

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation,

Plaintiff,

vs.

GOOGLE INC., a corporation; and
DOES 1 through 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION FOR
REVIEW OF AND OBJECTIONS
TO MAGISTRATE HILLMAN'S
ORDER OF AUGUST 10, 2010 ON
GOOGLE INC.'S MOTION TO
QUASH SUBPOENAS TO
SHANTAL RANDS POOVALA**

AND COUNTERCLAIM

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Order

This matter is before the Court on Google Inc.’s (“Google”) Objections to and Motion for Review of Magistrate Judge Hillman’s Order of August 10, 2010 Denying Google’s Motion to Quash Plaintiff Perfect 10, Inc.’s (“P10”) April 2010 subpoenas directed to Ms. Shantal Rands Poovala and for a Protective Order preventing their enforcement. Finding good cause therefor, Google’s Motion is hereby GRANTED.

The April 2010 subpoenas directed to Ms. Shantal Rands Poovala are hereby quashed and a protective order granted. Ms. Shantal Rands Poovala is relieved of any obligation to respond to P10’s subpoenas.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: August __, 2010

A. Howard Matz
United States District Judge