

1 DAVID A. SEGAL, SBN 166635
 dsegal@gibsondunn.com
 2 JOSH A. KREVITT, SBN 208552
 jkrevitt@gibsondunn.com
 3 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP
 3161 Michelson Drive
 4 Irvine, California 92612-4412
 Telephone: (949) 451-3800
 5 Facsimile: (949) 451-4220

6 Attorneys for Defendants
 CABLEVISION SYSTEMS CORPORATION
 7 AND RELATED CABLEVISION ENTITIES

8
 9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11
 12 IN RE:
 13 KATZ INTERACTIVE CALL
 14 PROCESSING PATENT LITIGATION

CASE NO. 2:07-ML-01816-RGK-FFM
] **FINAL JUDGMENT AGAINST
 RONALD A. KATZ TECHNOLOGY
 LICENSING, L.P. AND IN FAVOR OF
 CABLEVISION SYSTEMS
 CORPORATION AND RELATED
 DEFENDANTS**

15 This document is related to:
 16 Ronald A. Katz Technology Licensing,
 L.P. v. Time Warner Cable, Inc., et al.
 17 2:07-CV-02134-RGK-FFM

18
 19 The Honorable R. Gary Klausner

1 **WHEREAS** the Court issued the following Orders:

2 (i) Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Joint Summary Judgment
3 of Invalidity Under Section 112, dated June 19, 2008 (MDL D.I. 2330; D.I. 474)

4 (ii) Granting in Part and Denying in Part Defendants’ Joint Summary Judgment
5 of Invalidity Under Sections 102 and 103, dated August 4, 2008 (MDL D.I. 2547; D.I.
6 524);

7 (iii) Ruling on the Parties’ Individual Summary Judgment Motions, dated
8 August 13, 2009 (MDL D.I. 6718; D.I. 1029); and

9 (iv) Granting Cablevision’s Motion for Leave to File First Amended Answer to
10 Assert Collateral Estoppel and For Leave to File Additional Motion for Summary
11 Judgment, dated August 14, 2009 (MDL D.I. 6721; D.I. 1030);

12 **WHEREAS**, for the reasons set forth in the aforementioned Orders, all of the
13 claims asserted against Defendants-Counterclaimants Cablevision Systems
14 Corporation, CSC Holdings, Inc., Cablevision Systems New York City Corporation,
15 Cablevision of Brookhaven, Inc., Cablevision of Connecticut Corporation, Cablevision
16 of Hudson County, Inc., Cablevision of Litchfield, Inc., Cablevision of Monmouth,
17 Inc., Cablevision of New Jersey, Inc., Cablevision of Oakland, LLC, and Cablevision
18 of Rockland/Ramapo, LLC (collectively, the “Cablevision Defendants”) have now
19 been adjudicated;

20 **WHEREAS** the Court requested that Cablevision submit a final judgment and
21 the Cablevision Defendants request that all of their counterclaims be dismissed without
22 prejudice; and

23 **WHEREAS**, in light of the foregoing, entry of judgment in favor of the
24 Cablevision Defendants is appropriate with respect to all of Plaintiff Ronald A. Katz
25 Technology Licensing, L.P.’s (“RAKTL”) claims for relief.
26
27
28


1 **THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that

2 (1) The Cablevision Defendants' Counterclaims are hereby dismissed without
3 prejudice;

4 (2) **FINAL JUDGMENT** is hereby entered in favor of the Cablevision
5 Defendants and against Plaintiff RAKTL; and

6 (3) The Cablevision Defendants are the prevailing parties and shall be entitled
7 to be awarded their costs of suit.

8 **SO ORDERED.**



HON. R. GARY KLAUSNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10 Dated: 9/2/09

11
12 Respectfully submitted,

13 DAVID A. SEGAL
14 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

15 By: /s/ David A. Segal
 David A. Segal

16 *Counsel for the Cablevision Defendants*