

1 JEFFREY N. MAUSNER (State Bar No. 122385)
 2 Law Offices of Jeffrey N. Mausner
 3 Warner Center Towers, Suite 910
 4 21800 Oxnard Street
 5 Woodland Hills, California 91367-3640
 6 Telephone: (310) 617-8100, (818) 992-7500
 7 Facsimile: (818) 716-2773
 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 12 corporation,
 13 Plaintiff,
 14 v.
 15 GOOGLE, INC., a corporation,
 16 _____
 17 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 18 corporation,
 19 Plaintiff,
 20 v.
 21 AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation,
 22 _____
 23 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 24 corporation,
 25 Plaintiff,
 26 v.
 27 MICROSOFT, INC., a corporation.
 28

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
**PERFECT 10'S STATEMENT FOR
 OCTOBER 6, 2008 CASE
 MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE
 BEFORE JUDGE MATZ**

Date: October 6, 2008
 Time: 1:30 P.M.
 Place: Courtroom 14, Courtroom of the
 Honorable A. Howard Matz

Consolidated with CV 05-4753
 AHM (SHx)

CASE NO. CV 07-5156 AHM (SHx)

1 **A. SIMPLIFICATION OF THE CASE.**

2 Perfect 10 agrees with the Court's view that the case should be simplified as
3 much as possible to minimize unnecessary effort by all parties, and thanks the
4 Court for its efforts in this regard. Perfect 10 would like to make some
5 observations that, in conjunction with the Court's suggestions (which Perfect 10
6 will address below), should allow for a very substantial simplification of the case.

7 1. There are approximately 120 massive infringing websites that are
8 responsible for approximately 98% of the infringements in these cases. The
9 remaining approximately 3,000 infringing websites are responsible for about 2% of
10 the infringements. Of the 120 massive infringing websites, approximately 75 are
11 pay sites, and approximately 45 are free sites. The 75 pay sites, by and large, offer
12 the same stolen images, approximately 19,000 P10 images each, for a total of
13 approximately 1.4 million copies of Perfect 10's images. These infringing pay
14 sites also offer thousands of infringing full-length movies, TV shows, and songs,
15 for \$10 to \$20 per month in membership fees. The massive free sites have offered
16 approximately 60,000 infringing copies of P10 images. So the vast preponderance
17 of the infringement in these cases is due to pay sites, which by and large, have
18 stolen and sell access to the same 19,000 P10 images each. As well as providing
19 links to these websites in their search results, Defendants have business dealings
20 with many of the webmasters for these pay sites. Defendants share in the revenues
21 of such infringers by accepting payments to place links to and ads for such
22 websites in their sponsored link section.

23 2. Perfect 10 has sent to Defendants, in its DMCA notices, more than
24 1,000,000 copies of infringing P10 images from massive infringing websites.

25 3. In response to Perfect 10's notices regarding massive infringing
26 websites, Defendants have either taken no action, or virtually no action, to reduce
27 further damage to Perfect 10's copyrighted works.

28 //

1 **B. PERFECT 10 WILL BE FILING A MOTION FOR PARTIAL**
2 **SUMMARY JUDGMENT AGAINST AMAZON AND ALEXA WITHIN**
3 **THE NEXT 10 DAYS.**

4 Before the parties spend substantial resources on discovery related to
5 damages, a determination should first be made by the Court as to which issues can
6 be resolved by summary adjudication. That determination can be made based on a
7 sample of copyrighted works, as suggested by the Court. To that end, Perfect 10
8 will be filing a motion for partial summary judgment against Amazon and Alexa
9 for contributory copyright infringement, within the next ten days. The motion will
10 be based on a limited number of Perfect 10 copyrighted images, as suggested by
11 the Court. The outcome of this motion is likely to substantially simplify current
12 discovery disputes. Specifically, in its motion, Perfect 10 will provide proof that it
13 owns the copyrights to a few hundred images, by attaching copyright certificates
14 and deposit materials, which have previously been produced to the Defendants.
15 Perfect 10's evidence of copyright ownership will address a number of the issues
16 raised by the Court in its Order. Perfect 10's motion will also address the issues
17 raised by the Court with regards to contributory liability (paragraph 2.A on page 3
18 of the Order). Specifically, Perfect 10's motion will go over several of its DMCA
19 notices, which provide examples of infringing material that Defendants learned
20 was accessible through their search engines. These notices contain print-outs of
21 infringing web pages, identify infringing URLs, and provide the date when
22 Defendants learned of such infringing material, as discussed in paragraph 2.A of
23 the Court's Order. Perfect 10 explains why its notices were compliant, and sets
24 forth a number of simple measures that Defendants could have taken, but did not
25 take, to prevent further damage to Perfect 10's copyrighted works.

26 Perfect 10 respectfully suggests that large scale discovery relating to
27 requests made by various Defendants be postponed until the Court rules on
28 whether the evidence presented by Perfect 10 in its motion is sufficient for

1 summary adjudication. The Court's ruling on Perfect 10's motion may well make
2 most of the disputed discovery unnecessary and lead to the resolution of all cases.

3 **C. OTHER ISSUES RAISED BY THE COURT.**

4 With respect to some of the other issues raised by the Court:

5 1. Identification of Perfect 10's Copyrighted Works. Perfect 10 agrees
6 with a cut-off date for registrations of Perfect 10's copyrights, to be set based upon
7 the trial date. Perfect 10 has already identified most of the copyrighted works at
8 issue in the case, by sending to Defendants at least 1,000,000 copies of Perfect 10
9 images in its DMCA notices. To the extent that a DMCA notice did not include all
10 infringing works, or Perfect 10 discovers additional works, it will provide those
11 works to Defendants in a folder labeled with the infringing website's name, prior
12 to the cutoff date set by the Court.

13 2. Vicarious liability. Depending on the outcome of Perfect 10's
14 impending motion, the issue of vicarious liability may never come up. If it does,
15 Perfect 10 agrees that such issues can be resolved based on a sampling of images.
16 However, Perfect 10 does believe that certain commercial functions of Defendants,
17 such as partnering with infringing websites to earn money by placing ads around,
18 and thereby exploiting, copyrighted works, should be subject to vicarious liability.
19 Otherwise, if the copyright holder is only able to proceed on the basis of
20 contributory liability, it could end up sending a never-ending stream of DMCA
21 notices, while the search engine simply places its ads next to the copyright holder's
22 work on a different infringing URL. This will depend on the ultimate ruling by the
23 Court as to what constitutes contributory liability for an advertising agency.

24 3. Copyright Spreadsheet. In the event that the Court wishes for Perfect
25 10 to begin now to prepare a chart, Perfect 10 suggests the following, assuming
26 that the chart will be based on between 50 and 100 images, selected by Perfect 10,
27 based on some form of agreed upon sampling criteria. The following discussion
28 contains elements of both what the Court thought was the minimum requirements

1 (set forth on page 5, paragraph 3(b) of the Order), and the chart which contains
2 additional compilations of information sought by the Defendants.

3 Unique identifier of the work:. This would be the URL of the image on
4 perfect10.com.

5 Copyright Registration number. This would be the earliest copyright
6 registration number that covers the image.

7 Chain of title information. Perfect 10 would identify the photographer and
8 identify the work for hire agreement or assignment of rights agreement.

9 URL(s) of infringing websites or web pages. Massive infringing pay sites,
10 such as giganews.com, which are causing enormous damage to Perfect 10,
11 typically do not have web pages. The images are simply downloaded into the
12 user's computer. For a site like giganews.com, Perfect 10, in its DMCA notices,
13 provided the URL of the infringing website (giganews.com), along with copies of
14 approximately 15,000 Perfect 10 images from that website, as well as instructions
15 as to how to download those images.

16 *Free* web sites do have web pages, so Perfect 10 provided the URLs of the
17 infringing web pages in its DMCA notices, along with, in many cases, copies of
18 the infringing web pages themselves. Perfect 10 can identify several infringing
19 web pages on *free* sites for each of up to 100 images, as long as Perfect 10 selects
20 the images. It would be extremely burdensome for Perfect 10 to have to do this for
21 **all** infringing web pages, as that would require that Perfect 10 search through as
22 many as 2,000,000 document pages in its productions to locate each of 100
23 different images.

24 DMCA Notices Covering Each Image. This is manageable if Perfect 10 can
25 identify one DMCA notice for each of the 100 selected images. There is no
26 requirement under the DMCA that Perfect 10 provide multiple DMCA notices for
27 each alleged infringed image, although Perfect 10 did so in many cases.

28 //

1 Other issues. Perfect 10 believes that its motion for partial summary
2 judgment against Amazon and Alexa will demonstrate that a number of the other
3 items requested by Defendants are apparent from the evidence presented and do
4 not need to be listed in a spreadsheet. These would include the date (which
5 appears on the Adobe document as well as the DMCA notice), the search term
6 (which either appears on the DMCA notice or is irrelevant), whether the image is a
7 thumbnail (this is apparent from the printout of the web page), the name of the
8 person depicted (this appears in the image URL or on the webpage), etc. Perfect
9 10 believes that a description of the damages claimed for each image should be
10 postponed until after its motion for summary judgment is decided.

11 Questions posed by the Court: For one copyrighted work, how much time
12 would it take to enter all the allegedly infringing URLs onto a spreadsheet?
13 Answer: The critical term is “all.” Perfect 10 has produced to Defendants
14 somewhere in the order of 2,000,000 pages of documents, most of which contain
15 infringements. To identify which of 2,000,000 documents contains a particular
16 image would require examining all of the 2,000,000 documents (unless Perfect 10
17 could somehow rule out a significant portion of the documents). Furthermore, the
18 URLs on many of these web pages are extremely long, and may involve over 100
19 characters each.

20 The original spreadsheet proposed by Defendants would have required
21 placing URLs in certain entries of a spreadsheet 2,000,000 x 30,000 in size
22 (2,000,000 documents and 30,000 images). Perfect 10 does not have the resources
23 to do this. However, Perfect 10 could provide several infringing URLs for each of
24 100 images.

25 In response to the Court’s second question, Perfect 10 is always in favor of
26 settling legal disputes. Although Perfect 10 will create a chart if the Court so
27 requests, this will take time, and some aspects of the chart may prove to be
28 unnecessary, depending on the Court’s ruling on Perfect 10’s motion for partial

1 summary judgment. Perfect 10 also believes that the discovery disputes may be
2 reduced substantially if the primary focus is on what Defendants did in response to
3 notices related to massive infringing websites, which are few in number, and are
4 causing almost all of the damage to Perfect 10 in this case.

5 Dated: October 5, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

By: Jeffrey N. Mausner
Jeffrey N. Mausner
Attorney for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.