

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

(COUNSEL LISTED ON  
FOLLOWING PAGE)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC., a California  
corporation,

*Plaintiff,*

vs.

GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and  
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

*Defendants.*

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)

**STIPULATION EXTENDING TIME  
TO FILE A MOTION FOR  
REVIEW OF NONDISPOSITIVE  
RULING BY MAGISTRATE  
JUDGE HILLMAN**

Court: Hon. A. Howard Matz

AND COUNTERCLAIM

[Proposed] Order Filed Concurrently  
Herewith

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)

2 michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com

865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor

3 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543

Telephone: (213) 443-3000

4 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

5 charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

6 San Francisco, California 94111

Rachel Herrick Kassabian (Bar No. 191060)

7 rachelkassabian@quinnemanuel.com

555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor

8 Redwood Shores, California 94065

Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

9

10

THE LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N. MAUSNER

11 Jeffrey N. Mausner (State Bar No. 122385)

David N. Schultz (State Bar No. 123094)

12 Warner Center Towers

21800 Oxnard Street, Suite 910

13 Woodland Hills, CA 91367

Telephone: 818-992-7500

14 Facsimile: 818-716-2773

15

Attorneys for Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 WHEREAS, Magistrate Judge Hillman issued his Order re Plaintiff Perfect  
2 10's Motion for Evidentiary Sanctions on June 16, 2010, which Order was  
3 electronically served upon the parties on June 17, 2010 (Docket No. 896);

4 WHEREAS, under L.R. 72-2.1, the time for Perfect 10 to file a motion for  
5 review of Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order is no later than July 1, 2010;

6 WHEREAS, on June 26, 2010, Perfect 10 informed Google via email that it  
7 intends to file objections to and a motion for review of certain aspects of Magistrate  
8 Judge Hillman's Order;

9 WHEREAS, the parties have mutually agreed to extend the deadline and  
10 briefing schedule pertaining to Perfect 10's objections and motion for review of  
11 Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order;

12 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Google and Perfect 10,  
13 through their counsel of record and subject to the Court's approval pursuant to L.R.  
14 7-1, that the objections and briefing schedule for Perfect 10's motion for review of  
15 Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order shall be as follows:

- 16 1. Perfect 10's objections to and motion for review shall be filed and  
17 served on or before July 12, 2010.
- 18 2. Google's opposition shall be filed and served on or before July 26, 2010.
- 19 3. Perfect 10's reply shall be filed and served on or before August 2, 2010.
- 20 4. The hearing on Perfect 10's objections and motion for review shall be  
21 set for August 16, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. (or such other date and time as may be  
22 convenient for the Court).

23 Respectfully Submitted,

24 DATED: June 28, 2010

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &  
SULLIVAN. LLP

25  
26  
27 By



Rachel Herrick Kassabian  
Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATED: June 28, 2010

THE LAW OFFICES OF JEFFREY N.  
MAUSNER

By /s/ Jeffrey Mausner (with permission)  
Jeffrey N. Mausner  
Attorney for Plaintiff PERFECT 10. INC.