

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,
Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)
[Consolidated with Case No. CV 05-4753 AHM (SHx)]

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
IN PART GOOGLE INC.'S
MOTION TO COMPEL PERFECT
10, INC. (1) TO PRODUCE
DOCUMENTS, (2) TO COMPLY
WITH THE PROTECTIVE ORDER,
AND (3) TO AFFIX DOCUMENT
CONTROL NUMBERS TO ITS
DOCUMENT PRODUCTION**

AND COUNTERCLAIM

Hon. Stephen J. Hillman

PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,
Plaintiff,

vs.

AMAZON.COM, INC., a corporation;
A9.COM, INC., a corporation; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,
Defendants.

Date: January 15, 2010
Time: 10:00 AM
Crtrm.: 550

Discovery Cut-off: None Set
Pretrial Conference Date: None Set
Trial Date: None Set

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[Proposed] Order

Before this Court is Defendant Google Inc.’s Motion to Compel Perfect 10, Inc. (1) to Produce Documents, (2) to Comply with the Protective Order, and (3) to Affix Document Control Numbers to its Document Production (Docket No. 407).

This Order addresses Section IX of this Motion only. Having reviewed the parties’ submissions and considered the parties’ arguments thereon, and finding good cause therefor, Section IX of Google’s Motion is hereby GRANTED IN PART as follows:

Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. is ordered to sufficiently identify the file path and production date for any Perfect 10 non-Bates-numbered documents that Perfect 10 offers as evidence or as an exhibit in any court proceeding—including motions, depositions, and pretrial hearings—at the time such documents are first introduced or filed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: January 2010

By _____
Hon. Stephen J. Hillman
United States Magistrate Judge