

1 Jeffrey N. Mausner (State Bar No. 122385)
2 Law Offices of Jeffrey N. Mausner
3 Warner Center Towers
4 21800 Oxnard Street, Suite 910
5 Woodland Hills, California 91367-3640
6 Email: Jeff@mausnerlaw.com
7 Telephone: (310) 617-8100, (818) 992-7500
8 Facsimile: (818) 716-2773

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
13 corporation,

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 GOOGLE, INC., a corporation; and
17 DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

18 Defendant.

19 AND COUNTERCLAIM

20 PERFECT 10, INC., a California
21 corporation,

22 Plaintiff,

23 v.

24 AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation;
25 ALEXA INTERNET., a corporation;
26 and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

27 Defendant.

Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-
4753 AHM (SHx)

DISCOVERY MATTER

**PERFECT 10, INC.'S NOTICE OF
LODGING OF [PROPOSED]
ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND
DENYING IN PART GOOGLE
INC.'S MOTION RE
CONFIDENTIALITY
DESIGNATIONS AND BATE
STAMPING DOCUMENTS**

**BEFORE JUDGE STEPHEN J.
HILLMAN**

Date: September 22, 2009
Time: 10:00am
Place: 550

Discovery Cut-Off Date: None Set
Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
Trial Date: None Set

1 TO THE CLERK OF THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND THEIR
2 ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

3 Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. hereby lodges its version of the following
4 document, attached herewith:

5 1. [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN
6 PART GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION RE CONFIDENTIALITY
7 DESIGNATIONS AND BATE STAMPING DOCUMENTS

8 (Google's version had a different caption: [Proposed] Order Granting
9 In Part Google Inc.'s Motion To Compel Perfect 10, Inc. To Comply
10 With The Protective Order)

11 Google submitted its version of the [PROPOSED] Order on October 5, Docket
12 No. 554-2. Perfect 10 believes that its version of the [PROPOSED] Order more
13 accurately reflects what occurred at the hearing on September 22, 2009, and
14 respectfully requests that this Court enter the attached version. Perfect 10 will
15 submit a comparison copy, showing the differences between the two versions of
16 the [PROPOSED] Orders, by email, along with a Word version of the
17 [PROPOSED] Order.

18
19 Dated: October 5, 2009

Respectfully submitted,
Law Offices of Jeffrey N. Mausner
Jeffrey N. Mausner

21 By: _____

22 Jeffrey N. Mausner
23 Attorney for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.