

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)

**ORDER APPROVING
STIPULATION OF EXTENSION
OF TIME TO FILE MOTION FOR
REVIEW OF NONDISPOSITIVE
RULING OF MAGISTRATE
JUDGE HILLMAN**

Court: Hon. A. Howard Matz

AND COUNTERCLAIM

WHEREAS, Magistrate Judge Hillman issued his Order re Plaintiff Perfect 10's Motion for Evidentiary Sanctions on June 16, 2010, which Order was electronically served upon the parties on June 17, 2010 (Docket No. 896);

WHEREAS, under L.R. 72-2.1, the time for Perfect 10 to file a motion for review of Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order is no later than July 1, 2010;

WHEREAS, on June 26, 2010, Perfect 10 informed Google via email that it intends to file objections to and a motion for review of certain aspects of Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order;

///

1 WHEREAS, the parties have mutually agreed to extend the deadline and
2 briefing schedule pertaining to Perfect 10's objections and motion for review of
3 Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order;

4 ACCORDINGLY, PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS
5 HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 6 1. Perfect 10's objections to and motion for review of Magistrate Judge
7 Hillman's Order shall be filed and served on or before July 12, 2010.
- 8 2. Google's opposition shall be filed and served on or before July 26,
9 2010.
- 10 3. Perfect 10's reply shall be filed and served on or before August 2,
11 2010.
- 12 4. The hearing on Perfect 10's objections and motion for review of
13 Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order shall be set for August 16, 2010 at 10:00 a.m.

14
15 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**



16
17
18 DATED: June 29, 2010

19 A. Howard Matz
20 United States District Judge