	1 .
	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA
MIREILLE CARRIER, individually and on	CASE NUMBER
behalf of all others similarly situated, PLAINTIFF(S)	CV 07-02641 (CTx)
v.	
VALUECLICK, INC., a Delaware corporation, et al.	ADR PILOT PROGRAM QUESTIONNAIRE
DEFENDANT(S).	
(1) What, if any, discovery do the parties believe is	essential in order to prepare adequately for a settlement
conference or mediation? Please outline with specificity	y the type(s) of discovery and proposed completion
date(s). Please outline any areas of disagreement in this	
that you will be able to take in the event this case does i	•
The parties have agreed that Defendants will provide Plaintiff with	h the following categories of information and documents on an
informal basis, for the purpose of facilitating the parties' settlemen	nt discussions, during the week of January 7, 2008: (1) Information
concerning Defendants' efforts to detect and remedy noncomplian	t software use on Commission Junction's ("CJ") networks;
(2) documents concerning any analysis or summary of the commis	ssions and fees resulting from noncompliant software use on CJ's
networks; and (3) information concerning commissions earned by	certain publishers believed to have engaged in noncompliant
software use on CJ's networks during the relevant period. The par	ties agreed to exchange additional information on an informal basis
at a meeting to take place between counsel, Plaintiff's expert and b	pusiness and technical representatives of Defendants on 1/31/08.
(2) What are the damage amounts being claimed by	each plaintiff? Identify the categories of damage
claimed [e.g., lost profits, medical expenses (past and fu	-
damage to reputation, etc.] and the portion of the total d	
Plaintiff does not possess sufficient information to quantify damag	-
Unpaid commissions resulting from illegitimate sofware use (9)	
2. Consequential damages associated with illegitimate software us	
The second secon	
ADR-9 (02/04) ADR PILOT PROGRAM Q	UESTIONNAIRE

(3) Do the parties agree to utilize a priv	rate mediator in lieu of the court's ADR Pilot Program?
Yes ⊠ No □	
(4) If this case is in category civil rights	s - employment (442), check all boxes that describe the legal bases of
laintiff claim(s).	
☐ Title VII	☐ Age Discrimination
☐ 42 U.S.C. §1983	☐ California Fair Employment and Housing Act
☐ Americans with Disabilities Act of 1	990
☐ Other	
I hereby certify that all parties have discorrect.	cussed and agree that the above-mentioned responses are true and
• •	cussed and agree that the above-mentioned responses are true and Attorney for Plaintiff (Signature)
January 3, 2008	Attorney for Plaintiff (Signature)
January 3, 2008	
January 3, 2008 Date	Attorney for Plaintiff (Signature) Kassya Powell Nassiri, NASSIRI & JUNG LLP Attorney for Plaintiff (Please print full name) Attorney for Defendant (Signature)
January 3, 2008 Date	Attorney for Plaintiff (Signature) Kassya Powell Nassiri, NASSIRI & JUNG LLP Attorney for Plaintiff (Please print full name)
January 3, 2008 Date	Attorney for Plaintiff (Signature) Kassya Powell Nassiri, NASSIRI & JUNG LLP Attorney for Plaintiff (Please print full name) Attorney for Defendant (Signature) Ashlie Beringer, GIBSON DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP