1				
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
3	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
4	WE	STERN DIVISION		
5		`		
6	PERFECT 10, INC.,)		
7	PLAINTIFF,			
8	VS.) CASE NO. CV 04-9484-AHM(SHX)		
9	GOOGLE, INC.,)) LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA		
10) SEPTEMBER 4, 2009) (3:15 P.M. TO 4:00 P.M.)		
11	DEFENDANT.)		
12	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE			
13	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN J. HILLMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE			
14				
15				
16				
17	APPEARANCES:	SEE NEXT PAGE		
18	COURT REPORTER:	RECORDED		
19	COURTROOM DEPUTY:	SANDRA L. BUTLER		
20	TRANSCRIBER:	DOROTHY BABYKIN		
21		COURTHOUSE SERVICES 1218 VALEBROOK PLACE		
22		GLENDORA, CALIFORNIA 91740 (626) 963-0566		
23				
24				
25	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY T	ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING; RANSCRIPTION SERVICE.		

2	

1	APPEARANCES: (CONTINUE FOR THE PLAINTIFF:)) LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER BY: JEFFREY N. MAUSNER VALERIE KINCAID	
2			
3		ATTORNEYS AT LAW 21800 OXNARD STREET	
4		SUITE 910 WOODLAND HILLS, CALIFORNIA 91367	
5			
6	FOR THE DEFENDANT:	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES	
7		BY: MICHAEL T. ZELLER ATTORNEY AT LAW	
8		865 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET 10TH FLOOR	
9		LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017	
10		QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES	
11		BY: RACHEL M. HERRICK KASSABIAN ATTORNEY AT LAW	
12		555 TWIN DOLPHIN SUITE 560	
13		REDWOOD SHORES, CALIFORNIA 04065	
14		TOWNSEND TOWNSEND & CREW BY: TIMOTHY CAHN	
15		ATTORNEY AT LAW TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER	
16		8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111	
17	ALSO PRESENT:		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	INDE X Case no. cv 04-9484-ahm(shx)	SEPTEMBER 4, 2009
2	PROCEEDINGS: TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE	
3	PROCEEDINGS. TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 4, 2009 1 2 THE CLERK: TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE IN THE CHAMBERS OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE STEPHEN J. HILLMAN REGARDING CASE NUMBER 3 CV 04-9484-AHM(SH). THE TITLE OF THE CASE IS PERFECT 10 4 5 VERSUS GOOGLE. 6 COUNSEL, PLEASE ENTER YOUR APPEARANCE. 7 THE COURT: JUDGE HILLMAN AT LAST. 8 HI, EVERYONE. 9 OKAY. WHY DON'T EVERYONE MAKE THEIR APPEARANCES. 10 I AM RECORDING THIS. MR. MAUSNER: THIS IS JEFF MAUSNER FOR PERFECT 10. 11 12 ALSO ON THE LINE FOR PERFECT 10 ARE VALERIE KINCAID AND DR. 13 ZADA. 14 THE COURT: WE CAN BARELY HEAR YOU, BUT --MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. 15 THE COURT: I KNOW WHO YOU ARE, BUT YOU'RE GOING TO 16 17 HAVE TO SPEAK UP. 18 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. THIS IS JEFF MAUSNER --19 THE COURT: OKAY. GREAT, GREAT. 20 MR. MAUSNER: -- FOR PERFECT 10. 21 IS THAT BETTER? 22 THE COURT: MUCH BETTER. 23 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. ALSO ON THE LINE ARE VALERIE 24 KINCAID AND DR. ZADA. 25 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

1 MR. ZELLER: AND GOOD AFTERNOON. FOR GOOGLE YOU 2 HAVE MIKE ZELLER.

3 THE COURT: THANK YOU.

4 MS. HERRICK: AND RACHEL HERRICK KASSABIAN ON
5 BEHALF OF GOOGLE AS WELL.

6 MR. CAHN: GOOD AFTERNOON, JUDGE HILLMAN. THIS IS 7 TIM CAHN FOR AMAZON.COM, ALEXA, AND A9.COM.

8 THE COURT: OKAY. LET ME TAKE UP BRIEFLY AMAZON --9 AMAZON'S REQUEST TO ADD ON THEIR MOTION FOR THE HEARING DATE 10 OF THE 22ND.

11 I JUST RECEIVED THAT REQUEST, THE EX PARTE REQUEST 12 ABOUT 15 MINUTES AGO, AND I SEE THAT PERFECT 10 WILL OPPOSE 13 IT.

AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT -- WELL, LET ME SAY WITHOUT EVEN CONSIDERING IT, THAT IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO HAVE EVERYTHING HEARD AT THE SAME TIME AND ARGUED AT THE SAME TIME. BUT IF PERFECT 10 WANTS TO FILE AN OPPOSITION TO THAT, I'LL GIVE THEM UNTIL NEXT -- HOW ABOUT WEDNESDAY, THE 9TH?

20 MR. MAUSNER: ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, MAYBE WE CAN 21 DISCUSS IT NOW. YOU KNOW, IF WHAT THEY'RE PROPOSING IS THAT 22 THEY JUST, YOU KNOW, CAN SAY THAT THEY AGREE WITH GOOGLE'S 23 MOTION AND HELP GOOGLE ARGUE IT, WE WOULDN'T OPPOSE THAT. 24 BUT IF WHAT THEY'RE TRYING TO DO IS GET A MOTION

REGARDING THEIR DISCOVERY ON CALENDAR BY THE 22ND, YOU KNOW,

25

1 WE HAVEN'T MET AND CONFERRED ABOUT IT.

2 THE COURT: WAIT, NO. IT'S THE MOTION THAT'S BEEN 3 PENDING FOR --

4 MR. MAUSNER: NO, NO. THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT --5 IT'S NOT ACTUALLY. THEY'RE --

6 THE COURT: OKAY. WAIT A SECOND.

7 MR. CAHN: CAN I INTERRUPT FOR A SECOND. MAYBE I 8 CAN CLARIFY SOMETHING.

9 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. GO AHEAD.

10 MR. CAHN: THERE ARE TWO REQUESTS, YOUR HONOR.

11 THE COURT: YES.

12 MR. CAHN: THERE IS A MOTION FILED BY A-9.COM --

13 THE COURT: RIGHT.

14 MR. CAHN: -- THAT COVERS A LOT -- SOME OF THE SAME
15 GROUNDS THE GOOGLE MOTION COVERS.

16 THE COURT: JUNE OF '08.

17 MR. CAHN: YES, EXACTLY.

18 THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. CAHN: AND IT'S THAT MOTION THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HEARD.

IN ADDITION, THERE ARE -- THERE ARE PENDING
DISCOVERY DISPUTES THAT TRACK ISSUES THAT ARE ALREADY PENDING
BEFORE THE COURT ON GOOGLE'S MOTION TO COMPEL AND A9'S MOTION
TO COMPEL. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE'S AN ISSUE ABOUT WHETHER OR
NOT PERFECT 10 MUST UNREDACT CERTAIN FINANCIAL DOCUMENTS.

1 THE COURT: OKAY.

2 MR. CAHN: THAT ISSUE IS BEFORE YOUR HONOR --

3 THE COURT: YES.

4 MR. CAHN: -- IN THE GOOGLE MOTION.

5 THE COURT: YES.

6 MR. CAHN: ALEXA AND AMAZON HAVE THE SAME DISCOVERY 7 DISPUTE WITH PERFECT 10, BUT WE HAVEN'T FILED A DUPLICATIVE 8 MOTION ON THAT.

9 THE COURT: OKAY.

10 MR. CAHN: SO, YOUR HONOR, YOUR RULING ON GOOGLE'S 11 MOTION IS GOING TO IMPACT DISCOVERY DISPUTES BETWEEN THE 12 OTHER DEFENDANTS.

13 THE COURT: WELL, YOU WANT TO --

14 MR. CAHN: SO, PART OF THE REQUEST WAS TO GIVE US 15 AN OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE AT THE HEARING TO THE EXTENT 16 YOUR HONOR'S RULINGS WILL DECIDE OTHER PENDING DISCOVERY 17 DISPUTES.

18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, THEN -- ALL RIGHT. I 19 UNDERSTAND.

20 MR. MAUSNER: CAN I -- CAN I RESPOND TO THAT, YOUR 21 HONOR.

22 THE COURT: YES.

23 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY'RE 24 SAYING WHATEVER GOOGLE REQUESTED, YOU KNOW, WE WOULD BE BOUND 25 BY THAT. BOTH SIDES WOULD BASICALLY BE BOUND BY THAT. 1 THAT'S FINE.

2 BUT IF THEY'RE TRYING TO BRING IN NEW THINGS THAT 3 AREN'T THE SAME AS WHAT'S IN THE GOOGLE ONE, I DON'T THINK 4 THAT THAT PROCEDURE WOULD BE WORKABLE.

5 THE COURT: NO, AND IT'S NOT GOING TO BE ALLOWED. 6 SO, THE QUESTION IS WILL YOU AT LEAST CONSIDER NOT 7 OPPOSING PUTTING ON THE 2008 MOTION ON THE SAME DAY AS THE GOOGLE MOTIONS. AND WILL YOU CONSIDER ALLOWING AMAZON TO 8 9 PARTICIPATE IN THE ARGUMENT OF THE GOOGLE MOTION -- THE THIRD 10 GOOGLE -- WHAT I SAY IS THE THIRD GOOGLE MOTION AS WRITTEN? 11 MR. MAUSNER: AS TO THE LATTER QUESTION, IF ALL 12 THEY'RE DOING IS BASICALLY SAYING, WE AGREE WITH GOOGLE, 13 THAT, YOU KNOW, HERE'S ANOTHER ARGUMENT IN CONNECTION WITH 14 GOOGLE'S REQUEST, WE WOULD NOT OPPOSE THAT.

NOW, AS FAR AS THEIR A9 MOTION, A9 -- YOU KNOW,
THERE WAS A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION, WHICH A9 WON, AND
KNOCKED THEM OUT OF THE CASE.

AND AS YOU MIGHT RECALL, YOUR HONOR, THEY TOOK THE POSITION THAT WE COULD NOT TAKE DISCOVERY FROM A9. NOW THEY WANT A9'S DISCOVERY MOTION DECIDED EVEN THOUGH IT WAS THEIR POSITION BEFORE THAT A9 WAS NOT IN THE CASE.

NOW, SO THAT -- YOU KNOW, WE DON'T THINK THAT'S
PROPER, AND WE WOULD OPPOSE THAT.

24 THE COURT: OKAY. SO, REMIND ME. JUDGE MATZ25 GRANTED A9'S MOTION.

2 THE COURT: AND THEN THERE WAS THE SEPARATE
3 SKIRMISH OVER THE DEPOSITION OF THE GUY IN SEATTLE.
4 MR. MAUSNER: RIGHT. MR. LEBLANG.
5 THE COURT: OKAY. WHICH YOU'VE REPRESENTED WAS
6 RELEVANT TO THE OTHER REMAINING AMAZON DEFENDANTS. SO, I
7 ALLOWED THAT.
8 MR. MAUSNER: RIGHT. IT'S RELEVANT REALLY TO BO

MR. MAUSNER: RIGHT.

8 MR. MAUSNER: RIGHT. IT'S RELEVANT REALLY TO BOTH 9 THINGS.

10 THE COURT: OKAY.

1

SO, NOW LET ME HEAR FROM AMAZON AS TO WHY THIS ISAN A9 MOTION, WHY IT SHOULD GO FORWARD.

13 MR. CAHN: RIGHT. BECAUSE THE -- IT'S SORT OF A 14 MIRROR OF WHAT MR. MAUSNER JUST SAID. THE ISSUES THAT ARE 15 FRONT AND CENTER BEFORE YOUR HONOR IN THE A9 MOTION ARE STILL 16 RELEVANT TO THE REMAINING AMAZON DEFENDANTS.

ALL OF THE DISCOVERY REQUESTS ARE THE SAME. THE
DISPUTES ARE THE SAME. THAT THE MOTION WAS BROUGHT IN
A9.COM'S NAME ONLY. YOU KNOW, THIS IS CONSOLIDATED
DISCOVERY.

21 SO, THE ISSUES ARE STILL ALIVE AND RELEVANT FOR THE 22 REMAINING DEFENDANTS.

23 MR. MAUSNER: WELL, FIRST OF ALL, I DON'T THINK 24 THAT THAT'S TRUE. OTHER THAN THE STUFF THAT'S GOING TO BE 25 DECIDED IN THE GOOGLE MOTION, I'M NOT SURE WHAT THERE IS 1 THAT'S ADDITIONAL TO THAT THAT WAS IN THE A9 MOTION.

2 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. ALL RIGHT. LISTEN, I DON'T 3 WANT TO TAKE EVERYONE'S TIME TO HAVE THIS SIDE DEBATE. YOU 4 TWO CAN HAVE A CONFERENCE AFTER THIS, AFTER I GET OFF THE 5 PHONE, AND EITHER AGREE THAT THE A9 MOTION, NO MATTER HOW IT 6 IS NAMED, IS APPLICABLE AND SHOULD BE HEARD, OR I'LL GIVE 7 PERFECT 10 UNTIL THE 9TH TO FILE ITS OPPOSITION. AND THEN 8 I'LL DECIDE.

9 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY.

10 MR. CAHN: SOUNDS GOOD.

11 THE COURT: NOW, LET'S --

12 MR. MAUSNER: ACTUALLY, YOUR HONOR, CAN WE HAVE 13 UNTIL THE 10TH? THERE'S SOME OTHER THINGS THAT ARE COMING UP 14 INCLUDING A DEPOSITION ON THE 9TH.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. NOW, LET ME GIVE THE 15 16 BIRD'S-EYE VIEW ON AT LEAST THE GOOGLE MOTIONS -- NOT 17 RULINGS, BUT. I WANT TO SAY THAT I WANT THE HEARING TO BE 18 HELPFUL AND NOT STRIDENT AND WELL WITHIN THE PARAMETERS THAT 19 JUDGE MATZ HAS SAID IN TERMS OF GOING FORWARD IN THIS CASE. 20 AND ALTHOUGH HE HAS NOT FORMALLY INDICATED THAT THE APPROACH 21 IN MICROSOFT SHOULD BE REPLICATED, THAT IS CLEARLY MY 22 UNDERSTANDING.

FROM MY DISCUSSIONS WITH JUDGE MATZ IS THAT HE BELIEVES THAT THE SAMPLING APPROACH THAT HE CREATED AND ENFORCED IN THE MICROSOFT CASE -- AND I'M NOT ASSUMING THAT 1 GOOGLE IS AT ALL AWARE OF THE DETAILS OF THAT. I'M NOT 2 EXPECTING THEM TO BE UP ON THAT -- BUT THAT THE PROTOCOL THAT 3 WAS FOLLOWED IN MICROSOFT CLEARLY IN JUDGE MATZ'S MIND AND IN 4 MY MIND WAS A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR TO SETTLEMENT IN THAT CASE.

5 SO, I DO NOT WANT TO HAVE ORAL ARGUMENT THAT 6 FOLLOWS THE POSITION THAT GOOGLE HAS PUT FORTH IN ALL OF 7 THESE THREE MOTIONS.

8 ANYTHING I DO, OBVIOUSLY -- ANYTHING I STRONG-ARM 9 GOOGLE INTO DOING AT THIS HEARING WILL BE SUBJECT TO 10 RECONSIDERATION BY JUDGE MATZ IF GOOGLE WANTS ME TO OR IF 11 PERFECT 10 WANTS ME TO.

12 BUT I WANT EVERYONE TO KNOW THAT I AM ENTERING AND 13 AM PREPARING FOR THESE HEARINGS WITH THE GOAL OF MOVING THE 14 CASE FORWARD TOWARDS READYING THEM FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND 15 SETTLEMENT ALONG THE LINES OF WHAT WAS USED, I THINK 16 SUCCESSFULLY, IN MICROSOFT AND IN USING A SAMPLING PROCEDURE. AND THAT MIGHT MEAN THAT ALL OF THESE MOTIONS NEED 17 18 TO BE PUT OFF ANOTHER FEW WEEKS TO GIVE EVERYONE TIME TO 19 REALLY AGREE ON A PROTOCOL OR, AT LEAST, NARROW THEIR 20 DIFFERENCES AS TO THE SAMPLING METHODS TO BE USED, THE AMOUNT OF DOCUMENTS, WHAT IS A PROPER SAMPLE, WHAT GOES INTO THE 21 22 SPREADSHEET, WHICH WORKS ARE WE TALKING ABOUT. AND THERE ARE 23 A LOT MORE WORKS AND ALLEGED INFRINGEMENTS HERE THAN IN 2.4 MICROSOFT.

25 SO, I'M WILLING TO CONTINUE ALL OF THESE HEARINGS A

REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME IF THAT MEANS -- YOU KNOW, WE CAN
 -- EITHER YOU COULD AGREE ON A SAMPLING APPROACH OR AGREE TO
 DISAGREE IN NARROW AREAS.

AND LET ME ALSO SAY THAT I DON'T KNOW IF PEOPLE 4 5 WERE PLANNING ON FILING SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDA -- WHICH I 6 SUPPOSE WOULD BE DUE NEXT MONDAY, THE 8TH, WHICH IS WHY I 7 WANTED TO HAVE THIS CONFERENCE CALL TODAY. BECAUSE I DON'T WANT SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDA FILED ON TUESDAY THAT DO NOT 8 9 CONSIDER WHAT I'VE JUST SAID. I DON'T WANT JUST MORE 10 BRIEFING ON THE SAME MOTION -- THE SAME VERSION OF THE 11 MOTIONS THAT I HAVE.

12 IF I WANT -- IF I'M GOING TO GET SUPPLEMENTAL 13 BRIEFING, I WANT IT TARGETED TO THE SAMPLING APPROACH. AND 14 I'D BE WILLING TO WAIT UNTIL, LET'S SAY, THE 15TH TO GET 15 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING.

16 SO, THAT'S MY THOUGHT GENERALLY AS TO THESE THREE 17 MOTIONS. I WILL CARVE OUT ONE EXCEPTION IN THE DIRECTION I'M 18 GOING. AND THAT IS ON THE RFAS BECAUSE I DO THINK THAT A LOT 19 OF THESE RFAS SHOULD BE ANSWERED. I THINK A LOT OF THEM HAVE 20 BEEN ANSWERED. BUT I THINK A LOT MORE SHOULD BE ANSWERED.

21 RFAS I BELIEVE CAN CALL FOR LEGAL CONCLUSIONS.
22 THERE'S CASE LAW SUPPORTING THAT. I THINK SOME OF THEM ON
23 THE OTHER HAND ARE SORT OF MEGA RFAS, AND I WOULDN'T BE
24 EXPECTING TO GRANT THOSE. SOME OF THEM MAY BE TOO
25 BURDENSOME. SOME OF THEM MAY BE AMBIGUOUS. SOME OF THEM MAY

1 BE ARGUMENTATIVE.

AND I HAVEN'T GONE THROUGH THEM ALL, BUT I DO EXPECT THAT I WOULD BE ORDERING -- GRANTING A LOT OF THE RFAS. BUT ALSO KEEPING AN EYE ON THE OVERALL GOAL, WHAT I SAID EARLIER, IN NOT MAKING PERFECT 10 DO BUSY WORK THAT ISN'T TARGETED TOWARDS PARTIAL OR FULL SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS AND SETTLEMENT.

8 SO, THAT'S PROBABLY ALL I REALLY WANT TO SAY TODAY. 9 I HAVE SPENT A NUMBER OF HOURS THIS WEEK JUST REFRESHING 10 MYSELF -- THAT'S A MISNOMER IN THESE CASES -- BUT EXHAUSTING 11 MYSELF. AND I'LL CONTINUE TO DO SO, BUT IF PEOPLE WANT TO 12 RESPOND BRIEFLY -- I DON'T WANT ARGUMENT. BUT IF YOU WANT 13 CLARIFICATION, TO THE EXTENT THAT I CAN GIVE CLARIFICATION, I 14 WILL.

15 IF YOU WANT TO DISCUSS THIS NOT IN MY PRESENCE FOR 16 A FEW MINUTES, I CAN GO OFF LINE, AND YOU CAN MAYBE DECIDE 17 THAT JOINTLY YOU'D ALL LIKE TO PUT THESE OVER TILL OCTOBER SO 18 THAT YOU CAN IN GOOD FAITH -- UNDERLINE "IN GOOD FAITH" --19 YOU KNOW, PROPOSE A PROCEDURE ALONG THE LINES FOLLOWED IN 20 MICROSOFT AND MAYBE LEAVE A FEW BELLS AND WHISTLES FOR ME TO 21 DECIDE, THAT'S FINE WITH ME.

22 THIS CASE IS REALLY NOT ON MY FRONT BURNER, BUT I
23 DO FEEL AN OBLIGATION TO PROCEED BECAUSE THE STAY HAS BEEN
24 LIFTED.

25 DR. ZADA: YOUR HONOR, COULD I ASK A QUESTION?

1 THE COURT: WHO'S THIS?

2 DR. ZADA: IT'S NORM ZADA.

3 THE COURT: HI.

4 DR. ZADA: WOULD YOU LIKE TO SEE BASICALLY AS A 5 SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF A SUGGESTION FOR SAMPLING?

6 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT I WOULD LOVE TO SEE IS A 7 JOINT SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF SAYING, YOU KNOW, THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO APPEAL -- OR RECONSIDERATION BY 8 9 JUDGE MATZ, THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED TO, YES, A, B, AND C IN 10 TERMS OF SAMPLING. BUT WE HAVE LEGITIMATE DIFFERENCES IN 11 CERTAIN AREAS, AND WE'D LIKE TO NARROW THESE DISPUTES TO 12 THAT. THAT WOULD BE EXTREMELY HELPFUL. AND, LIKEWISE, AN 13 AGREEMENT AS TO THE RFAS.

14 I DON'T MEAN -- WELL, LET ME HEAR JUST -- LET ME 15 HEAR GOOGLE'S SORT OF CANDID RESPONSE.

16 MR. MAUSNER: YOUR HONOR, MAY I SAY SOMETHING.
17 THIS IS JEFF MAUSNER.

18 ARE YOU AWARE OF THE COURT'S ORDER IN WHICH THE 19 COURT STATES THAT IT EXPECTS TO RULE ON THE PENDING SUMMARY 20 JUDGMENT MOTIONS BY LATE SUMMER?

21 THE COURT: THAT'S IN AMAZON.

22 MR. MAUSNER: CORRECT.

23 THE COURT: YES.

24 MR. MAUSNER: CORRECT.

25 THE COURT: YES, THERE'S NOTHING -- THERE'S NO

1 PENDING MOTIONS IN GOOGLE.

MR. MAUSNER: YES, THERE ARE. THERE ARE ACTUALLY 2 3 FOUR PENDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS IN GOOGLE, WHICH I 4 EXPECT THE COURT WOULD RULE ON AFTER THE AMAZON MOTION. 5 THE COURT: I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW THAT. 6 MR. MAUSNER: YES. 7 THE COURT: AND ARE THEY DMCA MOTIONS OR WHAT? 8 MR. MAUSNER: THREE OF THEM ARE DMCA MOTIONS, AND ONE OF THEM IS COMBINED CONTRIBUTORY INFRINGEMENT AND DMCA. 9 10 DR. ZADA: WELL, WHAT HE IS POINTING OUT, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT WE ACTUALLY FILED A MOTION FOR SUMMARY 11 12 JUDGMENT AGAINST GOOGLE, AND WE USED 12 SAMPLE IMAGES IN THAT 13 MOTION. 14 THE COURT: UH-HUH. 15 DR. ZADA: AND WITH 12 SAMPLE IMAGES WE FELT WE 16 COVERED ALL THE BASES. 17 THE COURT: UH-HUH. OKAY. I DID NOT KNOW THIS. 18 AND I WISH I HAD KNOWN THIS A FEW DAYS AGO. AND IT'S, YOU 19 KNOW, MY FAULT FOR NOT KEEPING UP WITH THE DOCKET. 20 DR. ZADA: SO, OUR POINT, YOUR HONOR, IS THAT WE 21 BELIEVED THAT WHEN WE DID OUR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 22 AGAINST GOOGLE, IT'S PRETTY MUCH ALL THAT NEEDED TO BE DONE. 23 AND FORGIVE ME FOR ADDING SOMETHING HERE. I HAVE 24 DONE A CALCULATION AS TO THE NUMBER OF PAGES IT WOULD TAKE TO 25 ANSWER GOOGLE'S INTERROGATORIES 3 AND 11, AND THE ANSWER WAS

30 MILLION PAGES. THAT'S HOW MANY IT WOULD TAKE TO PRINT
 OUT.

3 MY POINT OUT IS THAT THEY'RE VERY FAR OFF IN MY 4 MIND AS TO WHAT IS DOABLE. AND I'M VERY MUCH IN FAVOR OF THE 5 SAMPLING ISSUE, BUT THEIR MOTION IS NOT REALLY A SAMPLING 6 MOTION. THEY'RE JUST --

7 THE COURT: WELL, LET ME ASK --

8 MR. MAUSNER: AND, YOUR HONOR, THE THREE OTHER 9 MOTIONS WERE FILED BY GOOGLE, AND THEY WERE ABLE TO FILE 10 THOSE MOTIONS WITHOUT ANY OF THE RELIEF THAT THEY'RE SEEKING 11 IN THESE MOTIONS -- IN THE DISCOVERY MOTIONS.

12 THE COURT: SAY THAT AGAIN -- OH, I SEE WHAT YOU'RE 13 SEEING. YES, RIGHT.

14 DR. ZADA: THEY HAD NO SAMPLING ISSUES. THIS WAS15 THEIR MOTION, YOUR HONOR.

16 THE COURT: SO, THEN THE QUESTION IS, DOES EVERYONE 17 WANT ME TO JUST SHOVE THESE ASIDE UNTIL ALL THOSE MOTIONS ARE 18 RULED ON BY JUDGE MATZ.

19 DR. ZADA: WE THINK THAT WOULD MAKE A LOT OF SENSE, 20 YOUR HONOR. BECAUSE UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE KNOW WHAT THE 21 DEFENDANTS WILL BE HELD LIABLE FOR, IF ANYTHING, YOU KNOW, 22 FOR US TO HAVE TO GO THROUGH AND DO A MASSIVE AMOUNT OF WORK 23 ON THINGS THAT WE MAY NOT BE AWARDED DAMAGES ON SEEMS 24 PREMATURE.

25 THE COURT: WHEN DID HE TAKE THESE UNDER

1 SUBMISSION?

2 MR. MAUSNER: THE AMAZON ONES WERE --THE COURT: ABOUT A MONTH AGO. 3 4 MR. MAUSNER: OURS WAS FILED LAST YEAR. AND THEN 5 THEIRS WAS FILED --6 DR. ZADA: DECEMBER, I THINK. 7 MR. MAUSNER: YES. THEIRS I THINK WAS FILED --8 MR. CAHN: NOVEMBER. 9 MR. MAUSNER: OH, OKAY. AND THEN WHEN WAS IT FULLY 10 BRIEFED? ABOUT --DR. ZADA: JUNE? I THOUGHT IT WAS BEFORE THAT. 11 12 MR. CAHN: THAT'S ONE ISSUE, YOUR HONOR -- THIS IS 13 TIM CAHN FOR AMAZON. WE'RE ALL AWAITING JUDGE MATZ'S RULING 14 ON THE PENDING SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION BETWEEN ALEXA AND 15 PERFECT 10. 16 BUT IN ADDITION TO THAT JUDGE MATZ HAS ISSUED A 17 DEADLINE OF OCTOBER 5 FOR ANY AMAZON SUMMARY JUDGMENT 18 MOTIONS. SO, THAT'S THE ONE SORT OF HITCH I COULD SEE 19 IN JUST PUTTING EVERYTHING OFF BECAUSE THERE'S GOING TO BE --20 THERE ARE ISSUES, DISCOVERY ISSUES THAT WE'RE HOPING WOULD 21 GET DECIDED THIS MONTH TO CLEAR THE WAY FOR US TO MOVE ON ALL 22 THE GROUNDS THAT WE INTENDED TO MOVE BY. 23 THE COURT: MAYBE HE'D BE WILLING TO -- TO PUSH 24 THAT DATE BACK. 25 MR. CAHN: YEAH, THAT WOULD BE A RESOLUTION THAT WE

1 WOULD SUPPORT.

2 THE COURT: YOU'LL HAVE TO -- YOU'LL HAVE TO 3 APPROACH HIM WITH THAT. 4 MR. CAHN: YES.

5 THE COURT: BUT LET ME HEAR FROM GOOGLE. I MISSED 6 -- SOME OF IT I MISSED. WHEN DID THE GOOGLE MOTIONS GO 7 UNDER SUBMISSION?

8 MR. ZELLER: YES. IT'S MIKE ZELLER FOR GOOGLE,
9 YOUR HONOR.

THEY ARE NOT UNDER SUBMISSION YET TECHNICALLY.
 REPLY BRIEFS ON THE PENDING MOTIONS ARE DUE ON TUESDAY.

12 THE COURT: AND WHEN IS -- IS HE TAKING THEM UNDER 13 SUBMISSION OR --

14 MR. MAUSNER: HE ACTUALLY -- HE HAS ISSUED AN ORDER
15 TAKING THEM UNDER SUBMISSION.

16 THE COURT: HE DOESN'T WANT TO SEE YOU?

17 MR. MAUSNER: AND REPLY BRIEFS ARE DUE ON TUESDAY.

18 THE COURT: AHH. OKAY.

MR. MAUSNER: BUT HE DID ACTUALLY ISSUE AN ORDERTAKING THEM UNDER SUBMISSION.

21 THE COURT: OKAY.

22 MR. ZELLER: AND THE BRIEFING IS NOT YET COMPLETED. 23 AND I'D APPRECIATE IT IF I CAN AT LEAST FINISH MY 24 SENTENCES WITHOUT BEING INTERRUPTED BY MR. MAUSNER.

25 TO US ONE MAJOR ISSUE ON ALL THIS -- AND THIS IS

TRUE WITH RESPECT TO THE SAMPLING AS WELL AS THE PENDING
 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS, WHICH ARE ONLY UNDER THE DMCA -- IS
 THAT WE HAVE BEEN SEEKING FINANCIAL INFORMATION. THAT
 INFORMATION IS PERTINENT, AND WILL CONTINUE TO BE PERTINENT,
 REGARDLESS OF THE RESOLUTION OF THE DMCA MOTIONS.

6 AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE HAVE BEEN TAKING 7 DEPOSITIONS. I ALSO KNOW THAT THERE HAVE BEEN EFFORTS TO 8 HAVE MR. ZADA'S DEPOSITION SCHEDULED.

9 THAT INFORMATION -- BECAUSE THERE ARE CLAIMS 10 AGAINST GOOGLE THAT HAVE BEEN BEYOND COPYRIGHT. THERE ARE 11 LANHAM ACT CLAIMS. THERE ARE STATE LAW CLAIMS. AND THESE 12 ARE JUST SIMPLY NOT EVEN ON THE TABLE WITH RESPECT TO THE 13 DMCA MOTION.

14SO, ONE ISSUE I WANTED TO ASK YOU ABOUT, JUDGE, IN15TERMS OF THE SAMPLING PROCEDURES, IN TERMS OF THE

16 CLARIFICATION --

17 THE COURT: YES.

18 MR. ZELLER: -- IS WOULD IT BE YOUR EXPECTATION THAT 19 THE SAMPLING REGIME, WE WILL CALL IT -- WHICH I'M SURE YOU'RE 20 ALSO AWARE GOOGLE HAS NOT CONSENTED TO --

21 THE COURT: WELL, I --

22 MR. ZELLER: -- IF THAT IS WHAT IS IMPOSED, WOULD 23 THAT TAKE THEN FINANCIALS COMPLETELY OFF THE TABLE AND NO 24 DISCOVERY WOULD BE HAD ON THOSE?

25 THE COURT: NO. NO, BUT MAYBE -- I THINK -- I'M

NOT SURE HOW MUCH DAMAGES DISCOVERY IN FINANCIALS IS GOING TO
 DRIVE THE CASE TOWARD SETTLEMENT. BUT --

3 DR. ZADA: YOUR HONOR, WE HAVE ALREADY PRODUCED TAX 4 RETURNS AND EVERY IMAGINABLE FINANCIAL DOCUMENT WE HAVE. WE 5 HAVE REDACTED SMALL AMOUNTS OF THEM THAT ARE RELATED TO 6 CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENTS. WE HAVE PRODUCED EVERYTHING WE 7 POSSIBLY COULD IN THE WAY OF FINANCIALS.

8 MR. MAUSNER: THE FINANCIALS HAVE ALL BEEN 9 PRODUCED. I GUESS THEIR ISSUE IS THAT PARTS OF THEM WERE 10 REDACTED. THEY HAVE STUFF ABOUT CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENTS AND 11 OTHER --

12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S --

13 MR. MAUSNER: -- MEDICAL BILLS AND STUFF LIKE THAT,
14 PRIVATE STUFF.

15 THE COURT: LET'S FOR THE MOMENT TAKE THAT MOTION 16 AND PUT IT IN A SEPARATE PILE FROM THE OTHER TWO MOTIONS, THE 17 RFAS AND THE INTERROGATORIES.

18 IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT WE NEED TO KNOW WHETHER 19 JUDGE -- WELL, WHETHER BOTH SIDES ARE GOING TO APPROACH JUDGE 20 MATZ AND REQUEST A MOTION CUTOFF DATE EXTENSION FOR AMAZON --21 YOU KNOW, 60 DAYS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT, BEGINNING OF THE 22 YEAR, SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

AND I WOULD GUESS THAT IF BOTH SIDES EXPLAIN TO HIM
THAT THE REASON WOULD BE THAT THERE WILL BE THESE PENDING
MOTIONS THAT MAY OBVIATE THE NEED FOR THESE MOTIONS, HE MIGHT

1 BE AGREEABLE.

2 AND THEN WE COULD SEPARATELY PROCEED WITH THE 3 MOTION REGARDING THE FINANCIALS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS.

AND AS TO GOOGLE -- WELL, YOU KNOW, IT'S I GUESS 5 THE SAME THING.

6 MR. MAUSNER: BUT GOOGLE THERE IS NO DEADLINE 7 SETTING.

8 THE COURT: OH, THERE'S NO DEADLINE. OKAY.

9 MR. MAUSNER: NO DEADLINE.

10 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.

11 MR. MAUSNER: AND, YOUR HONOR, JUST SO YOU CAN FIND 12 IT EASILY THE ORDER IS DOCKET NUMBER 500. IT WAS ORDERED BY 13 JUDGE MATZ, FILED ON AUGUST 13, 2009. SAID, "ON THE COURT'S 14 OWN MOTION THE COURT HEREBY TAKES OFF CALENDAR AND UNDER 15 SUBMISSION DEFENDANT GOOGLE'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT." 16 AND THEN THERE'S THREE -- THE THREE GOOGLE MOTIONS ARE LISTED 17 THERE.

18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, I MEAN, I REALLY -- I 19 DON'T KNOW. MAYBE WE SHOULDN'T -- MAYBE I SHOULDN'T BE 20 CAUSING ALL THIS WORK AT THIS TIME.

21 DR. ZADA: YOUR HONOR, IF YOU DON'T MIND MY 22 INTERJECTING AGAIN. I THINK THERE'S -- WE CERTAINLY BELIEVE 23 THAT IT WOULD SAVE EVERYONE A LOT OF WORK IF THE BIG MOTIONS 24 ON THE INTERROGATORIES ARE PUT OFF UNTIL JUDGE MATZ RULES ON 25 AMAZON'S SUMMARY JUDGMENT -- EXCUSE ME, YOUR HONOR, OUR SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION AGAINST AMAZON. BECAUSE THAT WILL
 DETERMINE TO A LARGE EXTENT THE LIABILITY ALSO IN THE GOOGLE
 CASE.

AND DEPENDING ON WHAT THAT RULING IS, I THINK AT THAT POINT IT WOULD BE MUCH CLEARER WHAT EXACTLY WE NEED TO PROVIDE TO THEM IN THE WAY OF PROOF OF IMAGES, DAMAGES, AND COPYRIGHT OWNERSHIP.

8 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WHAT DID MR. ZELLER THINK 9 ABOUT THIS?

10 MR. ZELLER: WELL, CERTAINLY, FOR THE RECORD -- I 11 MEAN, IN TERMS OF THE CHARACTERIZATIONS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE 12 ABOUT THE FINANCIALS THAT HAVE BEEN PRODUCED, OUR MOTIONS AND 13 THE LIKE, WE DISAGREE WITH THAT. BUT THAT SEEMS TO BE A 14 LITTLE OFF POINT RIGHT HERE.

15 WHAT I WOULD SUGGEST, YOUR HONOR, IS SORT OF 16 TWOFOLD. NUMBER ONE -- I MEAN, CERTAINLY WE APPRECIATE YOUR 17 GUIDANCE IN TERMS OF THE SAMPLING OF PROCEDURE. AND CLEARLY 18 IF THAT'S GOING TO BE THE CONSTRUCT THAT IS APPLIED, THAT 19 WOULD CERTAINLY SUGGEST THAT A DIFFERENT APPROACH NEEDS TO BE 20 TAKEN WITH RESPECT TO SOME OF THE DISCOVERY THAT IS AT ISSUE.

21 I THINK IN FAIRNESS WHAT THAT WOULD REALLY MEAN IS 22 THAT WE SHOULD CONSULT WITH AMAZON AND RECONVENE AS TO OUR 23 SUGGESTED APPROACH ON THAT.

24 AND CERTAINLY PART OF THAT IS GOING TO BE DRIVEN BY 25 THE DEADLINE AND WHETHER THAT GETS MOVED AND, YOU KNOW, WHAT

1 IMPACT THAT HAS.

2 SO, THAT SEEMS TO BE SOMETHING THAT CAN SORT OF BE 3 TABLED FOR THE MOMENT. AND HOPEFULLY WE CAN COME UP WITH A 4 PROPOSAL. IF IT'S NOT A RESOLUTION ACROSS THE BOARD THAT 5 EVERYONE AGREES TO, AT LEAST WE CAN SORT OF SHARPEN UP OUR 6 PROPOSALS ABOUT HOW THAT OUGHT TO BE DONE.

THEN, WITH RESPECT TO THE OTHER BUCKETS OF
DISCOVERY; NAMELY, THE RFAS AS WELL AS THE FINANCIALS, I
MEAN, WE THINK THAT THAT IS APPROPRIATE FOR DISPOSITION.

AND THE REASON IS IS THAT WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO TAKE DISCOVERY. THE DMCA MOTIONS CERTAINLY NO ONE IS GOING TO ARGUE WOULDN'T HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE INFRINGEMENT REQUESTS. BUT THERE ARE MANY OTHER ISSUES IN THIS CASE THAT PERFECT 10 HAS GIVEN EVERY INDICATION THEY'RE NEVER GOING TO BE TAKEN OFF THE TABLE.

SO, WE ARE CONSISTENT WITH JUDGE MATZ'S LIFTING OF
DISCOVERY STAY ATTEMPTING TO TAKE DISCOVERY ON THOSE
SUBJECTS. BECAUSE THOSE CLAIMS, REGARDLESS OF THE
DISPOSITION OF THE DMCA MOTIONS, HAVE BEEN -- NO INDICATION
THAT THEY'RE GOING TO GO AWAY.

AND CERTAINLY WE, GOOGLE, DO NOT WANT TO BE PUT AT FAULT, YOU KNOW, ONCE THE DMCA MOTIONS ARE DISPOSED OF, FOR GOOD OR BAD FROM OUR PERSPECTIVE, BE PUT AT FAULT FOR NOT HAVING PURSUED DISCOVERY ON THESE OTHER CLAIMS.

25 I MEAN, I KNOW THAT JUDGE MATZ HAS IN OTHER

INSTANCES IN THESE -- WE'LL CALL THEM ROUGHLY CONSOLIDATED,
 OR EVEN IF THEY'RE NOT TECHNICALLY CONSOLIDATED -- THESE
 SIMILAR CASES HAS PUT EXTREMELY SHORT DEADLINES ON DISCOVERY
 AND THEN TO TRIAL ONCE THOSE MOTIONS HAVE BEEN RULED UPON.
 SO, WE ARE WE THINK ACTING ENTIRELY APPROPRIATELY

6 IN PURSUING THE DISCOVERY ON THOSE SIMILAR -- ON THOSE OTHER
7 CLAIMS THAT JUST UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES ARE GOING TO BE
8 MOOTED.

9 THE COURT: WELL -- OKAY. WHAT I COULD DO -- AND 10 NOW I'LL GET TO AMAZON IN A SECOND -- WHAT I COULD CONSIDER 11 DOING IS TABLING THE RFAS -- I MEAN, THE INTERROGATORY MOTION 12 AND THEN PROCEEDING WITH RFAS AND THE FINANCIAL, ET CETERA 13 MOTIONS. BUT THEN THAT LEAVES AMAZON TWISTING IN THE WIND. 14 (LAUGHTER.)

15 MR. ZELLER: THAT'S WHAT I WAS HOPING NOT TO. AND 16 I DIDN'T WANT TO LEAVE THE IMPRESSION THAT -- AND THAT'S WHY 17 I DO THINK IT MIGHT MAKE SOME SENSE TO CONSULT ON THAT.

18 THE COURT: YES. SO --

19 MR. ZELLER: AND CERTAINLY IF THE DEADLINE IS 20 MOVED, AND I CERTAINLY DON'T MEAN TO SPEAK FOR AMAZON ON 21 THIS, BUT IT WOULD AT LEAST SEEM TO DEAL WITH THE MOST 22 IMMEDIATE --

23 THE COURT: OKAY. HERE'S WHAT I SUGGEST. AND
24 MAYBE WE SHOULD HAVE ANOTHER CONFERENCE CALL EARLY NEXT WEEK.
25 THIS IS WHAT MAKES SENSE TO ME IS THAT IF PERFECT 10 AND

AMAZON -- IF PERFECT 10 AND AMAZON CAN AGREE TO PROPOSE --JOINTLY PROPOSE A STIPULATION TO JUDGE MATZ TO EXTEND THEIR DISPOSITIVE MOTION CUTOFF DATE, YOU KNOW, 60 TO 90 DAYS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND GET THAT BEFORE HIM QUICKLY, AND WE'LL SEE WHAT HE DOES WITH THAT, AND EXPLAIN THAT THE REASON -- EXPLAIN THE REASONS FOR THAT PROPOSED STIPULATION THEY RELATE TO THE PENDING MOTIONS.

THEN, IF JUDGE MATZ AGREED AND SIGNED OFF ON THAT 8 ORDER, THEN, WHAT I WOULD PROPOSE IS THAT I TAKE GOOGLE'S 9 10 INTERROGATORY MOTION OFF CALENDAR, AND THE RELATED AMAZON 11 MOTION OFF CALENDAR PENDING RESOLUTION OF THE SUMMARY 12 JUDGMENT MOTIONS, BUT THAT I KEEP ON -- FOR THE 22ND OF 13 SEPTEMBER KEEP ON THE OTHER TWO GOOGLE MOTIONS WITH A STRONG 14 HOPE THAT THEY WOULD BE RESOLVED GIVEN THE COMMENTS THAT I'VE 15 MADE EARLIER REGARDING THE PROPRIETY OF A LARGE PERCENTAGE OF 16 THE RFAS AND THE NEED FOR FINANCIAL INFORMATION.

AND I KNOW -- I'VE HEARD DR. ZADA THAT THEY'VE GIVEN ALL THEY HAVE, AND MAYBE THEY'LL PERSUADE GOOGLE OF THAT. BUT THAT WOULD AT LEAST SORT OF BIFURCATE OR

20 TRIFURCATE THE PENDING MOTIONS.

21 AND THEN -- HOW ABOUT THAT?

22 MR. CAHN: THAT SOUNDS LIKE A GOOD APPROACH FROM 23 AMAZON'S POINT OF VIEW. I GUESS ONE QUESTION I WOULD RAISE 24 WOULD BE CAN WE GET YOUR IMPRIMATUR ON THAT APPROACH SOMEHOW? 25 THE COURT: WELL, YOU CAN TELL JUDGE MATZ THAT, YOU KNOW, IT MIGHT -- THAT I THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO EXTEND THE
 AMAZON CUTOFF DATE A REASONABLE PERIOD OF TIME.
 MR. CAHN: OKAY.

4 THE COURT: AND HE CAN TAKE MY ADVICE OR LEAVE IT. 5 (LAUGHTER.) 6 THE COURT: BUT, I MEAN, MORE THAN MY --7 MR. CAHN: THAT'S THE BEST WE COULD DO. THE COURT: MORE THAN MY IMPRIMATUR IS THAT HE 8 SHOULD BE AWARE THAT WE'VE HAD THIS MUTUAL DISCUSSION. 9 MR. CAHN: RIGHT. 10 THE COURT: AND I WAS NOT AWARE THAT THERE WERE 11 THESE PENDING MOTIONS -- SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS, AND THAT 12 13 I'M COGNIZANT OF MY DUTY TO PROCEED WITH MOTIONS THAT ARE NOW

14 UNSTAYED, BUT THAT IT DOES SEEM TO MAKE SENSE TO CARVE OUT

15 THIS EXCEPTION.

16 SO, HOW DOES THAT SOUND?

17 MR. MAUSNER: YOUR HONOR?

18 THE COURT: YES?

MR. MAUSNER: WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO -- IF WE CAN
TALK ABOUT -- IF PERFECT 10 AND BOTH DEFENDANTS COULD TALK
ABOUT THINGS THAT ARE REDACTED IN THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS,
BUT IT MAY BE HELPFUL IF YOU COULD GIVE US SOME GUIDANCE ON
THAT.

24 ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE'VE REDACTED ARE 25 SETTLEMENT AMOUNTS AND WHO WE SETTLED WITH IN OTHER

1 LAWSUITS.

AND THAT ISSUE HAD BEEN DECIDED BY YOUR HONOR IN ANOTHER CASE, WHICH IS THAT THAT INFORMATION DID NOT HAVE TO BE REVEALED UNTIL IT WAS IN A CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. BUT AS TO --

6 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE YOUR WORD FOR IT. I HAVE NO 7 IDEA.

8 MR. MAUSNER: WHAT?

9 THE COURT: I'LL TAKE YOUR WORD FOR IT. I HAVE NO 10 IDEA WHAT I --

11 MR. MAUSNER: AND THE ARGUMENT THAT WE MADE WAS IF 12 CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS HAD TO BE DISCLOSED, IT 13 WOULD DISCOURAGE SETTLEMENT BECAUSE THE PARTIES RELY ON THE 14 CONFIDENTIALITY.

15 SO, THAT'S ONE OF THE ISSUES WOULD BE -- WE'VE 16 TALKED TO THEM ABOUT THAT, AND WE'VE STATED WHAT OUR POSITION 17 IS. THEIR POSITION IS THEY WANT TO GET IT. SO, WE'RE AT 18 JUGGERNAUTS ON THAT.

19 THE COURT: HOLD ON ONE SECOND, PLEASE.

20 (PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

21 THE COURT: OKAY. I'M BACK.

22 ALL RIGHT. I'M SORRY. I GOT DISTRACTED.

23 RUN THAT ISSUE BY ME AGAIN QUICKLY, MR. MAUSNER.

24 MR. MAUSNER: THE ISSUE IS IN THE FINANCIAL

25 STATEMENTS.

1

THE COURT: YES.

2 MR. MAUSNER: WE'VE GIVEN THEM THE FINANCIAL 3 STATEMENTS, BUT WE'VE REDACTED OUT THE AMOUNT OF SETTLEMENTS 4 IN OTHER CASES AND THE NAME OF THE PARTIES THAT WE SETTLED 5 WITH.

6 THE COURT: UH-HUH.

7 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. AND IT'S OUR POSITION THAT 8 THEY SHOULD NOT GET THIS.

9 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT ATTORNEY'S-EYES-ONLY?

10 MR. MAUSNER: I'M SORRY?

11 THE COURT: HOW ABOUT ATTORNEY'S-EYES-ONLY?

12 MR. MAUSNER: WELL, IT'S -- WE HAVE ACTUALLY GONE 13 TO SOME OF THE PARTIES THAT WE SETTLED WITH AND ASKED THEM IF 14 THEY WOULD CONSENT TO IT, AND THEY SAID NO.

AND I THINK PERFECT 10 ALSO WOULD NOT WANT THIS INFORMATION REVEALED, BUT CERTAINLY THE OTHER SIDE DOESN'T WANT IT REVEALED.

YOU KNOW, WE'VE ARGUED THAT AS A MATTER OF PUBLIC
POLICY, CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENTS SHOULD NOT BE REVEALED
BECAUSE THAT WOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO SETTLE CASES -THE COURT: RIGHT, RIGHT.

22 MR. MAUSNER: -- IF PARTIES THOUGHT THAT EVEN A 23 CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT COULD BE DISCLOSED TO 24 SOMEBODY ELSE.

25 SO, YOU KNOW, THERE REALLY ISN'T -- I DON'T THINK

THERE'S ANYTHING MORE TO DISCUSS ON THAT --1 2 THE COURT: I JUST DON'T KNOW HOW USEFUL THAT INFORMATION IS ANYWAY TO MOVING THIS CASE FORWARD. SO THAT'S 3 4 ALL I'LL SAY AT THIS POINT. 5 AND YOU SAY THAT IN ANOTHER CASE I DECLINED TO 6 ORDER IT? 7 MR. MAUSNER: YES. THE COURT: WHICH ONE WAS THAT? 8 9 MR. MAUSNER: I CAN'T REMEMBER WHICH ONE IT IS NOW. 10 BUT IT'S PROBABLY IN OUR --11 THE COURT: WAS IT IN THIS CENTURY OR LAST CENTURY? 12 (LAUGHTER.) 13 MR. MAUSNER: I THINK IT WAS LAST -- WELL, WAIT A 14 MINUTE. IT'S 2009. IT WOULD HAVE BEEN IN THIS CENTURY. 15 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. OKAY. SO, I GUESS -- SO, THAT'S THE ASSUMPTION I'M 16 17 WORKING ON FOR THE MOMENT. AND THE ASSUMPTION IS THAT THERE 18 WILL BE A STIPULATION -- A PROPOSED STIPULATION ON THE AMAZON 19 CUTOFF DATE PROPOSED TO JUDGE MATZ. AND WE'LL SEE WHAT HE 20 DOES WITH THAT. AND THAT SHOULD BE DONE TUESDAY --21 DR. ZADA: CAN I SAY ONE THING, YOUR HONOR. I 22 DON'T THINK THAT THE MOTION THAT AMAZON IS CONTEMPLATING 23 FILING, WHICH MY UNDERSTANDING WAS FOR DAMAGES, DOESN'T HAVE 24 ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE INTERROGATORIES. I THINK IT HAS TO 25 DO WITH FINANCIALS.

1 AND SO, IF WE RESOLVE THE FINANCIAL ISSUE, I'M NOT 2 ABSOLUTELY SURE THAT THERE'S ANY REASON TO POSTPONE THE 3 DEADLINE.

4 THE COURT: OKAY.

5 MR. CAHN: AND I'M SURE, THOUGH WELL INTENTIONED, 6 DR. ZADA IS NOT PRIVY TO ALL THE GROUNDS OF AMAZON'S INTENDED 7 SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION. BUT ONE OF THEM -- ONE OF THE 8 GROUNDS WOULD BE TO CHALLENGE THAT THERE ARE ANY, ANY SHOWING 9 OF ACTUAL DAMAGES IN THIS CASE, WHICH IS WHY THE FINANCIAL 10 INFORMATION IF WE DO IT ON THE OCTOBER 5TH DEADLINE IS 11 IMPORTANT.

12 THE COURT: WELL, WAIT A SECOND. I THOUGHT -- WHEN 13 I GLANCED AT THE AMAZON MOTION EX PARTE APPLICATION, THE 14 CALENDARED MOTION, MY QUICK LOOKING THROUGH IT LED ME TO 15 THINK THAT IT WAS VERY MUCH RELATED TO GOOGLE'S INTERROGATORY 16 MOTION.

17 MR. CAHN: THAT'S CORRECT.

18 THE COURT: OKAY.

MR. CAHN: THE FINANCIAL DISCOVERY IS TEE'D UP IN THE OTHER GOOGLE MOTION.

21 THE COURT: OKAY.

22 DR. ZADA: YOUR HONOR, LET ME MAKE SURE YOU 23 UNDERSTAND WHAT HAPPENED. WE FILED A SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION 24 AGAINST AMAZON IN I THINK OCTOBER OF '08, ALMOST A YEAR AGO. 25 WE HAD I THINK 500 SAMPLE ISSUES -- IMAGES APPROXIMATELY IN THAT MOTION. ALL THE COPYRIGHT MATERIALS, THE REGISTRATIONS,
 THE DEPOSIT MATERIALS WAS INCLUDED. SO, WE HAD A FAIRLY
 LARGE SAMPLING IN THAT MOTION.

I THINK WHAT AMAZON IS ASKING ABOUT IS TO FILE --AND THEY FILED SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTIONS AGAINST US. THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT SOME KIND OF A DAMAGES MOTION THAT I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE GROUNDS WOULD BE. BUT MY UNDERSTANDING IS IT'S PRIMARILY FINANCIALLY RELATED. AND WE'VE ALREADY GIVEN THEM BASICALLY ALL THE FINANCIAL INFORMATION WE COULD POSSIBLY GIVE THEM.

11 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. I'LL LET YOU INDEPENDENTLY 12 DISCUSS THAT.

DR. ZADA: YES, I DON'T WANT TO CONFUSE THAT HERE.
THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO, LET ME JUST SUM UP
WHERE I BELIEVE WE ARE. I'M BEING REDUNDANT, BUT REDUNDANCY
IS MY FRIEND.

17 OKAY. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT AMAZON AND P10 18 WILL JOINTLY PROPOSE TO JUDGE MATZ ASAP THAT THE DISPOSITIVE 19 MOTION CUTOFF DATE BE EXTENDED A COUPLE OF MONTHS AND WILL 20 STATE THE REASONS THEREFOR.

21 JUDGE MATZ WILL HOPEFULLY RULE ON THAT SOMETIME22 NEXT WEEK.

IF HE GRANTS THAT, THEN, AMAZON'S MOTION WILL NOT
BE CALENDARED FOR THE CURRENT -- THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTION
WILL NOT BE HEARD ON THE 22ND, NOR WILL -- AND THAT WILL ALSO

TRIGGER AN UNDERSTANDING THAT GOOGLE'S INTERROGATORY MOTION
 WILL BE TABLED. HOWEVER, THE REMAINING TWO GOOGLE MOTIONS
 WILL REMAIN ON CALENDAR WITH THE STRONG HOPE THAT THEY WILL
 BE RESOLVED BEFORE THE 22ND BY THE PARTIES -- NOT ME. THAT
 THERE WILL BE A GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO SETTLE THOSE.

6 DR. ZADA: YOUR HONOR --

7 MR. MAUSNER: YOUR HONOR, I THINK WE HAVE TO TALK 8 TO THEM ABOUT THIS AND SEE, IN FACT, WHAT IT IS THAT THEY'RE 9 GOING TO PROPOSE BEFORE WE CAN SAY THAT WE WOULD AGREE TO 10 THAT. I THINK THAT'S A POSSIBILITY, BUT IT'S NOT A DEFINITE. 11 AND, YOU KNOW, THERE MAY BE SOME ISSUES THAT COME OUT OF THAT 12 AS WELL.

13 THE COURT: OUT OF WHAT?

14 MR. MAUSNER: ISSUES OF WHAT?

15 THE COURT: I'M SORRY. MAY COME OUT OF WHAT?

16 MR. MAUSNER: IF THERE IS GOING TO BE SUCH AN

17 AGREEMENT, THERE MAY HAVE TO BE SOME OTHER ISSUES RESOLVED AS 18 WELL.

19 THE COURT: OKAY.

20 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY.

21 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU MEAN, BUT OKAY.

22 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. SO, WHAT I WOULD PROPOSE IS 23 THAT WE TALK ABOUT THIS, SEE IF WE CAN REACH THAT AGREEMENT. 24 AND, THEN, IF WE COULD, YOU KNOW, JUST RECONVENE THIS TO 25 FURTHER DISCUSSIONS.

THE COURT: RECONVENE THIS -- THIS JOINT --1 MR. MAUSNER: YEAH, THE JOINT THING. OR WE CAN 2 3 TELL YOU THAT WE DID RESOLVE IT. 4 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. 5 MR. MAUSNER: BUT IF WE DIDN'T RESOLVE IT --6 THE COURT: THAT'S FINE. THAT'S FINE. 7 OKAY. MS. KINKAID: YOUR HONOR? 8 9 THE COURT: YES. 10 MS. KINKAID: VALERIE KINKAID. RIGHT NOW WHEN ARE THE SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDA DUE? 11 THE COURT: WELL, RIGHT NOW THEY WOULD BE DUE I 12 13 GUESS NEXT TUESDAY, 14 DAYS FROM -- MAYBE THE RULE CHANGED. 14 MAYBE IT'S EVEN 21 DAYS. MAYBE THEY'RE LATE. I DON'T KNOW. 15 MR. CAHN: IT'S VERY HARD FOR US TO PROVIDE A 16 SAMPLING ISSUE BY TUESDAY, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: I DON'T KNOW HOW TO ANSWER THE QUESTION 18 UNTIL I KNOW WHETHER THE INTERROGATORIES MOTION IS GOING 19 FORWARD. I'M HOPING THAT IT'S NOT GOING TO GO FORWARD. 20 DR. ZADA: CAN WE SCHEDULE A NEW CONFERENCE CALL IF 21 NECESSARY --22 THE COURT: YES. 23 DR. ZADA: -- EITHER NEXT WEEK OR EARLY THE WEEK AFTER, YOUR HONOR? 25 THE COURT: YES, YES, YES.

DR. ZADA: BECAUSE MY SUSPICION IS THAT THE 1 SEPTEMBER 22ND, THE HEARING ON THE INTERROGATORIES IS GOING 2 3 TO HAVE TO BE MOVED BACK ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. AND THAT WE COULD GO FORWARD WITH THE HEARING ON THE 22ND WITH REGARD TO 4 5 THE REDACTED FINANCIALS AND THE OTHER ISSUE -- THE RFA. 6 THE COURT: I WANT YOU REALLY TO FOCUS ON RESOLVING 7 THE RFAS AND THE FINANCIALS, REALLY, REALLY BE FOCUSING --DR. ZADA: BECAUSE THE REASON, YOUR HONOR, THAT WE 8 ARE A LITTLE RELUCTANT TO ANSWER THIS IS BECAUSE WE BELIEVE 9 10 THAT THE ONLY THING THAT AMAZON NEEDS IS THE FINANCIALS, AND THAT THERE'S NOTHING ELSE TO REALLY TALK ABOUT AS TO WHY THEY 11 -- WE DON'T REALLY WANT TO DELAY THIS THING FOR THREE MONTHS 12 13 UNLESS THERE'S A GOOD REASON TO DO SO. AND UNTIL WE TALK TO 14 THEM AND ARE TOLD THAT, WE WOULD PREFER TO RESOLVE THE 15 FINANCIAL ISSUE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE -- WHICH IS I THINK WHAT 16 THEY NEED TO GO FORWARD ON THEIR MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. 18 ALL RIGHT. WHAT ELSE DO WE NEED TO ACCOMPLISH 19 RIGHT NOW?

20 MR. MAUSNER: LET'S GET --

21 THE COURT: -- ACCOMPLISHED NOTHING.

22 MR. MAUSNER: LET'S GET, YOU KNOW, WHAT IS COMING 23 UP THAT WE HAVE TO DO AND WHEN WE WOULD RECONVENE.

24 THE COURT: WELL, WHY DON'T WE PLAN ON -- WHY DON'T 25 WE PLAN ON TALKING -- HOW ABOUT MONDAY, THE 14TH?

1

DR. ZADA: THAT'S FINE.

2 MR. ZELLER: I BELIEVE THAT'S OKAY FOR GOOGLE, YOUR 3 HONOR.

4 MR. MAUSNER: OKAY. THAT'S GOOD.

5 MR. CAHN: YES, THAT WOULD WORK. I MEAN, I'M 6 AVAILABLE THEN. SURE.

7 THE COURT: I DON'T REALLY -- LET ME GO BACK TO THE 8 QUESTION ABOUT SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDA. BASED ON THE PAPERS 9 BEFORE ME, I DON'T WANT ANY SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDA. AND 10 THERE'S NOTHING YOU'RE GOING TO TELL ME THAT'S GOING TO HELP 11 ANYONE.

12 THE QUESTION IS WHETHER REALLY THE MOTION DATE 13 WOULD NEED TO BE CONTINUED SO THAT THE PARTIES CAN ENGAGE IN 14 IN A NEW AND DIFFERENT APPROACH OF SAMPLING --

DR. ZADA: I THINK WE HAVE TO POSTPONE IT, YOUR HONOR, BECAUSE WE'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO COME UP WITH MEANINGFUL, INTELLIGENT SAMPLING ALTERNATIVES --

18 THE COURT: WELL, BUT IF THE INTERROGATORY MOTION 19 IS GOING TO BE TABLED, THEN WE CAN PROCEED WITH THE OTHER TWO 20 IF YOU CAN'T RESOLVE THEM. AND I DON'T NEED SUPPLEMENTAL 21 BRIEFING ON THOSE. THERE REALLY IS NO NEED FOR IT.

MS. KINKAID: BUT JUST TO CLARIFY, YOUR HONOR.
THEN, NONE OF THE PARTIES SHOULD SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL
MEMORANDA ON TUESDAY, THE 8TH.

25 THE COURT: ON ANY DAY REGARDING ANY OF THE MOTIONS

1 IN THEIR PRESENT FORM.

2 MS. KINKAID: WE'RE AGREEABLE TO THAT SO LONG AS IT 3 APPLIES TO EVERYONE.

4 THE COURT: OH, OF COURSE. OF COURSE. I JUST 5 CAN'T IMAGINE WHAT MORE NEEDS TO BE SAID IN THEIR PRESENT 6 FORM.

OKAY. SO, LET'S TALK -- LET'S HAVE A CONFERENCE
CALL ON THE 14TH AT -- I DON'T KNOW. I WANT TO SAY TEN
O'CLOCK. OR DO YOU WANT THE AFTERNOON? WHAT DO YOU WANT?
MR. MAUSNER: COULD WE DO THAT IN THE AFTERNOON,
YOUR HONOR?

12 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. LET'S SAY THREE O'CLOCK.

13 MR. ZELLER: YOU SAID THREE O'CLOCK?

14 THE COURT: YES. OKAY?

15 MR. ZELLER: THAT'S FINE FOR US.

16 THE COURT: AND MAYBE WE'LL HAVE A RULING FROM 17 JUDGE MATZ BY THEN AS TO THE STIPULATION.

18 MR. MAUSNER: THAT'S TRUE. WELL, LATE SUMMER COULD 19 EITHER BE LABOR DAY OR THE SOLSTICE WHICH IS A LITTLE BIT 20 LATER.

21 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO GO OFF THE RECORD FOR A 22 SECOND.

23 (OFF THE RECORD.)

24 (RECORDED PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED 3:48 P.M.)

1	CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT			
4	TRANSCRIPT FROM THE ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING OF THE			
5	PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.			
6				
7				
8				
9	DOROTHY BABYKIN	9/30/09		
10				
11	FEDERALLY CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBER	DATED		
12	DOROTHY BABYKIN			
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				