1	[COUNSEL INFORMATION ON FOLLOWING PAGE]	
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
6		TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7		
8 9	PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,	Master Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
10	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING
11		SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY
12	V.	JUDGMENT RE: ENTITLEMENT TO SAFE HARBOR UNDER 17 U.S.C.
13	GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,	§ 512(B), (C) & (D)
14	Defendants.	
15	Defendants.	Discovery Cut-Off Date: None Set Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
16		Trial Date: None Set
17	AND COUNTERCLAIM	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
01980.51320/3003689.1	Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ENTITLEMENT TO SAFE HARBOR UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 512 Dockets.Justia.com	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Rachel Herrick Kassabian (Bar No. 191060) rachelkassabian@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560 Redwood Shores, California 94065 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc. JEFFREY N. MAUSNER (State Bar No. 122385) Law Offices of Jeffrey N. Mausner Warner Center Towers, Suite 910
12 13	21800 Oxnard Street Woodland Hills, California 91367-3640 Telephone: (310) 617-8100, (818) 992-7500
14	Facsimile: (818) 716-2773
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
01980.51320/3003689.1	- <u>1</u> - <u>Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx)</u>
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ENTITLEMENT TO SAFE HARBOR UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 512

Defendant/Counterclaimant Google Inc. and Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. 1 2 (hereinafter "the parties") jointly submit this Stipulation and Proposed Order 3 regarding the briefing and hearing on Google's Motions for Summary Judgment Re: 4 Google's Entitlement to Safe Harbor Under 17 U.S.C. § 512(b), (c), and (d) ("Google's DMCA Motions"), filed July 2, 2009. In the Court's Minute Order issued 5 July 8, 2009, the Court observed that "the parties have not proposed deadlines for 6 7 opposition and reply briefs [regarding Google's DMCA Motions]. The Court requires 8 the oppositions to Google's DMCA motions to be filed by July 27, 2009 and the 9 replies to be filed by August 3, 2009."

10 In an effort to narrow the scope of the scheduling disputes in need of resolution 11 by this Court, the parties had reached an agreement on a proposed briefing and 12 hearing schedule regarding Google's DMCA Motions. However, Google did not 13 submit that agreement to the Court along with its *Ex Parte* Application, because the 14 agreement was contingent upon how the Court ruled on the Application. Google 15 apologizes for any inconvenience this may have caused this Court, and respectfully requests that the Court adopt the parties' agreed schedule (set forth below) as the 16 17 Order of this Court.

18

STIPULATION

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2009, Google filed Motions for Summary Judgment
Re: Google's Entitlement to Safe Harbor Under 17 U.S.C. § 512(b), (c), and (d)
("Google's DMCA Motions");

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to an extended briefing schedule whereby
Perfect 10 shall have five weeks to respond to Google's DMCA Motions, Google
shall have four weeks to reply, and the hearing shall be calendared for four weeks
thereafter (unless otherwise ordered by the Court);

THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate to the following briefing schedule in
connection with Google's DMCA Motions:

28

01980.51320/3003689.1

Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx)

1	1. Perfect 10's oppositions to Google's Motions shall be due on <u>August 10</u> ,
2	<u>2009;</u>
3	2. Google's reply papers in support of its DMCA Motions shall be due on
4	<u>September 8, 2009;</u>
5	3. The hearing on Google's DMCA Motions shall be calendared for
6	October 5, 2009, or such other hearing date as is convenient for the Court.
7	Respectfully submitted,
8	Dated: July 9, 2009 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES. LLP
9	
10	Bv/s/ Rachel Herrick Kassabian
11	Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.
12	Dated: July 9, 2009LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER
13	Jeffrey N. Mausner
14	By: Jeffrey N. Mausner
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
01980.51320/3003689.1	-1- Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx) STIPLILATION AND IPPOPOSEDLOPDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ENTITLEMENT TO SAFE HARBOR UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 512

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2		
3	Pursuant to stipulation, it is hereby ordered as follows:	
4	1 Derfect 10's conscitions to Coccle's Mations for Symmetry Indement	
5	1.Perfect 10's oppositions to Google's Motions for Summary JudgmentRe: Google's Entitlement to Safe Harbor Under 17 U.S.C. § 512(b), (c), and (d)	
7	("Google's DMCA Motions") shall be due on <u>August 10, 2009</u> ;	
8	 Coogle's Divier Working's shall be due on <u>August 10, 2005</u>, Google's reply papers in support of its DMCA Motions shall be due on 	
9	September 8, 2009;	
10	3. The hearing on Google's DMCA Motions shall be set for October 5,	
11	<u>2009</u> , or such other hearing date as is convenient for the Court.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	DATED: Julv . 2009	
14		
15	Bv A. Howard Matz	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
27		
20 01980.51320/3003689.1	-2- Case No.: 04-9484 AHM (SHx)	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON GOOGLE'S MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF ENTITLEMENT TO SAFE HARBOR UNDER 17 U.S.C. § 512	