1 2 3 4 5	Jeffrey N. Mausner (State Bar No. 122385) Law Offices of Jeffrey N. Mausner Warner Center Towers 21800 Oxnard Street, Suite 910 Woodland Hills, California 91367-3640 Email: Jeff@mausnerlaw.com Telephone: (310) 617-8100, (818) 992-7500 Facsimile: (818) 716-2773	
6 7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.	
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	PERFECT 10, INC., a California	Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
12	corporation,	Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)
13	Plaintiff,	PERFECT 10'S STATEMENT OF
14	V.	UNCONTROVERTED FACTS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, FOR
15	GOOGLE, INC., a corporation,	MOTION FOR SUMMARY
16	Defendant.	JUDGMENT AND SUMMARY ADJUDICATION AGAINST
17	AND CONSOLIDATED CASE.	GOOGLE, INC.
18		BEFORE JUDGE A. HOWARD MATZ
19		Date: August 17, 2009
20		Time: 10:00 a.m. Place: Courtroom 14, Courtroom of the
21		Honorable A. Howard Matz
22		Discovery Cut-Off Date: None Set Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
23		Trial Date: None Set
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Perfect 10 submits the following Statement of Uncontroverted Facts and Conclusions of Law, in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment and Summary Adjudication against Defendant Google, Inc. ("Google").

SUPPORTING EVIDENCE	
SUFFURIING EVIDENCE	
I. PERFECT 10'S COPYRIGHTS	
Declaration of Jeffrey N. Mausner in Support Of Perfect	
10's Motion for Summary Judgment and Summary	
Adjudication Against Google	
("Mausner Decl.") ¶9; Declaration of Melanie Poblete	
in Support Of Perfect 10's Motion for Summary Judgment	
and Summary Adjuciation Against Google ("Poblete	
Decl."); Declaration of Norman Zada In Support Of Perfect 10's	
Motion For Summary Judgment and SummaryAdjudication	
Against Google ("Zada Decl.")	
¶2, Exh. 9. <i>Perfect 10 v. Google</i> , <i>Inc.</i> , 416 F.Supp.2d 828, 832	
(C.D.Cal. 2006), aff'd in part and reversed in part on other	
<i>grounds, Perfect 10, Inc. v.</i> <i>Google.com, Inc.</i> , 508 F.3d 1146 (9 th Cir. 2007).	
() Ch. 2007).	
_	
Zada Decl. ¶9, Exh. 2.	
Zada Decl. ¶9, Exh. 2.	
Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.	
Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.	

1		
1	6. Google has hosted the website	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.
2	alltolls.blogspot.com, which displays P10 copyrighted images.	
3	7. Google has placed ads next to P10 Images on	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.
4	the website alltolls.blogspot.com.	
5	8. Google has earned revenues from clicks on	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.
6	ads placed next to P10 Images on the website	
7	alltolls.blogspot.com.	
8	9. Google stores full-size Perfect 10 copyrighted images on blogger.com servers,	Zada Decl. ¶¶9, 41-51, Exhs. 2, 28-35, 9.
9	which are registered to Google.	
10	10. Google has allowed its ads to appear next to thousands of Perfect 10 copyrighted images.	Zada Decl. ¶¶13, 58, Exhs. 6, 43, 9.
11		
12	11. Google has not asked Perfect 10 for permission, nor has it offered to pay Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶12.
13	anything for the commercial use of Perfect 10's copyrighted works.	
14	12. Google has allowed its ads to appear next to	Zada Decl. ¶¶13, 58, Exhs. 6, 43,
15	thousands of P10 Images on the web site	9.
16	imagerise.com	
17	13. Google has allowed its ads to appear on websites that have infringed at least 18,000 P10	Zada Decl. ¶¶12-13, 58, Exhs. 5- 6, 43, 9.
18	Images.	
19	14. Google has earned revenue from clicks on	Zada Decl. ¶¶13, 58, Exhs. 6, 43,
20	ads placed next to thousands of Perfect 10 copyrighted images.	9.
21	15. Google has made "thumbnails" from full-	Zada Decl. Exh. 40, page 3.
22	size Perfect 10 copyrighted images.	
23	16. Google has linked P10 "thumbnails" to	Zada Decl. ¶28, Exh. 17.
24	infringing web pages that contain full-size P10 Images.	
25	17. Google has placed thousands of Google ads	Zada Decl. ¶49.
26	next to images of celebrities without permission from either the celebrity or the copyright holder.	
27	from ender the cereority of the copyright holder.	
28		

18. Google has stored tens of thousands of full-	Zada Decl. ¶49.
size images on its blogger.com servers.	
19. The website imagerise.com has been a Google AdSense affiliate.	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exh. 6.
20. The website imagevenue.com has been a	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exh. 6.
,	
21. The website rapidshare.com has been a Google AdSense affiliate.	Zada Decl. ¶14, Exh. 7
22. Google has powered the rapidshare1.com search engine.	Zada Decl. ¶14, Exh. 7.
23. Google has hosted websites that display	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.
passwords to perfect10.com.	
24. Google has displayed passwords to	Zada Decl. ¶65, Exh. 49.
perfect10.com in its search results.	
III. DIRECT INFRINGEMENT BY THIRD-	-PARTIES
25. Third-party websites have infringed Perfect	Zada Decl. ¶¶28, 56-61 Exhs.
10's copyrights.	17, 41-45. Perfect 10 v. Google, Inc., 416 F.Supp.2d 828, 852
	(C.D.Cal. 2006), aff'd in part
	and reversed in part on other grounds, Perfect 10, Inc. v.
	<i>Google.com, Inc.</i> , 508 F.3d 1146, 1170 (9 th Cir. 2007).
IV. GOOGLE'S FAILURE TO PRODUCE A	A DMCA LOG
26. Despite being ordered to do so, Google has	Order of Judge Matz dated May
	13, 2008, Docket No. 294, page 5 lines 1-9, attached as Exh. F to
DMCA notices received, the identity of the	Mausner Decl.; Zada Decl. ¶19.
notifying party and the accused infringer, and the actions (if any) taken in response."	
V. GOOGLE'S KNOWLEDGE AND FAILU	JRE TO ACT
EXPEDITIOUSLY OR AT ALL	
Google Did Not Respond To Perfect10's Notices Sent In 2001	
27. In May of 2001, Perfect 10 sent to Google a	Zada Decl. ¶16, Exh. 8.
notice which complained of infringements on	
	 size images on its blogger.com servers. 19. The website imagerise.com has been a Google AdSense affiliate. 20. The website imagevenue.com has been a Google AdSense affiliate. 21. The website rapidshare.com has been a Google AdSense affiliate. 22. Google has powered the rapidshare1.com search engine. 23. Google has hosted websites that display passwords to perfect10.com. 24. Google has displayed passwords to perfect10.com in its search results. III. DIRECT INFRINGEMENT BY THIRD 25. Third-party websites have infringed Perfect 10's copyrights. IV. GOOGLE'S FAILURE TO PRODUCE A 26. Despite being ordered to do so, Google has not produced a "DMCA log," meaning "a spreadsheet-type document summarizing DMCA notices received, the identity of the notifying party and the accused infringer, and the actions (if any) taken in response." V. GOOGLE'S KNOWLEDGE AND FAILUE EXPEDITIOUSLY OR AT ALL Google Did Not Respond To Perfect10's Notice 27. In May of 2001, Perfect 10 sent to Google a

I		
1	the web page <i>http://www.celebritypictures.com/</i>	
2	MayaRubin/maya1.htm.	
3 4	28. On September 10, 2004, Google was linking to that infringing web page in its Web Search results.	Zada Decl. ¶16, Exh. 8.
5	29. On June 27, 2001, Google sent Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶17, Exh. 10.
6	an email which stated, "Without administrator cooperation we cannot exclude material	
7	available on the Internet from our index."	
8	The above statement was not correct.	
9	30. Google did not remove or disable access to	Zada Decl. ¶17, Exh. 10.
10	any infringing material identified by Perfect 10 in its 2001 notices of infringement.	
11	31. In response to a Court order to produce all	Zada Decl. ¶19.
12	of the notices of infringement it received in 2001, Google produced only one document, one	
13	of Perfect 10's notices.	
14	32. Google links to web pages both from its Web Search results and from its Image Search	Zada Decl. ¶28, Exh. 17.
15	results.	
16 17	Google Did Not Respond To Perfect 10's Notic 2004, For Approximately Four Months	es Sent In May And June Of
18 19	33a) Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of infringement on May 31, 2004.	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
20	33b) Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
21	infringement on June 4, 2004.	
22	33c) Google could have removed infringing links identified by Perfect 10 within a week of	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
23	receiving notice.	
24	33d) Google did not remove any links in response to Perfect 10's notices until at least	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
25	October 10, 2004.	
26	34. In June of 2004, Google sent Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12
27	instructions for making DMCA notices.	
28		
-		

I		
1 2	35. Those instructions did not mention image URLs.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
3	36. Those instructions did not mention Google Image Search.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
4 5	37. Those instructions did not state that the URL could not have elipses () in it.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
6 7	38. Those instructions did not state that a different procedure was necessary for Google Image Search results.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
8 9 10 11 12	39. In the instructions that Google provided to Perfect 10 in June of 2004, Google stated that Perfect 10 needed to provide "(a) the search query that you used, and (b) the URL for each allegedly infringing search result. Note that the URL for each search result appears in green at the end of the description for that search result."	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
13 14	40. Google did not ask that Perfect 10 add a starting http:// to the beginning of the URL it provided to Google.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
15 16 17	41. The URL that Google provides in green at the end of the description for each Google Web Search result typically does not begin with a starting http://.	Zada Decl. Exh. 8, page 5.
18 19 20	42. The URL that Google requested identified an infringing web page, even though it did not typically begin with an http://. The URL that Google requested was not an image URL.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
21 22	43. In the instructions that Google provided to Perfect 10, Google did not state that Perfect 10 needed to provide Google with an Image URL.	Zada Decl. ¶23, Exh. 12.
23 24 25	44. If a user were to click on the URL highlighted in yellow near the top of page 3 of Exhibit 17 to the Zada Decl., they would be transported to the web page http://web.tiscali.it/raskz/donne/giugno.htm.	Zada Decl. Exh. 17, page 3.
26 27 28	45. In page 3 of Exhibit 17 to the Zada Decl., Google provided a link to the web page	Zada Decl. Exh. 17, page 3.
20		

1		
1	http://web.tiscali.it/raskz/donne/giugno.htm.	
2	46. In its Image Search results, when Google	Zada Decl. Exh. 17, page 3.
3	provides a "thumbnail," it links that thumbnail to a web page containing a similar, but typically	
4	larger, image.	
5	47a. Google has suppressed the starting http:// from links it lists in its Image Search results.	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5, pages 1- 8.
6		
7	47b. None of the links shown in pages 1-8 of Exhibit 5 to the Zada Decl. show a starting	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5, pages 1- 8.
8	http://.	
9	48. If Google receives the URL of a web page, it can remove any links in its Image Search	Declaration of David O'Connor ("O'Connor Decl.") ¶¶5-6, Exh.
10	index that match that URL.	1; Declaration of Sean
11		("Chumura Decl.") ¶5, Exh. 1.
12	49. In order for Google to stop linking to an identified web page via its Image Search results,	Zada Decl. Exh. 17, page 3.
13	Google must remove all thumbnails from its	
14	Image Search results that link to that web page.	
15	50. Google received notices of infringement from Perfect 10.	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
16		
17	Google Did Not Remove Identified Infringing I Results	Links From Its Image Search
18	51. On May 31, 2004, Perfect 10 sent Google a	Zada Decl. ¶26-27, Exhs. 14-
19	notice of infringement which contained the URL	15, 9.
20	http://pix.alronix.net/Photo_Scans/Tits/ Monika_Zsibrita/pic00076.htm.	
21	52. Google did not remove that link from its	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-27, Exhs. 14-
22	Web Search results until at least October 10, 2004.	15, 9.
23		
24	53. Google did not remove that link from its Image Search results until at least December 12,	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-27, Exhs. 14- 15, 9.
25	2005.	
26	54. Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of infringement on May 31, 2004 which alleged	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-27, Exhs. 14- 16, 9.
27	that the web page <i>http://www</i> .	10, 9.
28	beautifulwallpaper.com/monika_zsibrita.shtml	
I		

п		
1	infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
2	55. Google did not remove a link to that web	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-27, Exhs. 14-
3	page from its Web Search results until at least October 10, 2004.	16, 9.
4	56. As of December 12, 2005, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-27, Exhs. 14-
5	continuing to link to the web page http://www.beautifulwallpaper.com/monika_zsib	16, 9.
6	<i>rita.shtml</i> , via its Image Search results.	
7	57. Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-28, Exhs. 14-
8	infringement on June 28, 2004 which alleged that the web page determined by the URL	15, 17, 9.
9	<i>web.tiscali.it/raskz/donne/giugno.htm</i> infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
10		Zada Daal 9926 28 Extra 14
11	58. Google did not remove a link to that web page from its Web Search results until at least	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-28, Exhs. 14- 15, 17, 9.
12	October 10, 2004.	
13	59. As of July 9, 2006, Google was continuing to link to that infringing web page via its Image	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-28, Exhs. 14- 15, 17, 9.
14	Search results.	
15	60. Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of	Zada Decl. ¶¶24, 26-28, Exhs.
16	infringement on January 3, 2005, which alleged that the web page determined by the URL	13-15, 17, 9.
17	3thehardway.nl/images/spec_gallery/ vibe_sorenson.pages/vibe_sorenson006.html	
18	infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
19	61. As of January 17, 2006, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶¶24, 26-28, Exhs.
20	continuing to link to that web page via its Image Search results.	13-15, 17, 9.
21	62. As of July 9, 2006, Google was continuing	Zada Decl. ¶¶24, 26-28, Exhs.
22	to link, via its Image Search results, to the web page <i>http://celebritybattles.com/celeb/</i>	13-15, 17, 9.
23	<i>Monika_Zsibrita</i> , alleged to be infringing by	
24	Perfect 10 in its February 17, 2005 notice.	
25	Google Did Not Expeditiously Remove Identified Infringing Image URLs.	
26	63a. Google received a notice from Perfect 10,	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14, page 7.
27	on or about May 31, 2004, which contained the	$\Delta a a D C I. \parallel 20, D \Lambda II. 14, page 7.$
28	URL http://www.britney-spears-nude-	

п		
1	pics.com/vsorensen/vsorensen_010.jpg.	
2	63b. Google did not remove the image	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14, page 7.
3	determined by that image URL from its Image Search results until November 3, 2005.	
4 5	64. Google has received hundreds of Image URLs from Perfect 10 in Perfect 10's July 19,	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14, page 28.
6	2004 notice which Google did not list in Mr. Macgillivray's sur-reply declaration and which	
7	Google did not remove for months after notice.	
8	Google Did Not Process All URLs Forwarded	Го It By Amazon
9	65a) On January 21, 2005, Perfect 10 sent a	Zada Decl. ¶¶29-31, Exh. 19.
10	notice to Amazon which Amazon claimed to have forwarded to Google, on or about April 8, 2005.	
11		
12	65b) As of May 20, 2009, Google was continuing to provide links in its Web Search	Zada Decl. ¶¶29-31, Exhs. 19-20.
13	results to a number of the web pages which	
14	Perfect 10 alleged in its January 21, 2005 notice to Amazon, infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
15	65c) Google has not provided to Perfect 10 a	Zada Decl. ¶19.
16 17	spreadsheet listing which links it removed from its search results as a result of Perfect 10's	
17 18	notices to Amazon, or when those links were removed.	
19	Google Did Not Remove Identified Infringing Search Results	Cache Links From Its Web
20	66a) In Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 1.
21	Google, Perfect 10 included a copy of Google's cache of the web page	Zada Deel. 109, Exil. 20, page 1.
22	http://www.monitor.hr/belle/0201/020108.htm,	
23	which Perfect 10 alleged infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
24	66b) On October 28, 2008, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 2.
25	continuing to directly link to that same allegedly infringing web page.	
26		
27 28	66c) In Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google, Perfect 10 included a copy of Google's cache of the web page	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 3.
28		

1		
1	http://www.monitor.hr/belle/0112/011206.htm,	
2	which Perfect 10 alleged infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
3	66d) On October 28, 2008, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 4.
4	continuing to directly link to that same web page.	
5		
6	66e) In Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google, Perfect 10 included a copy of Google's	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 5.
7	cache of the web page http://www.monitor.hr/belle/0208/020828.htm,	
8	which Perfect 10 alleged infringed Perfect 10's	
9	copyrights.	
10	66f) On October 28, 2008, Google was continuing to directly link to that same web	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 6.
11	page.	
12	66g) In Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google, Perfect 10 included a copy of Google's	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 7.
13	cache of the web page	
14	<i>http://www.monitor.hr/belle/0205/020518.htm</i> , which Perfect 10 alleged infringed Perfect 10's	
15	copyrights.	
16	66h) On October 28, 2008, Google was continuing to directly link to that same allegedly	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 8.
17	infringing web page.	
18	66i) In Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page 9.
19	Google, Perfect 10 included a copy of Google's cache of the web page	
20	<i>http://www.monitor.hr/belle/0405/040507.htm</i> , which Perfect 10 alleged infringed Perfect 10's	
21	copyrights.	
22	66j) On October 28, 2008, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26, page
23	continuing to directly link to that same web page.	10.
24		
25	Google Did Not Remove Identified P10 "Thum Results	ionalis" r rom its image Search
26	67a) As part of Perfect 10's January 24, 2008	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exhs. 37, 38,
27	notice to Google, Perfect 10 sent to Google several printouts of web pages which appear as	pages 1, 3, 5.
28	pages 1, 3, and 5 of Exhibit 38 to the Zada Decl.	

I		
1	Perfect 10 stated in its notice that all such	
2	images appearing on those web pages, unless they were parts of advertising banners or had	
3	red X's over them, were copyrighted by Perfect 10.	
4		
5	67b) Perfect 10 provided Google with a copy of the alleged infringing thumbnails and the URLs	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exhs. 37, 38, pages 1, 3, 5.
6	for those thumbnails.	
7	67c) Perfect 10 provided Google with enough	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exhs. 37, 38,
8	information for Google to locate the alleged	pages 1,3, 5.
9	infringing thumbnails.	
10	67d) As of October 22, 2008, Google had not removed any of the alleged infringing	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exhs. 37, 38, pages 2, 4, 6.
11	thumbnails from its Image Search results.	P
12	Google Has Not Removed Identified See Full-S	ize Image Links
13	68a) Perfect 10 provided to Google in its notices	Zada Decl. ¶55, Exh. 40, pages
14	copies of the two images shown as pages 1-2 of Exhibit 40 of the Zada Decl. The first image	1-2.
15	was sent in Perfect 10's July 9, 2008 notice. The second image was sent in Perfect 10's	
16	December 14, 2007 notice.	
17	68b) Perfect 10 obtained the URLs for those images by following the instructions provided	Zada Decl. ¶¶54-55, Exhs. 39- 40.
18	by Google as described in Exhibit 39 to the	
19	Zada Decl.	
20	68c) As of May 19, 2009, Google had not removed the "See full-size image" links to those	Zada Decl. ¶55, Exh. 40, pages 3-4.
21	images or the thumbnails corresponding to those	
22	images from its Image Search results.	
23	Google Has Not Stopped Directly Linking To Identified Infringing Web Pages	
24	69a) As part of Perfect 10's July 9, 2008 notice to Google, Perfect 10 included what are attached	Zada Decl. ¶¶56-57, Exh. 41.
25	as pages 8 and 9 of Exhibit 41.	
26	69b) Perfect 10 stated that all images on both of	Zada Decl. ¶¶56-57, Exh. 41.
27	those pages were copyrighted by Perfect 10 except for the images with red X's over them.	
28		
I		

1	69c) From the URLs shown at the bottom of	Zada Decl. ¶¶23, 26, 61-63,
2	pages 8 and 9 of Exhibit 41, Google had enough information to block any Google search result	Exhs. 12, 14, 45-47; Deposition of Derrick Pallas, Alexa's Rule
3	from linking to those web pages.	30(b)(6) deponent ("Pallas Depo.") 145:6-146.10; 148:23-
4		149:7, submitted as Exh. G to
5		Mausner Decl. (filed under seal); O'Connor Decl. ¶¶4-6, Exh. 1;
6		Chumura Decl. ¶¶3-5, Exh. 1.
7	69d) Google continued to provide search results	Zada Decl. ¶57, Exh. 42.
8	which linked to those allegedly infringing web pages as of at least March 31, 2009.	
9	Google Has Failed To Respond To Notices Whi	ich Identified Infringing Images
10	On Its Servers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
11	70. On July 2, 2007, Perfect 10 sent to Google a	Zada Decl. ¶¶40-42, Exhs. 27-
12	notice of infringement which contained P10 Images of Zita Gorocs (or Gorog) allegedly	28.
13	infringed by the Google hosted website machpex-photo.blogspot.com. Perfect 10 also	
14	included in that notice allegedly infringing	
15	images stored on the website blogger.com of that same model.	
16	71. As of April 3, 2009, Google had not	Zada Decl. ¶43, Exh. 30.
17	removed the images referenced in the previous paragraph from its blogger.com servers.	
18	72. The URL which is highlighted at the bottom	Zada Decl. ¶41, Exh. 28.
19	of page 1 of Exhibit 28 to the Zada Decl. is what Google refers to as a "Post URL."	
20		Zada Daal ¶11 Exh 21
21	73. On July 2, 2007, Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of infringement which contained P10	Zada Decl. ¶44, Exh. 31.
22	Images of Monika Zsibrita allegedly infringed by the Google hosted website	
23	tabloidrabies.blogspot.com. Perfect 10 also	
24	included in that notice allegedly infringing images stored on the website blogger.com of	
25	that same model.	
26	74. As of May 4, 2009, Google had not removed the images referenced in the previous	Zada Decl. ¶45, Exh. 32.
27	paragraph from its blogger.com servers or from	
28	the website <i>tabloidrabies.blogspot.com</i> .	
I	12	

1	75. On July 2, 2007, Perfect 10 sent to Google a	Zada Decl. ¶46, Exh. 33.
2	notice of infringement which contained P10 Images of Marisa Miller allegedly infringed by	
3	and stored on the website blogger.com.	
4	76. As of April 3, 2009, Google had not	Zada Decl. ¶47, Exh. 34.
5	removed the images referenced in the previous paragraph from its blogger.com servers.	
6	77. On July 2, 2007, Perfect 10 sent to Google a	Zada Decl. ¶51, Exh. 35.
7	notice of infringement which contained P10 Images of Alexandra Berejnova allegedly	n
8	infringed by and stored on the website	
9	blogger.com.	
10	78. As of October 27, 2008, Google was continuing to store some of the same images	Zada Decl. ¶51, Exh. 35.
11	referenced in the previous paragraph on its blogger.com servers, with slightly different	
12	URLs.	
13	79. On July 31, 2007, Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of infringement which contained P10	Zada Decl. ¶52, Exh. 36.
14	Images of Ashley Degenford (also known as	
15	Ashley Reed) allegedly infringed by and stored on Google Groups servers.	
16	80. As of October 26, 2008, Google had not	Zada Decl. ¶52, Exh. 36.
17	removed the images referenced in the previous	
18	paragraph from its Google Group servers.	
19	Google Continues To Place Ads On Identified	Infringing Web Pages
20	81. Perfect 10 sent to Google in its March 17, 2008 notice, the web pages shown as pages 1	Zada Decl. ¶58, Exh. 43.
21	and 2 of Exhibit 43 to the Zada Decl.	
22	82. As of November 29, 2008, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶58, Exh. 43, pages
23	allowing its ads to appear on those same web pages.	4, 8.
24	83. In October and November of 2008, Google	Zada Decl. ¶58, Exh. 43.
25	allowed other Google ads to appear next to	
26	identical or virtually identical images of Vibe Sorenson.	
27	84a) During the time period from March 17,	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exhs. 6, 9.
28	2008 through July 9, 2008, Perfect 10 sent to	
I		

н		
1	Google thousands of P10 Images in its DMCA	
2	notices which Perfect 10 alleged were infringed by the website imagerise.com.	
3		
4	84b) Many of these images displayed Perfect 10 copyright notices.	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exhs. 6, 9.
5	84c) In September 2008, imagerise.com was	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exhs. 6, 9.
	showing Google ads next to more than 1,000	
6 7	P10 Images.	
7	84d) Google has linked P10 thumbnails it	Zada Decl. ¶12, Exh. 5.
8	created from infringing P10 Images offered by imagerise.com to web pages that Google hosted	
9	which contained google ads next to infringing P10 Images.	
10		
11	85a) Since June 28, 2007, Perfect 10 has sent to Google thousands of P10 Images which Perfect	Zada Decl. ¶13.
12	10 alleged were infringed by the website	
13	imagevenue.com.	
14	85b) Many of these images displayed Perfect 10 copyright notices.	Zada Decl. ¶13.
15	85c) As of October 2008, Google was	Zada Decl. ¶¶12-13, Exh. 5,
16	continuing to place ads on imagevenue.com and was continuing to link P10 Images to	page 5, Exh. 6, page 4.
17	imagevenue.com in Google's Image Search	
18	results.	
19	86. Google has allowed its ads to appear on web pages of third-party websites that contain	Zada Decl. ¶13, Exh. 6, pages 1, 2, Exh. 9.
20	P10 Images that display Perfect 10 copyright	-,
21	notices, after receiving notice from Perfect 10 complaining about such unauthorized use of its	
22	images.	
23	Google's Failure To Take Action in Response t	to Notices Regarding Massive
24	Infringing Advertising Affiliates	
24 25	87a) On or about December 9, 2005, Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶32, Exh. 21.
	sent to Google a notice which alleged that the websites rapidshare.de, giganews.com, and	
26	newsdemon.com infringed Perfect 10's copyrights.	
27	copyrights.	
28		

I		
1	87b) In that notice, Perfect 10 attached	Zada Decl. ¶32, Exh. 21.
2	examples of P10 Images which Perfect 10 alleged were infringed by those websites.	
3		
4	87c) On February 12, 2006, Google was allowing rapidshare.de to display Google ads on	Zada Decl. ¶14, Exh. 7, page 9.
	that website.	
5	87d) As of November 28, 2008, Google was still	Zada Decl. ¶37, Exh. 25.
6	linking to and displaying ads for both giganews.com and newsdemon.com.	
7		
8	88. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google contained the following language:	Zada Decl. ¶34, Exh. 22.
9	"Accompanying this notice is a hard drive	
10	which contains approximately 1,100,000 infringements of Perfect 10 copyrighted images	
11	that are offered by infringing websites from	
12	which Google accepts advertising and/or to which Google links."	
13	89. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google	Zada Decl. ¶34, Exh. 22.
13	contained the following language: "These	Zada DCCI. 54, EXII. 22.
	images, when clicked on, expand to full size and in almost all cases, display a Perfect 10	
15	copyright notice. There are cumulatively just	
16	slightly less than 801,142 images from the Usenet sites on the hard drive in the P10	
17	subfolders that display Perfect 10 copyright	
18	notices."	
19	90. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google	Zada Decl. ¶¶34-35, Exh. 22,
20	included thousands of infringing images from the Google advertisers Giganews.com,	pages 1-6, Exh. 23
21	UsenetBinaries.com, maximumusenet.com,	
22	NewsReader.com, NewsDemon.com, Thundernews.com, powerusenet.com,	
	NewsRazor.net, megabitz.net, alibis.com,	
23	Ngroups.Net, diiva.com, rhinonewsgroups.com, Easynews.com, news.astraweb.com,	
24	TigerUsenet.com, supernews.com, usenet-	
25	access.com, newsgroups-download.com, newsgroup-binaries.com, and	
26	NewsHosting.com.	
27	91. Perfect 10's notices from June 28, 2007	Zada Decl. ¶¶34-35, 37, Exhs.
28	onward, identified more than 300,000 copies of	22-23, 25.
I		

I		
1	full-size P10 Images offered by infringing pay sites (also known as usenet sites) to which	
2	Google linked and/or had business relationships	
3	(i.e., provided sponsored links).	
4	92. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google also alleged that the pay sites that Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶34, Exh. 22.
5	was complaining about stole and sold billions of dollars in full-length movies and songs.	
6		Zada Daal 926 Exh 24
7	93. Perfect 10 included in its June 28, 2007 notice to Google copies of songs sung by	Zada Decl. ¶36, Exh. 24.
8	Christina Aguilera, Andrea Bocelli, Kelly Clarkson, Jennifer Lopez, Dixie Chicks, The	
9	Beatles, Bob Dylan, Frank Sinatra, and Gwen	
10	Stefani that Perfect 10 downloaded from giganews.com.	
11	94. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice to Google	Zada Decl. ¶34, Exh. 22.
12	included a copy of all of the images on Perfect 10's website as of June of 2007.	
13	95. Google had knowledge that infringing P10	Zada Decl. ¶¶34, 37, Exhs. 22,
14	Images were available using Google's	25.
15	sponsored links.	
16	96. As of November 29, 2008, Google was continuing to both link to and display ads	Zada Decl. ¶37, Exh. 25.
17	(sponsored links) for websites for which it had	
18	received notices alleging that those websites infringed, in total, more than 300,000 P10	
19	Images.	
20	97. On January 24, 2008, Perfect 10 sent to	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exh. 37.
21	Google a notice of infringement which contained the language, "DVD1 contains 2,546	
22	infringing Perfect 10 copyrighted images downloaded in January of 2008 from the	
23	infringing websites megaerotic.com and rapidshare.com."	
24		
25	98. On July 9, 2008, Perfect 10 sent to Google a notice of infringement which contained the	Zada Decl. ¶56, Exh. 41.
26	language, "DVD1 and DVD2 together contain approximately 18,683 infringing Perfect 10	
27	copyrighted images which I downloaded in	
28	April, May, and June 2008 from the infringing	
I		

I		
1	website rapidshare.com."	
2	99. On April 24, 2009, Perfect 10 sent to	Zada Decl. ¶14, Exh. 7, pages
3	Google a notice which contained approximately 4,000 P10 Images which Perfect 10 alleged it	14-16.
4	downloaded from the website thepiratebay.org.	
5	100. As of May 4, 2009, Google was continuing to provide thousands of links to	Zada Decl. ¶14, Exh. 7, pages 14-16.
6	thepiratebay.org.	14-10.
7	101. Google did not respond to notification of	Perfect 10 incorporates the
8	claimed infringement by expeditiously removing or disabling access to the material that	supporting evidence set forth for uncontroverted facts numbered
9	is claimed to be infringing or to be the subject of	27-80 and 87-100, above.
10	infringing activity.	D
11	102. Google had knowledge that infringing Perfect 10 images were available using its	Perfect 10 incorporates the supporting evidence set forth for
12	search engine.	uncontroverted facts numbered 27-101, above.
13	VI. SIMPLE MEASURES TO PREVENT FU	
14	PERFECT 10'S COPYRIGHTED WORK	
15	ΤΟ ΤΑΚΕ	
16	103. Google has a method for removing specified links from its search results.	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14; Chumura Decl. ¶5.
17		
18	104. Image Recognition technology is available to locate infringing images.	Zada Decl. ¶67, Exh. 51.
19	105. Google has a "similar images" feature	Zada Decl. ¶67, Exh. 51.
20	which allows users of one image to search for similar images.	
21	106. Instead of waiting three or four months or	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14.
22	more to remove infringing links identified by Perfect 10, Google could have removed those	
23	links in a week or less.	
24	107. When Google removed infringing links	Zada Decl. ¶¶26-28, Exhs. 14-
25	identified by Perfect 10 from its Web Search results, it could have also removed such links	17.
26	from its Image Search results, but it did not do	
27		
28	108. Google could have removed all the full-	Zada Decl. ¶¶40-51, Exhs. 27-

1		
1	size P10 Images identified by Perfect 10 that it	35.
2	stored on its servers but did not do so.	
3	109. Google could have stopped hosting websites that infringed Perfect 10 copyrights,	Zada Decl. ¶¶12, 41-51, Exhs. 5, 28-35.
4	but did not do so.	20 55.
5	110. Google could have removed all the	Zada Decl. ¶¶29-31, Exhs. 18-
6	identified infringing links in the Perfect 10 notices that were forwarded to it from Amazon,	20.
7	but did not do so.	
8	111. Google could have removed all the	Zada Decl. ¶39, Exh. 26.
9	identified infringing cache links in Perfect 10's notices, but did not do so.	
10	112. Google could have removed all the	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exh. 38.
11	identified infringing P10 thumbnails from its Image Search results, but did not do so.	
12		
13	113. Google could have removed all the identified infringing "See full-size" Image links	Zada Decl. ¶55, Exh. 40.
14	in its Image Search results, but did not.	
15	114. Google could have removed all the identified infringing P10 Images it stored on its	Zada Decl. ¶52, Exh. 36.
16	servers in its Google Groups program, but did not do so.	
17		
18	115. Google could have stopped directly linking to identified infringing web pages, but it	Zada Decl. ¶¶59-60, Exhs. 44, 9.
19	did not do so.	
20	116. Google could have stopped placing its ads on websites alleged to infringe Perfect 10	Zada Decl. ¶¶12-13,58, Exhs. 5- 6, 43, 9.
21	copyrights, but did not do so.	0, +5, 7.
22	117. Google could have removed or disabled	Zada Decl. ¶68, Exh. 52.
23	access to all links to websites that have infringed over 1,000 images belonging to a	
24	particular copyright holder such as Perfect 10,	
25	or are otherwise known by Google to be massive infringers of copyright, but did not do	
26	so.	
27	118. Google could have sent copies of P10's infringed images to webmasters or advertisers	Zada Decl. ¶68, Exh. 52.
28	and required them to remove such images or be	
I		

Ĩ		
1	delisted from Google search results, but did not	
2	do so.	
3	119. Google could have stopped providing sponsored links to pay sites that infringe	Zada Decl. ¶¶34, 37, Exhs. 22, 25.
4	thousands of P10 Images, as identified in Perfect 10's notices, such as giganews.com,	
5	NewsRazor.net, Easynews.com,	
6	Thundernews.com, TigerUsenet.com, UsenetBinaries.com, Ngroups.net,	
7	rhinonewsgroups.com, newsgroups-	
8	download.com, newsgroup-binaries.com, usenet-access.com, Newsreader.com,	
	powerusenet.com, supernews.com, and	
9	news.astraweb.com, but failed to do so.	
10	120. Google could have assigned one or two employees or employed image recognition	Zada Decl. ¶67, Exh. 51.
11	technology to stop providing the same	
12	infringing P10 Images, over and over, in its Image Search results, but did not do so.	
13		
14	121. Google could have stopped publishing confidential username/password combinations	Zada Decl. ¶65, Exh. 49.
15	that allow unauthorized access to Perfect 10's website, as identified in Perfect 10's notices, but	
16	did not do so.	
17	122. Google could have removed from Google	Zada Decl. ¶65, Exh. 49.
18	search results websites that publish confidential username/password combinations, as identified	
19	in Perfect 10's notices, but did not do so.	
20	123. Google could have implemented a "check	Zada Decl. ¶53, Exh. 38, page 7.
21	the box" procedure for identifying infringing P10 "thumbnails" in its Image Search results but	
22	did not do so.	
23	124. Google has implemented a procedure for	Zada Decl. ¶53; Mausner Decl.
24	reporting images that are offensive but not for images that violate copyright.	Exh. C.
25		
26	125. Google could have adopted and	Perfect 10 incorporates the
27	implemented a repeat infringer policy, but did not do so.	supporting evidence set forth for undisputed facts numbered 128-
		138, below.
28		

I		
1	126. Google could have taken simple measures	Perfect 10 incorporates the
2	to prevent further damage to Perfect 10's	supporting evidence set forth for
	copyrighted works, but did not do so.	uncontroverted facts numbered
3		103-125, above.
4	127. Perfect 10's experience with Google is not	Declarations of Dean Hoffman
5	unique; others who have sent DMCA notices to	and C.J. Newton, filed
5	Google have experienced the same refusal to act.	concurrently; Mausner Decl. Exh. C.
6		
7	VII. GOOGLE'S INELIGIBILITY FOR DM	ICA SAFE HARBOR
8	A. GOOGLE DID NOT SUITABLY	IMPLEMENT A REPEAT
	INFRINGER POLICY	
9	128. Clients whose websites Google hosts are	Zada Decl. ¶8, Exh. 1.
10	account holders or subscribers of Google for the	
11	purposes of the Digital Millenium Copyright	
	Act.	
12	129. A user may set up a blogspot.com website	Zada Decl. ¶¶6-7.
13	hosted by Google without providing Google	
14	with his name or address.	
	130. In his declaration dated September 26,	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14, page
15	2005, Alexander Macgillivray stated that	29.
16	"Google receives thousands of inquiries daily	
17	concerning search results, including notices about search results that link to allegedly	
	improper content."	
18		
19	131. Google has not produced to Perfect 10 in discovery, more than one thousand notices dated	Zada Decl. ¶26.
20	prior to September 26, 2005.	
21	132. In his declaration dated September 26,	Zada Decl. ¶26, Exh. 14, page 29.
22	2005, Alexander Macgillivray stated, "If a notice does not contain enough information for	27.
23	Google to process, or if it otherwise fails the	
	requirements of 17 U.S.C. § 512(c)(3), but	
24	contains contact information for the sender, Google's staff will typically email the sender	
25	requesting additional information."	
26		
	133. Google has not produced to Perfect 10, a spreadsheet which details all the DMCA notices	Zada Decl. ¶19.
27	it has received and its response to each such	
28		<u>ا</u>
l		

I		
1	notice.	
2	134. Google has not produced a DMCA log to Perfect 10.	Zada Decl. ¶19.
3	Perfect 10.	
4 5	135. Google does not maintain a DMCA log for complaints it receives regarding websites that it hosts.	Zada Decl. ¶19.
6	136. Google does not keep track of the identity	Zada Decl. ¶19.
7	of the alleged infringer when it receives a notice alleging infringement on a website that Google	
8	hosts.	
9	137. Because Google has not maintained a	Zada Decl. ¶19.
10	searchable DMCA log, it cannot determine whether the owner of a particular website that it hosts has been the subject of multiple	
11	infringement notices.	
12 13	138. Because Google does not know, in many cases, the identity of the owner of a particular	Zada Decl. ¶19.
13 14	website that it hosts, it cannot determine if that	
14 15	person has been the subject of multiple notices of infringement.	
16 17	139. Google did not adopt or implement a repeat infringer policy.	Perfect 10 incorporates the supporting evidence set forth for uncontroverted facts numbered 128-138, above.
18		
19	A. GOOGLE IS INELIGIBLE FOR A DM	CA SAFE HARBOR
20	140. Google is not eligible for DMCA safe harbor.	Perfect 10 incorporates the supporting evidence set forth for
21		uncontroverted facts numbered 27-100, 128-139, above, and
22		141-158 and 164 below.
23	B. PERFECT 10'S DMCA NOTICES WERE COMPLIANT	
24	141. Google has removed links in its search	Zada Decl. ¶¶26, 61 Exhs. 14,
25	results in response to some of Perfect 10's notices.	45.
26		
27 28	142. Google has removed at least 1000 links in its search results in response to Perfect 10's	Zada Decl. ¶¶26, 61 Exhs. 14,
20		

1notices.45.2143. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a physical or electronic signature of Dr. Zada, a person authorized to act on behalf of Perfect 10, the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed.Zada Decl. Exhs. 1 41, 9.4144. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted.Zada Decl. Exhs. 1 41, 9.10145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law.Zada Decl. Exhs. 1 41, 9.14146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that isZada Decl. Exhs. 1 41, 9.	
 14.3. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a physical or electronic signature of Dr. Zada, a person authorized to act on behalf of Perfect 10, the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed. 144. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 physical or electronic signature of Dr. Zada, a person authorized to act on behalf of Perfect 10, the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed. 144. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	3, 22, 27, 37,
 the owner of an exclusive right that is allegedly infringed. 144. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 144. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 information reasonably sufficient to permit the service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 10 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 service provider to contact the complaining party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	3, 22, 27, 37,
 party, Dr. Zada of Perfect 10, including an address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 10 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address, telephone number, and an electronic mail address at which the complaining party may be contacted. 14 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
10145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law.Zada Decl. Exhs. 1 41, 9.12146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that isZada Decl. Exhs. 1	
 145. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the complaining party has a good faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the copyright owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	3, 22, 27, 37,
 13 owner, its agent, or the law. 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 14 146. Perfect 10's DMCA notices contained a statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 statement that the information in the notification is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that the complaining party is authorized to act on behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	
 15 is accurate, and under penalty of perjury, that 16 behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is 	3, 22, 27, 37,
¹⁰ behalf of the owner of an exclusive right that is	
17 allegedly infringed.	
18147. Perfect 10's January 3, 2005 notice toZada Decl. ¶24, Ex	ch. 13.
Google contained references to pages of aparticular Volume and Issue of Perfect 10	
20 Magazine in which images of a particular model	
21	
21148. Perfect 10's May 31, 2004, June 4, 2004, and July 19, 2004 notices were sent in electronicZada Decl. ¶26, Ex	.h. 9.
format.	
23 149. Perfect 10 began to send to Google copies Zada Decl. ¶39-47	7; Exhs. 26-
²⁴ of the actual infringing web pages in June of 34.	
contained both the URL where the infringing	
 26 image or images were located, as well copies of the actual infringed/infringing images. 	
27	20 50
28 150. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 and July 2, Zada Decl. ¶¶33-35), 38-38,

I		
1	2007 notices contained copies of images alleged to have been infringed.	Exhs. 22-23, 26-43.
2	151. All of Perfect 10's notices, sent after June	Zada Decl. ¶¶33-35, 38-58,
3	28, 2007, contained copies of images alleged to	Exhs. 22-23, 26-43.
4	have been infringed.	
5	152. Perfect 10's June 28, 2007 notice contained an identification of the copyrighted	Zada Decl. ¶¶33-35, 39, Exhs. 22-23, 26.
6	work claimed to have been infringed.	
7	153. Perfect 10's DMCA notices from June 28,	Zada Decl. ¶¶33-35, 38-58,
8	2007 onward, contained an identification of the copyrighted work claimed to have been	Exhs. 22-23, 26-43.
9	infringed, or, if multiple copyrighted works at a single online site were covered by a single	
10	notification, a representative list of such works at that site.	
11		Zada Daal 024 Exha 12 0
12	154. For virtually all of the URLs provided in Perfect 10's notices, from June 28, 2004	Zada Decl. ¶24, Exhs. 13, 9.
13	onwards, Perfect 10 provided an identification of the copyrighted work claimed to have been	
14	infringed, or, if multiple copyrighted works at a single online site were covered by a single	
15	notification, a representative list of such works	
16	at that site.	
17	155. Google was able to remove or disable access to a search result containing a URL if it	Zada Decl. ¶¶26, 61-63, Exhs. 14, 45-47; Pallas Depo. 145:6-
18 10	was given the URL by itself or the URL along with a copy of the actual web page identified by	146.10; 148:23-149:7, attached as Exh. G to Mausner Decl.
19 20	that URL.	(filed under seal); O'Connor
20 21		Decl. ¶¶4-6, Exh. 1; Chumura Decl. ¶¶3-5, Exh. 1; Declaration
21		of Sheena Chou ("Chou Decl.") ¶8.
22 22	156. Perfect 10's DMCA notices provided	Zada Decl. ¶¶26, 61-63, Exhs.
23 24	sufficient information for Google to remove or	14, 45-47; Pallas Depo. 145:6-
24 25	disable access to the material that was claimed to be infringing or to be the subject of infringing	146.10; 148:23-149:7, attached as Exh. G to Mausner Decl.
25 26	activity.	(filed under seal); O'Connor Decl. ¶4-6, Exh. 1; Chumura
26 27		Decl. ¶¶3-5, Exh. 1; Chou Decl. ¶8.
27 28		 0.
28		

i		
1	157. Perfect 10's notices were compliant, since	Perfect 10 incorporates the
2	they followed the statutory requirements of the DMCA.	supporting evidence set forth for uncontroverted facts numbered
3		141-156, above.
4 5	158. Google has the same level of control over its index as did Napster.	Deposition of Dr. John Levine, Google's expert witness, 234:4- 13, attached as Exh. E to
6		Mausner decl.
7	159. Google is as responsible for the display of a P10 Image via in-line linking or framing as the	Deposition of Dr. John Levine, Google's expert witness, 207:9-
8	website that stores the P10 Image.	23, attached as Exh. E to Mausner decl.
9	160. Perfect 10 images have been viewed	Zada Decl. ¶66, Exh. 50.
10	and/or downloaded millions of times from	Zada Deel. [[00, EAII. 50.
11	websites to which Google.com links.	
12	161. Passwords displayed by Google have been used, whether by Google's users, or by others,	Zada Decl. ¶65, Exh. 49.
13	to make over 3.0 million unauthorized downloads of images from Perfect 10's website,	
14	from October 27, 2007 through January 24, 2009.	
15		
16 17	162. Google users have done searches using Google's search engine to locate unauthorized	Zada Decl. ¶65, Exh. 49.
17	Perfect 10 passwords, and then used those passwords to gain unauthorized access to	
	Perfect 10's website.	
19	163. Society does not benefit when Google	Zada Decl. ¶¶14, 34-36, Exhs. 7,
20 21	assists thieves in selling stolen material, whether it be P10 Images, full-length movies, or songs.	22-24.
21 22	164. Perfect 10's experience with Google is not	Declarations of Dean Hoffman
22 23	unique; others who have sent DMCA notices to Google have experienced the same refusal to	and C.J. Newton, filed concurrently; Mausner Decl.
23 24	act.	Exh. C.
25		
26		
20 27		
28		
I		

1	Conclusions of Law:			
2	1.	1. Google is contributorily liable for copyright infringement.		
3	2.	2. Google is directly liable for copyright infringement.		
4	3.	Google is vicariously liable for copyright infringement.		
5	4.	Google is not eligible for safe harbor under the Digital Millennium Copyright		
6	Act (l	Act (DMCA), 17 U.S.C. §512.		
7	Dated	l: July 5, 2009	Respectfully submitted,	
8			LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER	
9			By: Jeffrey N. Mausner	
10		Jeffrey N. Mausner Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.		
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24 2 <i>ī</i>				
25 25				
26 27				
27 28				
28				