

JS6

1 ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.  
 2 United States Attorney  
 3 ROBERT E. DUGDALE  
 4 Assistant United States Attorney  
 5 Chief, Criminal Division  
 6 STEVEN R. WELK  
 7 Assistant United States Attorney  
 8 Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section  
 9 California Bar Number 149883  
 10 P. GREG PARHAM  
 11 Assistant United States Attorney  
 12 California Bar Number 140310  
 13 U.S. Courthouse, 14th Floor  
 14 312 North Spring Street  
 15 Los Angeles, California 90012  
 16 Telephone: (213) 894-6166  
 17 Facsimile: (213) 894-7177  
 18 E-mail: Steven.Welk@usdoj.gov

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 20 United States of America

21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 22 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 23 WESTERN DIVISION

24 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CV 06-1971 SJO(AJWx)
	)	
25 Plaintiff,	)	<b>FINAL CONSENT JUDGMENT OF</b>
	)	<b>FORFEITURE</b>
26 vs.	)	
	)	
27 \$1,573,099.93 IN BANK ACCOUNT	)	<b>[This consent judgment is case-</b>
28 FUNDS, ET AL.,	)	<b>dispositive.]</b>
	)	
29 Defendants.	)	
	)	
	)	
	)	

30 Plaintiff commenced three civil in rem forfeiture actions  
 31 now pending in the United States District Court for the Central  
 32 District of California: (1) United States of America v.

1 \$1,573,099.93, Case No. CV 06-1971 SJO (AJWx); (2) United States  
2 of America v. \$35,000 in U.S. Funds, et al., Case No. CV 07-0107  
3 SJO (AJWx) (these two actions are collectively referred to as  
4 the "Monetary Actions"); and (3) United States of America v.  
5 Real Property Located in Diamond Bar, California (Tringham),  
6 Case No. CV 06-0609 SJO (AJWx) ("Diamond Bar Action"). (The  
7 Monetary Actions and the Diamond Bar Action are collectively  
8 referred to as the "In Rem Actions.")

9 This instant action was filed on March 31, 2006.  
10 Plaintiff seeks forfeiture of \$1,573,099.93 in bank account  
11 funds and \$52,269.09 as a substitute res for a 2006 Land Rover  
12 Ranger (the "defendant assets"). Notice was given and published  
13 in accordance with law. On May 23, 2006, a First Amended  
14 Complaint was filed. Docket Number ("DN") 8. On July 5, 2006,  
15 Hui Cindy Wang ("Wang")[pro se] filed a claim to the defendant  
16 \$52,269.09 as a substitute res for a 2006 Land Rover Range  
17 Rover.<sup>1</sup> Robert Tringham ("Tringham"), who was formerly the  
18 president and owner of First National Ban Corp. ("FNBC") and  
19 First Asset Management Corp. ("FAMC"), also filed a claim to all  
20 the defendant assets on July 5, 2006. DN 11. Plaintiff filed a  
21 Second Amended Complaint on November 8, 2007. DN 41. On April  
22 18, 2008, Tringham, FNBC and FAMC, through counsel, filed claims  
23 and an answer to the Second Amended Complaint. DN 47-50. On or  
24 about January 13, 2012, Robb Evans & Associates LLC ("Robb  
25 Evans"), the receiver of Finbar Securities Corp. ("Finbar")

---

26 <sup>1</sup> On July 2, 2012, on motion of the government, the court struck  
27 the claim of Wang and entered her default because she failed to  
28 file an answer or otherwise defend her interests in this action.  
DN 107.

1 filed a claim and answer to the Second Amended Complaint because  
2 FNBC and FAMC were believed to be subsidiaries or affiliates of  
3 Finbar.<sup>2</sup> DN 86-87. On September 19, 2012, a Consent Judgment of  
4 Forfeiture as to Tringham was entered, resolving the claims of  
5 Tringham. DN 113. No other claims or answers have been filed,  
6 and the time for filing claims and answers has expired.  
7 Plaintiff and Receiver have reached an agreement that is  
8 dispositive of the remaining claims in this action, and have  
9 requested that the Court enter this Consent Judgment of  
10 Forfeiture.

11 The government has alleged that Tringham conducted  
12 fraudulent schemes in the names of FNBC. Tringham also used  
13 entities named First Asset Management Corporation ("FAMC"),  
14 Colony Mortgage Banc ("CMB") and Capital Ban Corp ("CBC").  
15 Victims of Tringham's fraudulent schemes perpetrated in the  
16 names of or through FNBC, FAMC, CMB and CBC are collectively  
17 referred to as the "Non-Finbar Victims." Victims of Tringham's  
18 fraudulent schemes perpetrated in the name of Finbar are  
19 referred to as the "Finbar Victims."

20 Tringham was arrested, convicted and sentenced to prison in  
21 connection with one or more of the fraudulent schemes  
22  
23

---

24 <sup>2</sup> The Receiver was appointed pursuant to a Preliminary Injunction  
25 and Judgment of Permanent Injunction issued on April 13, 2009 in  
26 Securities and Exchange Commission v. Finbar Securities Corp.  
27 and Robert Tringham, et al., CV 09-2325 ODW(VBKx). Pursuant to  
28 that litigation, the Receiver was appointed as receiver over  
Finbar and its affiliates and subsidiaries. FNBC and FAMC are  
believed to be two such affiliates and/or subsidiaries of  
Finbar.

1 perpetuated in the names of or through Finbar, FNBC, FAMC, CMB  
2 and CBC.

3 Plaintiff and the Receiver have engaged in extensive and  
4 lengthy negotiations in an effort to resolve their disputes and  
5 differences. These negotiations have, from time to time,  
6 included the Asset Forfeiture and Money Laundering Section of  
7 the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C ("AFMLS") and the  
8 Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). AFMLS agreed to  
9 undertake a non-binding victim remission and restoration process  
10 ("Claims Process") whereby, among other things, AFMLS reviewed  
11 and approved the proposed allowed claims for all Finbar Victims  
12 and Non-Finbar Victims to be paid from the Defendant Assets, as  
13 more particularly set forth herein. The proposed allowed claims  
14 for Finbar Victims were submitted to AFMLS by the Receiver. The  
15 proposed allowed claims for Non-Finbar Victims were submitted to  
16 AFMLS by such victims. AFMLS has made proposed allowed claims  
17 determinations in connection with the distribution of the  
18 Defendant Assets, based on the claims that were submitted and  
19 other relevant information. Plaintiff and the Receiver agree  
20 with the proposed allowed claims as determined by AFMLS in its  
21 Claims Process and seek to have the AFMLS claims determinations  
22 made final and binding.

23 **WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:**

24 1. Forfeiture of Defendant Assets

25 All of the Defendant Assets in the In Rem Actions  
26 shall be forfeited, less a sum not exceeding \$125,000 which will  
27 be withheld from the defendant assets in the instant case to pay  
28 the fees and expenses of the Receiver and its counsel as may be

1 allowed by the Court in the SEC Action and to the extent that  
2 the receivership estate has insufficient assets to pay such fees  
3 and expenses otherwise ("Withheld Assets"). (The Defendant  
4 Assets less the Withheld Assets are referred to as the  
5 "Forfeited Assets." The Forfeited Assets, less a minor amount  
6 of costs attributable to the Claims Process, and the costs to be  
7 incurred and liens to be paid in connection with the sale of the  
8 Diamond Bar Property, are referred to as the "Net Forfeited  
9 Assets.")

10 2. Finbar Victims Allowed Claims

11 The claims of Finbar Victims shall be allowed in the  
12 aggregate amount of \$8,787,656.25 as follows:

13	Name	Allowed Claim Amount
14	(a) Juergen Votteler	\$2,273,810.47
15	(b) Werner Weber	\$1,287,880.00
16	(c) Mattias Baumeler	\$1,000,100.00
17	(d) Peter Wuergatsch	\$1,100,070.00
18	(e) Jeri Tulipan	\$762,788.05
19	(f) Manfred Trocha	\$1,963,355.25
20	(g) Meera Anstalt	\$399,652.48

21 The claims of Finbar Victims as set forth above shall be  
22 referred to as "Finbar Victims Allowed Claims."

23 3. Non-Finbar Victims Allowed Claims

24 The claims of Non-Finbar Victims shall be allowed in  
25 the aggregate amount of \$6,351,137. To protect the privacy  
26 rights of the Non-Finbar Victims, the names of the Non-Finbar  
27 Victims and itemized amount of each Non-Finbar Victim's claim  
28 are not included in this Consent Judgment. However, the

1 government has presented to the Receiver the name of each Non-  
2 Finbar Victim and the amount of each Non-Finbar Victim's claim  
3 by written transmittal made to the Receiver on or about April 1,  
4 2013. The Receiver has agreed to those claims and the aggregate  
5 amount of the claims is as set forth in this paragraph. The  
6 claims of Non-Finbar Victims as set forth in this paragraph  
7 shall be referred to as "Non-Finbar Victims Allowed Claims."

8 4. Calculation of Distribution Percentages

9 The Finbar Victims Allowed Claims and the Non-Finbar  
10 Victims Allowed Claims are collectively referred to herein as  
11 the "Allowed Claims." The Allowed Claims shall be used for the  
12 purpose of calculating distributions to Finbar Victims and Non-  
13 Finbar Victims from the Net Forfeited Assets, as described in  
14 this paragraph. Net Forfeited Assets shall be distributed by  
15 the government to the Finbar Victims and the Non-Finbar Victims  
16 on a pro rata basis, with each victim's share of the Net  
17 Forfeited Assets to be determined by taking such victim's  
18 Allowed Claim and dividing it by \$15,138,793.36, the aggregate  
19 total of all Allowed Claims. The pro rata share of the Net  
20 Forfeited Assets for each Finbar Victim (the "Finbar Victims  
21 Distribution Percentages") is as follows:

	Name	Distribution Percentage
22		
23	(a) Juergen Votteler	.15020
24	(b) Werner Weber -	.08507
25	(c) Matthias Baumeler -	.06606
26	(d) Peter Wuergatsch -	.07267
27	(e) Jeri Tulipan -	.05039
28	(f) Manfred Trocha -	.12969

1 (g) Meera Anstalt - .02640

2 Plaintiff and the Receiver understand and agree that the  
3 percentages herein, rounded five places, may not reflect the  
4 exact percentage on their distribution ultimately made by the  
5 government from the Net Forfeited Assets to the Finbar Victims  
6 on their Allowed Claims, but that a change from the eventual  
7 distribution to the Finbar Victims, if any, shall be de minimis  
8 and only as the result of minor rounding variances.

9 5. No other person or entity shall participate in the  
10 distribution of Net Forfeited Assets other than the holders of  
11 Finbar Victims Allowed Claims and Non-Finbar Victims Allowed  
12 Claims, or their legal successors or assigns. The Allowed  
13 Claims may not be amended or revised in any manner.

14 6. As soon as practicable after entry of all of the In  
15 Rem Judgments, the government shall cause to be marketed and  
16 sold the Diamond Bar Property consistent with the government's  
17 procedures for the marketing and sale of forfeited real  
18 property.

19 7. As soon as practicable, the Receiver shall bring a  
20 motion before the Court in the SEC Action seeking approval of  
21 all unpaid fees and expenses incurred by the Receiver and its  
22 counsel ("Fee Motion"). After the Court enters an order on the  
23 Fee Motion, the Receiver shall provide a written accounting to  
24 the government demonstrating the extent to which Withheld Assets  
25 must be disbursed to the Receiver to pay any allowed and unpaid  
26 fees and expenses. Any dispute concerning the extent to which  
27 the Withheld Assets must be disbursed to the Receiver shall be  
28 resolved on motion before the Court in the SEC Action. The

1 government shall promptly disburse to the Receiver the amount of  
2 Withheld Assets needed to pay all allowed and unpaid fees and  
3 expenses after receipt of the written accounting from the  
4 Receiver or, if necessary, after the Court in the SEC Action  
5 resolves any dispute concerning the extent to which the Withheld  
6 Assets shall be disbursed to the Receiver.

7 8. As soon as practicable after the later of the sale of  
8 the Diamond Bar Property pursuant to paragraph 6, above, and  
9 disbursement of Withheld Assets to the Receiver pursuant to  
10 paragraph 7, above, the government shall cause the distribution  
11 of the Net Forfeited Assets, including unused Withheld Assets,  
12 if any, to the holders of Allowed Claims, including without  
13 limitation, to all of the holders of Finbar Victims Allowed  
14 Claims in the amount of the Finbar Victims Distribution  
15 Percentages.

16 9. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to  
17 enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

18 

19 Dated: September 24, 2013 JS-6  
20 THE HONORABLE S. JAMES OTERO  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 [Signatures of counsel appear on following page]  
28



1 Approved as to form and content:

2  
3 DATED: September 20, 2013

ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR.  
United States Attorney  
ROBERT E. DUGDALE  
Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Criminal Division

6  
7 /s/ Steven R. Welk  
8 STEVEN R. WELK  
9 Assistant United States Attorney  
Chief, Asset Forfeiture Section  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
11 United States of America

12  
13  
14 DATED: September 20, 2013

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

15  
16 /s/ Gary Owen Caris  
17 GARY OWEN CARIS  
18 LESLEY ANNE HAWES  
19 ATTORNEY FOR RECEIVER  
20 ROBB EVANS & ASSOCIATES LLC  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28