JS-6

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

′

8

9

1011

12

13

1415

16

1718

19

2021

22

23

24

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION

PROFESSOR CHARLES DIRKS, YESENIA FRANCO, AND JESSE MARTINEZ.

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, Los Angeles County Sheriff LEE BACA in his personal capacity, Sgt. JOE GRASSO, Sgt. ANDREW

MAGALLERIES, Deputy MICHAEL MCCARTY, Security Officer ROXANNE CHAVEZ, Deputy

BARKER, Security Officer KIMBERLY MELANDY, and DOES

1-10 individually and in their official capacities

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV07-2664 GAF (FMOx)

Assigned to Judge Gary A. Feess Courtroom "740"

JUDGMENT FOLLOWING JURY VERDICT

Action Filed: April 23, 2007 Pretrial Conference: July 30, 2012 Trial Date: August 28, 2012

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The above-entitled action came on regularly for trial on August 28, 2012, at 8:30 a.m., in Courtroom "740" of the United States District Court, Central District of California, Western Division, with The Honorable Gary Allen Feess, presiding. Plaintiff CHARLES DIRKS was represented by and through his attorneys of record Saul Reiss, Esq., of the Law Offices of Saul Reiss, and Joe H. Freeman, Esq., of Joe

H. Freeman and Associates. Defendants Sergeant JOE GRASSO, Deputy RICKY 1 2 BAKER, and Deputy DARREN INANA were represented by and through their 3 counsel of record Thomas C. Hurrell, Esq. and Mariam Kaloustian, Esq., of Hurrell Cantrall LLC. 4 5 A jury of eight (8) persons was regularly impaneled and sworn on August 28, 6 2012. Witnesses were sworn and testified. After hearing evidence and arguments 7 of counsel, the jury was duly instructed by the Court, and the cause was submitted to 8 the jury with directions to return a verdict as to the claims against defendants Sergeant JOE GRASSO, Deputy RICKY BAKER, and Deputy DARREN INANA. 9 10 The jury deliberated and thereafter returned to the Court on August 29, 2012, with an eight (8) to zero (0) verdict in favor of defendants Sergeant JOE GRASSO, 11 12 Deputy RICKY BAKER, and Deputy DARREN INANA. 13 Specifically, the jury found in favor of defendants Sergeant JOE GRASSO, Deputy RICKY BAKER, and Deputy DARREN INANA on the special verdict with 14 respect to the following questions submitted: 15 16 17 FIRST AMENDMENT CLAIM 18 19 Did Plaintiff Charles Dirks prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, at 20 the time of his arrest on April 27, 2006, he was engaged in the exercise of his 21 rights under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution? YES____ NO__√_ 22 23 /// 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27

28

///

1	FOURTH AMENDMENT CLAIM
2	
3	Did Plaintiff Charles Dirks prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, at
4	the time that he was placed under arrest, the defendants violated his rights by
5	arresting him without probable cause to believe that he had committed a
6	violation of one or more sections of the California Penal Code. Answer
7	separately as to each Defendant:
8	3.1 Sgt. Grasso YESNO_ $\sqrt{}$
9	3.2 Dep. Inana YES NO√_
10	3.3 Dep. Baker YESNO√_
11	
12	Now therefore, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that
13	plaintiff CHARLES DIRKS shall take nothing from defendants Sergeant JOE
14	GRASSO, Deputy RICKY BAKER, and Deputy DARREN INANA, and that costs
15	are awarded to defendants as determined by the costs bill to be submitted by
16	defendants.
17	Harn Fees
18	DATED: September 5, 2012 By: THE HONORABLE GARY A. FEESS
19	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28