

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
 Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)
 2 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com
 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
 3 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 4 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
 Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)
 5 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com
 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
 6 San Francisco, California 94111
 Rachel Herrick Kassabian (Bar No. 191060)
 7 rachelkassabian@quinnemanuel.com
 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
 8 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 9 Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 12 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 corporation,
 14
 Plaintiff,
 15
 vs.
 16 GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and
 17 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
 18
 Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
 [Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-
 4753 AHM (SHx)]

**NOTICE OF LODGING OF
 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 IN PART GOOGLE INC.'S
 MOTION TO COMPEL PERFECT
 10, INC. TO COMPLY WITH THE
 PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Hon. Stephen J. Hillman

19 AND COUNTERCLAIM

Date: September 22, 2009
 Time: 10:00 am
 Crtrm.: 550

20 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
 corporation,
 21
 Plaintiff,
 22
 vs.
 23 AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation;
 24 A9.COM, INC., a corporation; and
 25 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
 26
 Defendants.

Discovery Cutoff: None Set
 Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
 Trial Date: None Set

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Defendant and Counterclaimant Google Inc. hereby lodges the following document, attached herewith:

1. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IN PART GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO COMPEL PERFECT 10, INC. TO COMPLY WITH THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

All remaining parties to the above-captioned cases have met-and-conferred regarding the contents of this [Proposed] Order. Counsel for Google Inc. and the Amazon Defendants ("Defendants") have agreed to accept Perfect 10's versions of portions of the [Proposed] Order where consistent with the Court's rulings at the September 22, 2009 hearing. However, where Perfect 10's proposed versions were not so consistent, Defendants were not able to so agree and have submitted their own language. Counsel for Defendants have approved the attached [Proposed] Order as to form.

DATED: October 5, 2009

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP

By *Rachel Herrick Kassabian*
Rachel Herrick Kassabian
Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.