

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
 2 Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)
 3 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
 4 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
 5 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
 6 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 7 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
 8 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)
 9 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
 10 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 11 San Francisco, California 94111
 12 Rachel M. Herrick (Bar No. 191060)
 13 rachelherrick@quinnemanuel.com
 14 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
 15 Redwood Shores, California 94065-213
 16 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 14 corporation,
 15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and
 18 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
 19 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
 [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-
 4753 AHM (SHx)]

DISCOVERY MATTER

**GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO
 DETERMINE THE SUFFICIENCY
 OF PERFECT 10, INC.'S
 RESPONSES TO GOOGLE INC.'S
 REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION,
 SETS 1 AND 2**

Hon. Stephen J. Hillman

AND COUNTERCLAIM

21 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 22 corporation,
 23 Plaintiff,

24 vs.

25 AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation;
 26 A9.COM, INC., a corporation; and
 27 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
 28 Defendants.

Date: November 17, 2008
 Time: 2:00 PM
 Crtrm.: 550

Discovery Cutoff: None Set
 Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
 Trial Date: None Set

Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx) [Consolidated
 with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO DETERMINE THE SUFFICIENCY OF PERFECT 10, INC.'S RESPONSES TO
 GOOGLE'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION, SETS 1 AND 2

1 TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

2 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT on November 17, 2008, at 2:00 p.m., or as
3 soon thereafter as the matter may be heard, in the courtroom of the Honorable
4 Stephen J. Hillman, located at 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012,
5 Courtroom 550, defendant and counterclaim plaintiff Google Inc. (“Google”) will
6 and hereby does move under Fed. R. Civ. P. 36(a)(6) to determine the sufficiency of
7 certain of Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.’s (“Perfect 10”) Responses to Google’s Requests
8 for Admission, Sets 1 and 2.

9 Google's motion is based on this notice of motion and motion, the Joint
10 Stipulation filed concurrently herewith, the accompanying Declaration of Rachel M.
11 Herrick and the Exhibits thereto, all other pleadings and papers on file in this action,
12 any matters of which this Court may take judicial notice, and such further evidence
13 and argument as may be presented at or before the hearing on this matter.

14 Pursuant to Local Rule 37-1, the parties met and conferred on the matters in
15 this Motion telephonically on September 17 and 26, 2008 and October 13, 2008, and
16 in writing on May 23, 2008, August 8, 2008, September 11, 2008, and various times
17 thereafter.

18

19 DATED: October 27, 2008

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &
HEDGES, LLP

20

21

By /s/ Rachel M. Herrick
Rachel M. Herrick
Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28