| 2       JS-6         3       JS-6         4       JS-6         5       Image: Construct of the states of the |         |
|--|---------|
| 4         5         6         7         8         01         9         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         18         19         19         11         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         18  |         |
| 5         6         7         8         9         10         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         19         11         12         13         14         15         16         17         18         18   |         |
| 6         7         8 <b>UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT</b> 9         10         10         11         12         Plaintiffs,         13         14         ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,         15         16         17         18         18  |         |
| 7       8       UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT         9       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       10         11       JUAN JOSE SALAZAR, et al.,         12       Plaintiffs,         13       v.         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for surjudgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the surjudgment.   |         |
| 9       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       JUAN JOSE SALAZAR, et al.,       NO. CV 07-01854 SJO (VBKx)         12       Plaintiffs,       JUDGMENT         13       v.       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,         14       Defendants.       Defendants.         15       Defendants.       Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2"         13       v.       JUDGMENT         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,       Defendants.         15       Defendants.       JUDGMENT         16       This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sure judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the court finds that the court on the court finds that the court finds that the court finds that the court on the court finds that the court finds the court finds that the court finds that the court fin  |         |
| 9       CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA         10       JUAN JOSE SALAZAR, et al.,       NO. CV 07-01854 SJO (VBKx)         12       Plaintiffs,       JUDGMENT         13       v.       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,         14       Defendants.       Defendants.         15       Defendants.       Image: Colspan="2">Colspan="2"         13       v.       JUDGMENT         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,       Defendants.         15       Defendants.       JUDGMENT         16       This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sure judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the court finds that the court on the court finds that the court finds that the court finds that the court on the court finds that the court finds the court finds that the court finds that the court fin  |         |
| 10         11       JUAN JOSE SALAZAR, et al.,         12       Plaintiffs,         13       v.         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,         15       Defendants.         16       This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur         18       judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the   |         |
| 11       JUAN JOSE SALAZAR, et al.,       NO. CV 07-01854 SJO (VBKx)         12       Plaintiffs,       JUDGMENT         13       v.       JUDGMENT         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,       Jubefendants.         15       Defendants.       Jubefendants.         16       This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur         18       judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the   |         |
| 12       Plaintiffs,       JUDGMENT         13       v.       )         14       ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,       )         15       Defendants.       )         16  |         |
| <ul> <li>13 v.</li> <li>14 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,</li> <li>15 Defendants.</li> <li>16</li> <li>17 This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur</li> <li>18 judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the</li> </ul>   |         |
| <ul> <li>ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,</li> <li>Defendants.</li> <li>This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur</li> <li>judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the</li> </ul>  |         |
| 15       Defendants.       )         16  |         |
| <ul> <li>16</li> <li>17 This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur</li> <li>18 judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the</li> </ul>   |         |
| This action came before the Court on the parties' cross-motions for sur<br>judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the   |         |
| 18 judgment. After full consideration of all admissible evidence, the Court finds that the   |         |
|  | nmary   |
| 19 no triable issue of material fact in this case with regard to Plaintiffs' claims a  | nere is |
|  | igainst |
| 20 Defendants that enforcement of California Vehicle Code § 14602.6 violates their sta   | te and  |
| 21 federal constitutional rights.  |         |
| 22 It is hereby adjudged and decreed that judgment be entered in favor of Defe   | ndants  |
| 23 and Plaintiffs take nothing.  |         |
| 24 IT IS SO ADJUDGED.  |         |
| 25Dated this 18th day of September, 2008./S/ S. James Otero  |         |
| 26 S. JAMES OTERO  |         |
| 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT J  | UDGE    |
| 28   |         |