| Perfect 10 Inc v. G | ogle Inc et al | Do | c. 978 |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Perfect 10 Inc v. Go 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 | UNITED STATES D CENTRAL DISTRICT PERFECT 10, INC., a California corporation, | ISTRICT COURT | c. 978 |
| 01980.51320/3647235.1 | [DDODOSED] ODDED STAVING DISCOVERY AND | Case No. CV 04-9484 AHM (SHX |) |
| | [PROPOSED] ORDER STAYING DISCOVERY AND | ALL OTHER PROCEEDINGS PENDING APPEAL | 1 |

Dockets.Justia.com

| 1 | <u>Order</u> |
|----|---|
| 2 | WHEREAS, this Court, by its July 30, 2010 Order denied plaintiff Perfect 10, |
| 3 | Inc.'s second motion for preliminary injunction against defendant Google Inc., |
| 4 | which incorporates by reference the Court's July 26, 2010 Order on Google's |
| 5 | DMCA Motions; |
| 6 | WHEREAS, on August 20, 2010 Perfect 10 confirmed to Google that it |
| 7 | would file an appeal of the Court's orders; |
| 8 | WHEREAS, Perfect 10's planned appeal of the Court's rulings on Perfect |
| 9 | 10's preliminary injunction motion and Google's DMCA motions will address |
| 10 | important, foundational legal issues in the case; |
| 11 | WHEREAS, it is in the best interests of judicial economy to stay discovery, |
| 12 | motion practice, and all other proceedings in the District Court in the above- |
| 13 | captioned action, except for those matters directly related to the appeal, until the |
| 14 | Ninth Circuit rules on Perfect 10's appeal to determine the governing legal standards |
| 15 | and what further discovery and motion practice, if any, will be necessary to resolve |
| 16 | Perfect 10's claims; |
| 17 | WHEREAS, Magistrate Judge Hillman issued his Order re Google's Motion |
| 18 | to Quash the Subpoenas Directed to Shantal Rands Poovala and for a Protective |
| 19 | Order, which Order was electronically served upon the parties on August 10, 2010 |
| 20 | (Docket No. 964); |
| 21 | WHEREAS, under L.R. 72-2.1, the time for Google to file a motion for |
| 22 | review of Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order is no later than August 24, 2010; |
| 23 | WHEREAS, on August 18, 2010, Google informed Perfect 10 that it intends |
| 24 | to file objections to and a motion for review of Magistrate Judge Hillman's Order, |
| 25 | based in part on this Court's rulings on Perfect 10's preliminary injunction motion |
| 26 | and Google's DMCA motions; |
| 27 | WHEREAS, the parties have mutually agreed to extend the deadline |

28

pertaining to Google's objections and motion for review of Magistrate Judge

| 1 | Hillman's Order pursuant to a stay of discovery and all other proceedings in this |
|----|--|
| 2 | action during appellate proceedings; |
| 3 | ACCORDINGLY, PURSUANT TO THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS |
| 4 | HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS: |
| 5 | 1. Discovery and all other proceedings in the District Court in the above- |
| 6 | captioned action between Perfect 10 and Google, except for those matters directly |
| 7 | related to the appeal, are stayed until the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals issues a |
| 8 | decision on Perfect 10's appeal of the Court's July 30, 2010 Order, which |
| 9 | incorporates by reference the Court's July 26, 2010 Order, and |
| 10 | 2. The deadline for Google to file objections to and a motion for review of |
| 11 | Magistrate Judge Hillman's August 10, 2010 Order is extended until fourteen (14) |
| 12 | days after the lifting of that stay following the conclusion of Perfect 10's appeal. |
| 13 | |
| 14 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 15 | DATED: August 25, 2010 |
| 16 | DATED. August 23, 2010 |
| 17 | 11.06.11.90 |
| 18 | |
| 19 | A. Howard Matz |
| 20 | United States District Judge |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |

01980.51320/3647235.1