

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING GOOGLE INC.'S EX PARTE APPLICATION TO STRIKE PERFECT 10, INC.'S NOTICE SUBMITTING GOOGLE'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO PERFECT 10'S FOURTEENTH SET OF REQUESTS FOR THE PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

AND COUNTERCLAIM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Order

Pursuant to Local Rules 7-19 and 7-10, Google's Ex Parte Application to Strike Perfect 10's Notice Submitting Google's Responses and Objections to Perfect 10's Fourteenth Set of Requests for Production of Documents is hereby GRANTED and P10's Notice Submitting Google's Responses and Objections to P10's Fourteenth Set of Requests for the Production of Documents, Submitted in Connection with P10's Motion for Review of, and Objections to, Magistrate Judge Hillman's June 16, 2010 Order (Dkt. No. 966) is hereby STRICKEN and will not be considered.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August __, 2010

A. Howard Matz
United States District Judge