Perfect 10 Inc V. Google Inc et al

Doc. 867

9

10

13

14

15

1920

18

2122

2324

25

26

2728

DECLARATION OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER

I, Jeffrey N. Mausner, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a member of the State Bar of California and admitted to practice before this Court. I am counsel of record for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc. ("Perfect 10") in this action. All of the matters stated herein are of my own personal knowledge, except where otherwise stated, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto. I make this declaration in connection with Perfect 10's Opposition to Defendant Google Inc.'s Motion for a Protective Order Regarding Perfect 10's Deposition Notice Directed to Dr. Eric Schmidt, Google's chief executive officer.
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A are true and correct copies of documents that were unsealed in or about March and April, 2010, in the action brought by Viacom against Google and YouTube, Case No. 07-CV-3582, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Viacom Action"). The first 21 pages of Exhibit A are excerpts from the transcript of the deposition of Google CEO Dr. Eric Schmidt, which took place in the Viacom Action on May 6, 2009. Certain portions of the deposition transcript have been highlighted in yellow, including where Dr. Schmidt discusses his practice of regularly deleting emails and where Dr. Schmidt states that he has no recollection on certain key issues. The next three pages of Exhibit A are Google documents from the Viacom Action which discuss Google's knowledge regarding the draw of infringing content. The last four pages of Exhibit A are excerpts from the Memorandum of Law in Support of Viacom's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on Liability and Inapplicability of The Digital Millennium Copyright Act Safe Harbor Defense, filed in the Viacom Action, with certain portions highlighted in yellow, including references to Dr. Schmidt's "document destruction practices" and "the testimony of Larry Page, one of Google's two co-founders and top three executives, who essentially disclaimed memory on any topic relevant to this litigation [the Viacom Action]."

- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B are true and correct copies of selected pages from Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, served by Perfect 10 upon Defendant Google Inc. ("Google") in this action on or about March 4, 2005. Certain portions of Exhibit B, including Requests for Production ("RFP") Nos. 13, 17, 55, and 56, have been highlighted in yellow.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C are true and correct copies of selected pages from Defendant Google Inc.'s Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about April 18, 2005. Certain portions of Exhibit C, including Google's Responses to RFP Nos. 13, 17, 55, and 56, have been highlighted in yellow.
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the Order Re Perfect 10's Motion to Compel Defendant Google, Inc. to Produce Documents and to Answer Interrogatories, entered by this Court in this action on May 22, 2006, without the transcript pages attached at the end of the Order. Certain portions of this Order, including those portions concerning RFP Nos. 13, 17, 55, and 56, have been highlighted in yellow.
- 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.'s Reply to Defendant Google Inc.'s Response to Perfect 10's Statement Regarding the Status of Its Motion for Evidentiary and Other Sanctions; Request to Strike Response (Docket No. 859), filed by Perfect 10 in this action on April 27, 2010.
- 7. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of Defendant Google Inc.'s Response to Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about April 18, 2005.
- 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of Google Inc.'s Response to Perfect 10's Revised Interrogatories, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about February 24, 2006.
 - 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of Defendant

Google Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about April 3, 2008.

- 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of Defendant Google Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's Eighth Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about September 4, 2007.
- 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of Defendant Google Inc.'s Responses to Plaintiff Perfect 10's Ninth Set of Requests for Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about February 25, 2008.
- 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of Defendant Google Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.'s Tenth Set of Requests for Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about October 5, 2009.
- 13. Filed separately under seal as Exhibit L are selected portions of the transcript of the Deposition of Alexander Macgillivray, taken in this action on or about December 19, 2006. Certain portions of the Exhibit L, including those portions identified in Perfect 10's portion of the Joint Stipulation, have been highlighted in yellow. In addition, attached as the last three pages of Exhibit L are true and correct copies of the results of searches of the transcript of the Deposition of Mr. Macgillivray performed under my direction for the terms "I don't know," "I'm not sure," and "I don't remember." Because the entire transcript of Mr. Macgillivray's deposition is voluminous, it is not attached to this declaration. Perfect 10 will make the entire transcript available to the Court upon request at any time at or before the hearing on Google's motion.
- 14. Filed separately under seal as Exhibit M are selected portions of the transcript of the Deposition of Susan Wojcicki, taken in this action on or about March 5, 2007. Certain portions of the Exhibit M, including those portions

identified in Perfect 10's portion of the Joint Stipulation, have been highlighted in yellow. In addition, attached as the last two pages of Exhibit M are true and correct copies of the results of searches of the transcript of the Deposition of Ms. Wojcicki performed under my direction for the terms "I don't know" and "I do not know." Because the entire transcript of Ms. Wojcicki's deposition is voluminous, it is not attached to this declaration. Perfect 10 will make the entire transcript available to the Court upon request at any time at or before the hearing on Google's motion.

- 15. Filed separately under seal as Exhibit N are selected portions of the transcript of the Deposition of Bill Brougher, taken in this action on or about January 11, 2007. Certain portions of the Exhibit N, including those portions identified in Perfect 10's portion of the Joint Stipulation, have been highlighted in yellow. In addition, attached as the last page of Exhibit N is a true and correct copy of the results of a search of the transcript of the Deposition of Mr. Brougher performed under my direction for the term "I don't know." Because the entire transcript of Mr. Brougher's deposition is large, it is not attached to this declaration. Perfect 10 will make the entire transcript available to the Court upon request at any time at or before the hearing on Google's motion.
- 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit O are true and correct copies of selected pages from Plaintiff's Fifth Set of Requests for the Production of Documents to Defendant Google, Inc., served by Perfect 10 upon Google in this action on or about January 18, 2007. RFP No. 131 has been highlighted in yellow.
- 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit P are true and correct copies of selected pages from Defendant Google Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiff's Fifth Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about February 23, 2007. Google's Response to RFP No. 131 has been highlighted in yellow.
- 18. Attached hereto as Exhibit Q is a true and correct copy of the Order Re Perfect 10's Motion to Compel Defendant Google Inc. to Produce Documents

(Docket No. 254), filed in this action on February 22, 2008. The portion of the Order with respect to RFP No. 131, as modified, has been highlighted in yellow.

19. Attached hereto as Exhibit R are true and correct copies of selected pages from Defendant Google Inc.'s Responses and Objections to Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.'s Twelfth Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, served by Google upon Perfect 10 in this action on or about April 29, 2010. Certain portions of Exhibit R, including RFP Nos. 389, 391, 393, and 394 and portions of Google's responses to RFP Nos. 389, 391, 393, and 394, have been highlighted in yellow.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge. Executed this 3rd day of May, 2010 in Los Angeles County, California.

Juffrey M. Mausner
Jeffrey N. Mausner