by other pages that contain the term or phrase. 12 While the presentation of search results may vary according to the nature of the source and the results, Google often furnishes (1) a page title taken from the code 13 14 for the origin website, (2) a short excerpt from the text on the site, (3) the URL (or 15 an excerpt of the URL), (4) the size of the indexed page, (5) the date when the page was last indexed by Google, (6) a link to Google's cache of the original page, which 16 17 is particularly useful in case the original site has been changed or is down, and (7) a link to "similar pages" as identified through an automated tool that is influenced by 18 the number of common words among the pages. In addition to search results, in the 19 20 case of word searches Google may also display sponsored links in a separate area of the search results page. 21

15. Upon clicking on the title of a word search result delivered by
Google, a user is taken to the corresponding indexed page on the original website.
If it is down or changed from what Google indexed, by clicking on Google's cache
link a user is taken to a copy of the page in Google's index, with the searched terms
highlighted, information about the date and time the cached copy was retrieved from
the original website, a link to the current page, and the complete original URL of the
page cached by Google.

-20- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1 16. Google's image search engine allows users to search for images
 2 stored on the web using keywords.

3 17. Google's image search engine indexes images based on text that
4 accompanies the images at their locations on the web, including text contained in
5 file names of the images' locations. Google does not itself generate verbal
6 descriptions of the content of the images.

7 18. Google's image search engine delivers results to users by 8 displaying (1) "thumbnails" of the indexed images, typically scaled down versions of the images (thumbnails are generally approximately 100 by 100 pixels in size, 9 10 whereas original size full-screen images on a modern high-resolution monitor may be approximately 700 by 1000 pixels, meaning that the thumbnails may contain less 11 than two percent of the data of the original image), (2) some label (file) information 12 of the indexed image, (3) size information regarding the image, and (4) part or all 13 (depending on the length of the web "Uniform Resource Locator" ("URL")) of the 14 full web URL of the image. 15

19. Google's image search engine returns search results using the 16 17 most descriptive tool available to assist the user in determining whether the displayed items match the desired image: an extract from the image itself. As the 18 maxim says, "a picture is worth a thousand words," and text descriptions (such as 19 20 "high school football player with red jersey in air catching ball, facing left, before crowd") are likely to be too vague to be valuable to a search user. There is no 21 practical way to create verbal descriptions for all indexed images on the web instead 22 23 of using extracts of the images in the search results. The images presented in the search results are degraded from the originals because of the extraction used in 24 presenting them, but they are essential to an efficient identification of the image 25 26 content.

27 20. When a Google user clicks on an image listed in image search
 28 results, Google responds by (1) displaying a "thumbnail" of the indexed image, (2)
 -21- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

providing information (either a full or a partial web address) about the file location of the image file on the site of origin, (3) providing a hypertext link to the site of origin, and (4) causing the site of origin to appear in a separate section of the browser window that can be enlarged or reduced by the user in size in the browser window. Google's Search products do not transmit to users any images other than thumbnails; the "full size" displays are initiated by the user's browser and transmitted from the website of origin.

8 21. Google's web search and image search engines have utterly 9 transformed the way persons search for text-based information and images. Google 10 is able, through its sophisticated and powerful technology, automated systems, and 11 vast storage capacity, to deliver relevant information in under a second from a 12 massive amount of source material. By automated means, Google adds source 13 material to its indices at a prodigious rate so that it is available immediately to 14 search users.

15 22. Google is so powerful that complex searches can effectively
16 search for needles in the web haystack. Google's effectiveness depends in large part
17 upon its automated processes, the comprehensive scope of the nature of its index,
18 the power of its technologies, and its efficiency in delivering search results that most
19 closely match user expectations and desires. The more that Google indexes, the
20 more powerful and useful its search becomes.

21 23. Google continually updates its indexes of over 8 billion pages of
22 web content. New pages are continually added, and previously crawled pages are
23 regularly re-crawled in order to determine whether their content has changed.
24 Frequently updated sites may be crawled every day. This process is automated and
25 highly dynamic.

26 24. When Google processes a search request, it lacks knowledge
 27 about the personal identity, business affiliation, intentions, activities, and purposes
 28 of the user making the search request. Google lacks knowledge of whether, for

 -22- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

example, the user is making an authorized or other fair use of any copyrighted
 material accessed as a consequence of the search request. Similarly, for example,
 Google lacks knowledge whether the user copies the material in some concrete or
 permanent form, alters it in some concrete or permanent form, distributes copies of
 it to the public, or displays copies of it to the public.

25. Google's search transforms the original content available on the 6 web and fills an entirely different function from that of the original content. Google 7 8 uses that content in its context as part of the vast web, analyzing the relationship of 9 that content to other content on the web, and revealing correspondences between 10 that content and concepts or terms in the mind of the search user. For example, teachers may use Google as a tool to look for telltale similarities in student papers 11 that may disclose plagiarism. Scholars may use Google to study language patterns. 12 13 Prospective employees may use Google to learn about potential employers, and vice 14 versa. Fans of a celebrity may look for information or resources about that 15 celebrity. The potential uses of Google are innumerable.

16 26. Google's web and image search functions do not provide the user
17 with an equivalent to the original source material that substitutes for the original.
18 Google furnishes users only thumbnail images in its search results. For original
19 images, the user must call upon the website of origin with his or her Internet
20 browser. In its Web Search results, Google delivers only short excerpts; the user
21 must follow a link to reach the original site directly.

22 27. Google's web and image search functions do not impair the
23 market value of original works. To the contrary, many website sponsors go to great
24 lengths to increase the likelihood that Google will index, and will display prominent
25 search results from, their sites. Google is informed and believes, and therefore
26 alleges, that Google's web and image search functions add to the market value of
27 original works by making the public more aware of them and causing the public to
28 seek them out more frequently.

-23- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] 28. There is no reliable and efficient way to determine copyright
 status of any material on the web. There is no reliable way to determine authorship,
 ownership of copyright, the presence, absence, or scope of licenses associated with
 any copyrights, or even what law applies to works that may have been created in any
 country in the world.

Google devotes substantial resources to complaints including 29. 6 notifications of copyright infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3) and 7 8 complaints regarding third-party trademark infringement. Google has a dedicated 9 staff and a regular process to handle and respond to those complaints or notices, 10 which may require repeated correspondence with the claimant to obtain necessary information, and which require evaluation in light of the applicable laws and 11 12 Google's policies. Many Google Services do not have account holders or 13 subscribers. For Services that do, Google has adopted and reasonably implemented a policy that provides for the termination in appropriate circumstances of any 14 15 subscribers and account holders of Google's system who are repeat infringers.

16

## **Other Tools To Access Content On The Internet**

17 30. There are many ways for persons to find free pornography, including infringing or otherwise illegal pornography, on the web without using 18 Google's search engine. There are other search engines and web directories that 19 20 fulfill similar functions. Moreover, many persons search for content on the web simply by guessing at domain names that may be relevant to the content sought. For 21 example, a user can find pornography simply by pointing a web browser to the web 22 addresses "www.porn.com," "www.sex.com," "www.xxx.com," and 23 24 "www.nudemodels.com." Many of these sites automatically prompt the display of many more sites containing pornography, without being sought by the user. 25 26 A user may also easily find, without using Google's search 31. engine, pornographic websites that advertise that they provide unauthorized content. 27

28

32. Internet newsgroups also allow persons ready access to free
 pornography on the Internet. Users of common programs such as Microsoft
 Outlook Express can subscribe to newsgroups such as

4 alt.binaries.pictures.erotica.breasts.natural to obtain regular postings of free
5 pornographic photographs and videos of women with natural breasts (the niche
6 Perfect 10 claims to occupy). Some newsgroups furnish infringing pornographic
7 images.

8 33. In addition, persons using peer-to-peer communication software
9 or protocols such as Kazaa, Morpheus, and BitTorrent may share pornographic
10 images and videos, including infringing images and videos, without needing to use
11 Google to obtain pornography. Furthermore, some persons who simply want free
12 pornography on the Internet, without regard for the infringing status of the content,
13 may find it abundantly without using Google's search engine.

14

### Perfect 10 And Google

34. Perfect 10 publishes a website featuring nude women. Perfect 10
once published PERFECT 10 MAGAZINE also featuring nude women, but no
longer does so. Perfect 10 claims to specialize in pornography featuring women
who have not undergone cosmetic surgery to enhance their figures.

On information and belief, Perfect 10 has never operated a 19 35. 20 profitable adult entertainment business. On information and belief, Perfect 10's 21 ventures are unsuccessful because they do not appeal to a wide audience. Perfect 22 10's Winter 2004 magazine, 112 pages long not counting inside and outside covers, 23 contains a total of 3 pages of advertisements from 4 advertisers (two of whom are 24 local businesses in the Los Angeles area) and a 1-page public service announcement 25 for the American Red Cross, not counting advertisements by Perfect 10 for Perfect 10's merchandise, "model boxing" events, and other products or services of Perfect 26 27 10.

28

36. Plaintiff Perfect 10 is hardly the only "Perfect 10" on the
 Internet. As a Google search reveals, "Perfect 10" is also a major Singapore radio
 station; a tag line for a Miami radio station; a "men's club" in Austin, Texas; scores
 for gymnastics, beauty (as popularized by Bo Derek), surfing, and other endeavors;
 a gymnastics-themed web log; a book title unrelated to Plaintiff; a satellite
 technology provider; an energy bar company; a line of hair products; a winery; and
 the name for a nail salon.

8 37. PERFECT 10 is a weak trademark at best, and more likely
9 generic. "Perfect 10," when applied to women, means the highest standard of
10 beauty, as popularized by Bo Derek in the film "10." As applied in many other
11 contexts as well, it is a generic term referring to the highest score attainable.

38. Image searches of the words "Perfect 10" using Google yield
links to photos of Perfect 10 magazine covers from subscription advertisements; a
book cover for an unrelated book entitled "Perfect 10: The Blessings of Following
God's Commandments in a Postmodern World"; advertisements for Perfect 10 hair
products; a bass guitar amplifier; snapshots of women from different walks of life;
photos of gymnastic performances; and several snapshots of men jumping into
water.

39. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that users
can find Perfect 10's website, learn about Perfect locate new and used Perfect 10
magazines and merchandise, and find out about Perfect 10-sponsored events through
Google.

23 40. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that 24 Perfect 10 enjoys substantial benefits from the ability of Google users to locate information about Perfect 10 including Perfect 10's website and sites carrying 25 advertisements for Perfect 10 magazines, merchandise, and events, by using Google. 26 27 Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that 41. 28 Perfect 10 is aware of a practice common among website owners, of using "robot Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated -26with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

exclusion headers," which are signals included in the software code of websites that
indicate that the website owner does not wish the site to be indexed by search robots
that survey the Internet. Despite Perfect 10's knowledge of the industry practice of
using robot exclusion headers from time to time, Perfect 10 has not used such robot
exclusion headers or otherwise informed Google that it does not wish its website to
be indexed for the Google search engine.

7 42. Google indexes websites sponsored by Perfect 10, including
8 perfect10.com, perfectten.com, and modelboxing.com.

9 43. Google does not index pages on websites published by Perfect 10
10 that require a password.

44. Perfect 10 has never complained to Google about its website
pages being indexed by Google and being included in search results delivered to
users.

45. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, Perfect
10 has gained a great deal of traffic to its websites as a consequence of those
websites' inclusion in the Google index and search results.

46. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that
Perfect 10 has engaged in optimization techniques to increase the likelihood that
Perfect 10's websites will be indexed against key words used by users in Google
searches.

47. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that
Perfect 10 has derived substantial revenues resulting from searches on Google.

23 48. Google provides, among many other uses, an ability of copyright 24 and trademark owners to freely self-police a large portion of the Internet for potential infringements of their rights. Google is informed and believes, and 25 therefore alleges, that Perfect 10 uses Google to search for content offered by 26 competitors, including content that may pertain to Perfect 10's models and may 27 infringe upon Perfect 10's purported rights. Google is informed and believes, and 28 -27-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

therefore alleges, that Google and other search engines make Perfect 10's detection 1 2 of alleged online infringements much easier than the Perfect 10's detection of offline 3 infringements, i.e., infringements in print media. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Perfect 10 has used Google to gain evidence to use 4 5 against other defendants in other copyright infringement cases, and Perfect 10 has used printouts of sites found through Google to support its claims against third 6 parties in other cases. Google thus functions as a handy and effective means of 7 8 Perfect 10's own policing of alleged infringements and violations by others.

9 49. Perfect 10 claims to have sent Google a number of notices of 10 alleged infringements and of alleged violations of other rights in 2001. Perfect 10 claims that, at the time, Google explained that it was unable to do anything about the 11 12 alleged infringements. For approximately three years thereafter, Perfect 10 admits that it did not communicate with Google regarding any alleged infringements of 13 Perfect 10's alleged rights. Instead, Perfect 10 conducted a public campaign 14 15 beginning at least as early as 2001 accusing Google of participating in an "Internet Conspiracy." Perfect 10's "Internet Conspiracy" campaign, while discussing 16 17 Google, was directed heavily at Yahoo!—on the same page that Perfect 10 advertised its Yahoo! Club forum. A copy of a page that Perfect 10 published in 18 2001 is attached to the Answer and Counterclaims as Exhibit B. 19

20 50. In 2004, Perfect 10 faxed pages of correspondence and attachments, purporting to be notices of a variety of violations of Perfect 10's and 21 others' alleged rights, to Google's general fax number with no addressee shown and 22 23 with no heading to allow Google to direct the faxes appropriately. These purported 24 "notices" suffered from a variety of deficiencies. For example, the notices failed to properly identify the copyrighted work claimed to be infringed, failed to properly 25 identify the allegedly infringing material, listed allegedly infringing URLs that had 26 not been located using Google's Search service (and thus there was nothing to 27 remove or take down), listed partial and/or incomplete URLs, listed alleged 28 -28-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

infringing material that did not appear to be owned by Perfect 10, and contained 1 2 duplicative references to allegedly infringing URLs identified in previous purported 3 notices. Google corresponded with Perfect 10, explained what was most effective in 4 expediting Google's assistance, explained that the purported notices were defective, processed the purported notices of alleged infringement to the best of its ability, 5 removed links to allegedly infringing content, and entered alleged Perfect 10 marks 6 into its trademark complaint procedures in order to avoid advertising containing 7 8 Perfect 10 marks. Perfect 10 accused Google of failing to handle its purported 9 notices expeditiously, but Perfect 10 refused to take steps to assist Google in more 10 expeditious handling of Perfect 10's notices. Instead, Perfect 10 began sending its purported notices in formats that were increasingly difficult, if not impossible, to 11 12 process, and which lacked the information necessary to constitute valid notices under governing law-despite Google's clear requests and instructions. These 13 deficiencies only worsened in 2007, when Perfect 10 began sending purported 14 15 "notices" in the form of multiple DVDs and an entire hard drive, many of which contained thousands of pages of allegedly infringing material, but none of which 16 17 properly identified the copyrighted work claimed to be infringed or the allegedly infringing material. For example, one DVD submitted by Perfect 10 contained 35 18 folders comprising more than 25,000 pages of printouts of alleged infringing 19 20 material. The hard drive Perfect 10 submitted claimed to have contained over 1 million infringements of alleged Perfect 10 copyrighted images. A single subfolder 21 of that hard drive contained over 16,000 electronic files of allegedly infringing 22 23 material. And further, at least one of Perfect 10's purported notices was improperly 24 directed to Google's Board of Directors, contained a variety of litigation-based threats, and expressed a desire for quick settlement of Perfect 10's pending claims. 25 26 Perfect 10 has stated or asserted that it owns the publicity rights 51. of models appearing in its magazine or on its website. Perfect 10 has also stated or 27 28 asserted that it owns the publicity rights pertaining to nude images of models -29-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

appearing in its magazine or on its website. Google is informed and believes, and 1 2 therefore alleges, that in fact a number of Perfect 10 models, including models for 3 whom Perfect 10 has claimed publicity rights in suing for violations of those publicity rights, have not granted to Perfect 10 complete and exclusive assignments 4 of all their publicity rights. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, 5 that Perfect 10 does not own exclusive publicity rights in all Perfect 10 models for 6 which it claims publicity rights. Google is informed and believes, and therefore 7 8 alleges, that some Perfect 10 models have appeared in other magazines, such as 9 Penthouse or Hustler, or have appeared on their own websites, without Perfect 10 10 controlling their rights to appear in those other publications. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that a number of Perfect 10 models are young 11 women from foreign countries, who may lack fluency in the English language, and 12 who may not have made a knowing and intelligent assignment of publicity rights to 13 Perfect 10; on that account any alleged assignments of publicity rights drafted in the 14 15 English language and executed by persons who lacked fluency in English are void.

Perfect 10 is a frequent plaintiff and claims to have spent over \$8 16 52. 17 million in approximately a 2-1/2 year period to enforce its purported rights. Perfect 10 has accused other companies of engaging in conduct alleged to harm Perfect 10 18 by contributing to or being responsible for infringements or other unlawful activities 19 20 of others in distributing pornography on the Internet. Perfect 10 sued Visa International Service Association, MasterCard International Incorporated, and other 21 22 companies for copyright infringement, trademark infringement, violation of rights of 23 publicity, and other claims on the ground that those companies provided "critical business support" to allegedly infringing websites. Perfect 10 alleged that Visa and 24 MasterCard bore responsibility for massive harms for allowing alleged infringers to 25 process payments for subscription fees with Visa's and MasterCard's payment 26 systems. In its amended complaint against Visa and MasterCard, Perfect 10 27 28 specifically alleged that "Stolen Content Websites cannot exist without the -30-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1 knowledge and direct participation of the financial institutions that process the credit
2 card transactions for such unlawful material and that, as a result, effectively act as
3 knowing fences for the sale of billions of dollars worth of stolen property." Google
4 is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Perfect 10 has taken the position
5 that multiple companies have caused the harms for which it sues Google in this
6 action.

53. Google brings these counterclaims for declaratory relief based
upon explicit threats and actual litigation by Perfect 10 against Google. An actual
case or controversy exists within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2201 as to whether
Google bears liability pursuant to the claims threatened by Perfect 10 in and out of
court. A judicial determination is necessary and appropriate at this time so that the
parties may ascertain their respective rights and obligations, if any.

# <u>FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION</u> DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF COPYRIGHT (FAIR USE)

13

14

15

16 54. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 53 of
17 the counterclaims above.

18 55. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable19 injury from any alleged conduct by Google.

20 56. Based on the circumstances described above, Google is entitled
21 to a declaration that it has not infringed any copyright rights of Perfect 10 on
22 account of fair use.

# 23 <u>SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION</u> 24 DECLARATION OF NON-LIABILITY FOR COPYRIGHT 25 INFRINGEMENT (OCILLA) 26 57. Google hereby incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 27 56 of the counterclaims above. 28 -31- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

58. Google is a service provider under the Online Copyright
 Liability Limitation Act ("OCILLA"), 17 U.S.C. § 512.

59. Google provides connections for material through a system or network controlled or operated by or for Google. Google provides connections by which persons other than Google may initiate transmission of the material. Google carries out the provision of connections through an automatic technical process without selection of the material. Google does not select the recipients of the material except as an automatic response to the request of another person.

9 60. Google stores at the direction of its users material that resides on
10 Google's system or network.

11 61. Google refers and links its users to online locations containing
12 material by using information location tools including a directory, index, reference,
13 pointer, and hypertext links.

Since 1999, Google has duly filed a designation of an agent for 14 62 15 copyright notices under OCILLA. Attached to the Answer and Counterclaims as Exhibit C is a copy of Google's Amended Interim Designation of Agent to Receive 16 Notification of Claimed Copyright Infringement, as maintained by the Copyright 17 Office of the United States at http://www.copyright.gov/onlinesp/agents/google.pdf. 18 19 When Google receives notification of claimed infringements 63. pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3), or otherwise becomes aware of facts or 20 21 circumstances from which infringing activity is apparent, Google responds expeditiously to remove, or disable access to, the material that is claimed to be 22 23 infringing or to be the subject of infringing activity.

64. To the extent Google has any subscribers or account holders,
Google has adopted and reasonably implemented, and informs any such subscribers
and account holders of Google's system or network of, a policy that provides for the
termination in appropriate circumstances of any subscribers and account holders of
Google's system who are repeat infringers.

Google's web search and image search engines have no 65. 1 2 subscribers. Its search engines are available to anyone and they search sites of third 3 parties with which Google has no business relationship. Google accommodates and does not interfere with standard 4 66. 5 technical measures. Google does not receive a financial benefit directly attributable 67 6 7 to any infringing activity of third parties claimed by Perfect 10. 8 68 Google does not have the right and ability to control infringing activity of third parties alleged by Perfect 10 to have infringed Perfect 10's rights. 9 10 69. Perfect 10 lacks evidence that Google has the right and ability to control infringing activity of third parties alleged by Perfect 10 to have infringed 11 Perfect 10's rights. 12 13 70. Perfect 10 claims that it sent to Google notices under OCILLA during 2001 (the "Alleged 2001 Notices"), more than three years before this action 14 15 was commenced. Perfect 10 claims that Google failed to act expeditiously to 16 71. 17 remove, or to disable access to, the material allegedly claimed by Perfect 10 as infringing in the Alleged 2001 Notices. 18 19 The Alleged 2001 Notices failed materially to meet the 72. requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3). 20 21 73. Perfect 10 failed to deliver the Alleged 2001 Notices as required by 17 U.S.C. §512(c)(3). 22 23 74. Google acted expeditiously to remove, or to disable access to, 24 allegedly infringing material in response to all valid and effective Alleged 2001 Notices. 25 Perfect 10 delivered no notices of infringement under OCILLA 26 75. during the years 2002 and 2003. 27 28 -33-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

Perfect 10 claims to have delivered to Google notices of 76. 1 2 infringement under OCILLA at various times from 2004 to the present. 3 77. Perfect 10 claims that it sent to Google notices under OCILLA during 2004 (the "Alleged Notices"). 4 5 Perfect 10 claims that Google failed to act expeditiously to 78. remove, or to disable access to, the material allegedly claimed by Perfect 10 as 6 7 infringing in the Alleged Notices. 8 79 The Alleged Notices failed materially to meet the requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3). 9 10 80. Perfect 10 failed to deliver the Alleged Notices as required by 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3). 11 Google acted expeditiously to remove, or to disable access to, 12 81. 13 allegedly infringing material in response to all valid and effective Alleged Notices. Google bears no liability for failure to remove, or to disable 14 82 15 access to, allegedly infringing material in response to the Alleged 2001 Notices or the Alleged Notices. 16 Google bears no liability based on any alleged failure to remove, 17 83. or to disable access, to allegedly infringing material in response to notices more than 18 three years before the commencement of this action. 19 Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable 20 84. 21 injury from any alleged conduct by Google. Google is entitled to a declaration that it bears no liability for 22 85. 23 copyright infringement based on OCILLA. 24 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION** 25 **DECLARATION OF NO CONTRIBUTORY OR VICARIOUS LIABILITY** FOR COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT BY OTHERS 26 27 Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 85 of 86. 28 the counterclaims above. -34-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

2 others particularly claimed by Perfect 10 in this action. 3 88. Google lacks knowledge of infringements by others generally claimed by Perfect 10 in this action. 4 5 89. Google does not derive a direct financial benefit from any copyright infringements by others particularly claimed by Perfect 10 in this action. 6 7 Google does not derive a direct financial benefit from any 90. 8 copyright infringements by others generally claimed by Perfect 10 in this action. 9 91. Google has not materially contributed to, nor does it have the 10 right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of Perfect 10's exclusive right to reproduce works in copies under 17 U.S.C. § 106(1). 11 Perfect 10 has no evidence that Google has materially 12 92. 13 contributed to, or has the right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of Perfect 10's exclusive right to reproduce works in copies under 17 U.S.C. § 106(1). 14 15 93. Google has not materially contributed to, nor does it have the right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of Perfect 10's exclusive 16 right to prepare derivative works based on Perfect 10's copyrighted works under 17 17 U.S.C. § 106(2). 18 Perfect 10 has no evidence that Google has materially 19 94 20 contributed to, or has the right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of 21 Perfect 10's exclusive right to prepare derivative works based on Perfect 10's copyrighted works under 17 U.S.C. § 106(2). 22 23 95. Google has not materially contributed to, nor does it have the 24 right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of Perfect 10's exclusive rights to distribute copies of Perfect 10's copyrighted works to the public by sale or 25 other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease, or lending under 17 U.S.C. § 106(3). 26

Google lacks knowledge of any copyright infringements by

87.

1

27 96. Perfect 10 has no evidence that Google has materially
28 contributed to, or has the right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of

-35- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

Perfect 10's exclusive rights to distribute copies of Perfect 10's copyrighted works to
 the public by sale or other transfer of ownership, or by rental, lease, or lending under
 17 U.S.C. § 106(3).

4 97. Google has not materially contributed to, nor does it have the
5 right and ability to supervise, any third-party's violation of Perfect 10's exclusive
6 rights to display Perfect 10's copyrighted works to the public under 17 U.S.C. §
7 106(5).

8 98. Perfect 10 has no evidence that Google has materially
9 contributed to, or has the right and ability to supervise, any third party's violation of
10 Perfect 10's exclusive rights to display Perfect 10's copyrighted works to the public
11 under 17 U.S.C. § 106(5).

12 99. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable13 injury from any alleged conduct by Google.

14 100. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect15 10 on account of copyright infringement by others.

16

17

18

## FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## DECLARATION OF NONINFRINGEMENT OF TRADEMARK/NONVIOLATION OF LANHAM ACT

19 101. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 100 of

20 the counterclaims above.

21 102. Perfect 10 claims that Google's AdWords and AdSense programs
22 cause it to engage in trademark infringement, false designation of origin, and unfair
23 competition in violation of sections 32 and 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§
24 1114 and 1125.

25 103. Under the AdWords and AdSense programs, Google offers
26 advertisers and users an opportunity to deliver and receive targeted advertising that
27 reflects interests of the search user. Google offers advertisers the opportunity to

28 purchase advertising that is delivered in response to words that the search user -36- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

enters in a search field of Google's search engine or in response to words associated 1 2 with content that is returned by the search. Thus, for example, Disney may wish to 3 direct an advertisement to persons searching the web for "Aladdin," or Toyota may wish to direct an advertisement to persons searching for "hybrid car." Similarly, 4 advertisers may wish to direct advertising to persons interested in their competitors, 5 or their suppliers' products or services, such as Hickory Farms advertising to persons 6 interested in Pepperidge Farms and AutoBarn advertising to persons interested in 7 8 Techron fuel additive.

9 104. Advertisers select the search terms that they wish to serve as
advertising keywords. Google furnishes advertisers an automated keyword selection
tool that offers a list of keywords based on their association, in web pages by
Google, with other words suggested by the advertiser. For example, an advertiser
that indicates an interest in "hybrid car" as a search phrase can use Google's
automated keyword tool to obtain a list of many other search terms or phrases, such
as "suv hybrid," "electric car," "for sale," "used car," "fuel economy," and so forth.

105. For years, advertisers have targeted advertising to persons who 16 17 had expressed an interest that qualified them as prospects. For example, supermarkets position coupons for one product at the shelf location of a competing 18 19 product; they deliver checkout coupons for a product to customers when the 20 checkout scanner determined that customers purchased a rival product. Magazine 21 stands display competitive magazines right next to each other: if one wants to find 22 U.S. News and World Report, one may look for Time or Newsweek expecting it to 23 be nearby. Explicit advertising that attracts customers of one product for comparison with another product (If you like ..., you'll love ...) is lawful in the 24 25 United States. Media such as broadcasters and newspapers frequently sell advertising based on its being associated with particular content where the targets of 26 the advertising are likely to be interested in the content, even when the advertiser is 27 a rival of a company or person associated with the content. 28 -37-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated

-37- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

106. Google's sale to third parties of advertising space for 1 advertisements targeted to persons using particular search terms or viewing 2 3 particular search results does not constitute Google's "trademark use," "use in commerce," or "commercial use in commerce" of those search terms. 4 5 107. Google's sale to third parties of advertising space for 6 advertisements targeted to persons using particular search terms or viewing 7 particular search results is related to Google's participation in news reporting and 8 news commentary, specifically regarding the reporting of and commentary on 9 material that is currently being made available on the web. 10 108. The First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution protects Google's sale to third parties of advertising space for advertisements targeted to persons using 11 particular search terms or viewing particular search results. 12 13 109. Advertising that targets users based on search terms is set apart from Google's search results, either shaded above those results or to the side and 14 15 separated by a vertical divider, and is identified as "sponsored links." 110. Google users are not likely to be confused as to the source, 16 17 sponsorship, or affiliation of sponsored links. 18 111. Google users who follow sponsored links to other websites are not likely to be confused by Google as to the source, sponsorship, or affiliation of 19 20 the other websites. Google and Perfect 10 are not competitors. 21 112. 113. "Sponsored link" advertisements carried by Google are part of 22 23 electronic communications. 24 114. Google has a well-established program for handling trademarkrelated disputes and claims relating to advertising furnished by Google. It publishes 25 its trademark complaint procedures at www.google.com/tm\_complaint.html, a copy 26 of which is attached to the Answer and Counterclaims as Exhibit D. Google is not 27 in a position to arbitrate trademark disputes. Its exercise of control over advertising 28 -38-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1	is limited to ensuring that, upon a substantiated complaint, advertisements carried by		
2	Google will not use the trademarked term in their content.		
3	115. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable		
4	injury from any alleged conduct by Google.		
5	116. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect		
6	10 on account of a violation of any trademark or related unfair competition rights of		
7	Perfect 10.		
8	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
9	DECLARATION OF NO CONTRIBUTORY OR VICARIOUS LIABILITY		
10	FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT BY OTHERS		
11	117. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 116 of		
12	the counterclaims above.		
13	118. Google does not furnish to any person instruments of		
14	infringement of Perfect 10's alleged trademark rights.		
15	119. Google does not have actual knowledge of any infringements by		
16	third parties of Perfect 10's alleged trademark rights.		
17	120. Google does not direct or control any person's infringement of		
18	Perfect 10's alleged trademark rights.		
19	121. Google does not act in a partnership with third parties in the		
20	infringement of Perfect 10's trademark rights.		
21	122. Google has not induced third parties to infringe upon Perfect 10's		
22	trademark rights.		
23	123. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable		
24	injury from any alleged conduct by Google.		
25	124. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not contributorily or		
26	vicariously liable to Perfect 10 on account of any violation by others of any		
27	trademark or related unfair competition rights of Perfect 10.		
28	-39- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated		
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS		

1	DECLARATION OF NONVIOLATION OF THE TRADEMARK DILUTION			
2	PROVISIONS OF THE LANHAM ACT			
3	125. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 124 of			
4	the counterclaims above.			
5	126. Perfect 10 claims that Google's conduct constitutes trademark			
6	dilution under section 43(c) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).			
7	127. None of Perfect 10's alleged marks is, or ever has been, "famous"			
8	within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c). "Perfect 10" is also the name of			
9	multiple radio stations, multiple adult-oriented businesses, an energy bar company, a			
10	winery, a line of hair products, a satellite technology provider, among others. It is			
11	also a generic expression of the highest standard of female beauty, and a generic			
12	term referring to the highest possible score attainable. PERFECT 10 is not			
13	sufficiently "distinctive" to be "famous" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1125.			
14	128. Google's activities do not constitute commercial use of Perfect			
15	10's marks.			
16	129. Google's activities are not likely to cause dilution of the			
17	distinctive quality of Perfect 10's marks—if any—by diminishing the capacity of the			
18	marks to identify and distinguish goods and services.			
19	130. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable			
20	injury from any alleged conduct by Google.			
21	131. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect			
22	10 for trademark dilution under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c).			
23	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION			
24	DECLARATION OF NONVIOLATION OF THE UNFAIR COMPETITION			
25	PROVISIONS OF THE LANHAM ACT, CALIFORNIA BUSINESS AND			
26	PROFESSIONS CODE § 17200, AND THE COMMON LAW OF UNFAIR			
27	COMPETITION			
28				
-40- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [C with Case No. CV 05-4753 A				
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS			

1 132. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 131 of
 2 the counterclaims above.

3 133. Perfect 10 claims that Google's AdWords and AdSense programs
4 cause it to engage in unfair competition under the Lanham Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof.
5 Code § 17200, and the common law of unfair competition.

6 134. Under the AdWords and AdSense programs, Google offers
7 advertisers and users an opportunity to deliver and receive targeted advertising that
8 reflects interests of the search user. Google offers advertisers the opportunity to
9 purchase advertising that may be delivered in response to words that the search user
10 enters into the Google search engine or in response to words associated with content
11 that is returned by the search. Advertisers then select the search terms that they
12 wish to serve as advertising keywords.

13 135. Google's sale to third parties of advertising space for
14 advertisements targeted to persons using particular search terms or viewing
15 particular search results does not constitute Google's "trademark use," "use in
16 commerce," or "commercial use in commerce" of those search terms.

17 136. Google's sale to third parties of advertising space for
18 advertisements targeted to persons using particular search terms or viewing
19 particular search results is related to Google's participation in news reporting and
20 news commentary, specifically regarding the reporting of and commentary on
21 material that is currently being made available on the web, and is protected by the
22 First Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

137. Advertising that targets users based on search terms is set apart
from Google's search results, either shaded above those results or to the side and
separated by a vertical divider, and is identified as "sponsored links." Accordingly,
Google users are not likely to be confused as to the source, sponsorship, or
affiliation of sponsored links or the websites that are linked to.

28

Google and Perfect 10 are not competitors in the adult content 1 138. 2 business. 3 139. Perfect 10 lacks standing to assert any unfair competition rights 4 of third parties, including celebrities. 5 140. Perfect 10's state law unfair competition claims are pre-empted by the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 301. 6 141. Perfect 10's state law unfair competition claims are pre-empted 7 8 or otherwise barred by the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230(c). 9 142. Google has not engaged in any unlawful, unfair or fraudulent 10 business act or practice, any unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising, or any other act or practice that violated California Business & Professions Code § 11 17200, et. seq. 12 13 143. Perfect 10 has not suffered injury in fact and loss of money and property as a result of Google's alleged conduct. 14 144. Perfect 10 has not suffered commercial injury as a result of 15 Google's alleged conduct. 16 17 145. Perfect 10 has not suffered competitive injury as a result of Google's alleged conduct. 18 19 146. On information and belief, Perfect 10 has not made a substantial investment of time, skill or money in developing its property. 20 21 147. Google has not appropriated or used Perfect 10's property, at 22 little or no cost, or otherwise. 23 148. Any appropriation or use of Perfect 10's property by Google was 24 with the express or implied authorization and/or consent of Perfect 10. 25 149. Google does not personally participate in any alleged unlawful practices of third-party websites, nor does it exercise unbridled control over those 26 alleged activities. 27 28 -42-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1 150. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable 2 injury from any alleged conduct by Google. 151. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect 3 10 on account of a violation of any unfair competition rights of Perfect 10 under the 4 5 Lanham Act, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, or the common law. **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 6 7 DECLARATION OF NONVIOLATION OF RIGHTS OF PUBLICITY 8 152. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 151 of 9 the counterclaims above. 10 153. Perfect 10 has claimed that it asserts publicity rights in the names and likenesses of certain Perfect 10 models. 11 154. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that 12 13 Perfect 10 lacks enforceable publicity rights in the names and likenesses of its alleged models. 14 15 155. Perfect 10's lack of ownership of enforceable publicity rights in the names and likenesses of its alleged models is apparent from the following facts. 16 Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that certain of Perfect 10's 17 alleged models have authorized other magazines or websites that compete with 18 Perfect 10 to display their names and likenesses or have exploited their own names 19 20 and likenesses in their own websites, without authorization from Perfect 10. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that Perfect 10 has falsely claimed to 21 own enforceable publicity rights of models. 22 23 156. Perfect 10 has retreated from some of its earlier broad claims to 24 own enforceable publicity rights. In a letter from Perfect 10's counsel to Google's 25 counsel. Perfect 10 recasts its claim as follows: [I]t is Perfect 10's position that entities that have legitimate rights to 26 specific photographs of models can use the names or images of those 27 28 models in connection with those authorized photographs. (However, -43-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

1	it is also true that generally Perfect 10 is the only entity that has			
2	photographed its models topless and thus would be the only entity			
3	with the rights to advertise nude images of such models.) What			
4	Perfect 10 clearly alleges, and I reiterate, is that neither the "Stolen			
5	Content websites" nor your client has the right to use the names or			
6	likenesses of models in connection with unauthorized content, and			
7	their use (either directly by your client or as facilitated by your client)			
8	infringes Perfect 10's rights.			
9	A copy of that communication is attached to the Answer and Counterclaims as			
10	Exhibit E.			
11	157. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that			
12	Perfect 10 claims rights of publicity of models who have been photographed in			
13	works for which Perfect 10 claims to own the copyright.			
14	158. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that			
15	Perfect 10 does not own all publicity rights for models whose publicity rights			
16	Perfect 10 has claimed to own.			
17	159. Google is informed and believes, and therefore alleges, that			
18	Perfect 10 has asserted claims based on publicity rights of persons who have not			
19	assigned Perfect 10 the right to enforce their publicity rights against others.			
20	160. Google has not engaged in, or aided and abetted, any violations			
21	of publicity rights owned by Perfect 10, not has Google directed or controlled any			
22	violations of publicity rights owned by Perfect 10.			
23	161. Perfect 10 seeks to enforce publicity rights in connection with			
24	the unauthorized use of copyrighted works featuring the persons whose publicity			
25	rights Perfect 10 asserts.			
26	162. Perfect 10's publicity claim involves the subject matter of			
27	copyright.			
28				
	-44- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]			
	ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS			

163. Perfect 10's publicity claim is pre-empted by the Copyright Act, 1 2 17 U.S.C. § 301. 3 164. Perfect 10's publicity claim is pre-empted or otherwise barred by 4 the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230(c). 5 165. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable injury from any alleged conduct by Google. 6 7 166. Google is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect 8 10 for violation of any rights of publicity. 9 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATION OF NONVIOLATION OF THE LAW OF UNJUST** 10 **ENRICHMENT** 11 12 167. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 166 of 13 the counterclaims above. 168. As described above, Google has engaged in no wrongful, unjust, 14 15 or unlawful activities. 169. It would not be inequitable for Google to retain the benefits 16 received from its lawful activities. 17 18 170. Perfect 10's unjust enrichment claim is pre-empted by the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 301. 19 171. Perfect 10's unjust enrichment claim is pre-empted or otherwise 20 21 barred by the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230(c). 172. Perfect 10 has not been harmed or suffered any cognizable injury 22 from any alleged conduct by Google. 23 24 173. Perfect 10 is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to Perfect 10 for violation of the law of unjust enrichment. 25 26 **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION DECLARATION OF NONVIOLATION OF THE LAW OF** 27 28 **MISAPPROPRIATION** -45-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

174. Google incorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 173 of 1 2 the counterclaims above. 3 175. Google has not appropriated Perfect 10's property. 176. Google's use of Perfect 10's property—if any—is with the actual 4 5 or implied authorization of Perfect 10. 177. Perfect 10's misappropriation claim is pre-empted by the 6 7 Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 301. 8 178. Perfect 10's misappropriation claim is pre-empted or otherwise barred by the Communications Decency Act, 47 U.S.C. § 230(c). 9 10 179. Perfect 10 has not been harmed by or suffered any cognizable injury from any alleged conduct by Google. 11 12 180. Perfect 10 is entitled to a declaration that it is not liable to 13 Perfect 10 for misappropriation. 14 15 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF** WHEREFORE, Google prays for relief as follows: 16 That the Court enter judgment against Perfect 10 on all its 17 1. 18 claims; 2. That the Court enter judgment in favor of Google and against 19 Perfect 10 on all Google's counterclaims; 20 21 3. That the Court award Google costs of suit, including attorney's fees, under the Copyright Act; 22 23 4 That the Court award Google attorney's fees and costs of suit 24 under the Lanham Act as an exceptional case; and 25 That the Court grant Google further relief that is just and 5 equitable. 26 27 28 -46-Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPL AINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS

1	DATED: July 31, 2008	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
2		nedges, llr
3		By /s/ Michael T. Zeller
4		By <u>/s/ Michael T. Zeller</u> Michael T. Zeller Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.
5		y C
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
2 <del>-</del> 25		
23 26		
27		
28		
-		-47- Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]
	ANSWER TO SEC	with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)] OND AMENDED COMPLAINT AND COUNTERCLAIMS