

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

JS-6

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JOHN YOUNG,	)	<b>CASE NO. CV 07-3190 RSWL (RZx)</b>
	)	<i>[Assigned to the Hon. Ronald S.W. Lew,</i>
Plaintiff,	)	<i>Courtroom 21]</i>
	)	
vs.	)	<b>JUDGMENT ON THE VERDICT FOR</b>
	)	<b>DEFENDANTS</b>
ARON WOLFE, MICHAEL SMITH,	)	
CRISTINA MARTINEZ, AND	)	
ROBERTO OCHOA,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	<b>Complaint Filed: 06/08/07</b>
	)	<b>TRIAL DATE: 07/30/13</b>

On September 25, 2012, Defendants Aron Wolfe, Michael Smith, Cristina Martinez, and Roberto Ochoa filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in this matter as to Plaintiff John Young’s malicious prosecution claims. After reviewing the moving and opposing papers and evidence submitted by the parties, this Court took the motion under submission on November 8, 2012. On December 3, 2012, this Court granted the Defendants’ Motion for Partial Summary Judgment as to the malicious prosecution claims.

1 This action came on regularly for trial as to the sole remaining claims against  
2 Defendants for alleged violation of Plaintiff's civil right to be free from excessive  
3 force on July 30, 2013, before the Honorable Ronald S.W. Lew in Courtroom 21 of  
4 the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Plaintiff John  
5 Young appeared by attorney Jeff Price. Defendants Cristina Martinez, Roberto  
6 Ochoa, Michael Smith, and Aron Wolfe appeared by attorneys Catherine M. Mathers  
7 and Christie Bodnar Swiss of Collins Collins Muir + Stewart LLP.

8 A jury of seven persons was regularly impaneled and sworn. Witnesses were  
9 sworn and testified.

10 After hearing the evidence and arguments of counsel, the jury was duly  
11 instructed by the Court and the cause was submitted to the jury with directions to  
12 return a verdict on special issues. The jury deliberated and thereafter returned into  
13 court with its verdict as follows:

14 "We, the jury in the above-entitled action, find the following Verdict on the  
15 questions submitted to us:

16 **VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS – EXCESSIVE FORCE**

17 **QUESTION NO. 1:** By a preponderance of the evidence, did each individual  
18 Defendant inflict excessive force on Plaintiff John Young?

- 19 Cristina Martinez: Yes \_\_\_\_ No X  
20 Roberto Ochoa: Yes \_\_\_\_ No X  
21 Michael Smith: Yes \_\_\_\_ No X  
22 Aron Wolfe: Yes \_\_\_\_ No X

23 If your answer to Question No. 1 is yes as to any Defendant, then answer  
24 Question No. 2. If you answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have  
25 the presiding juror sign and date this form.

26 **QUESTION NO. 2:** Did Plaintiff suffer harm as a result of the violation of  
27 civil rights?

1 Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

2 ///

3 If your answer to Question No. 2 is yes, then answer Question No. 3. If you  
4 answered no, stop here, answer no further questions, and have the presiding juror  
5 sign and date this form.

6 **QUESTION NO. 3:** What are Plaintiff’s damages?

7 \$\_\_\_\_\_.

8

9 Dated: 8-1-13

REDACTED BY COURT AS TO

10

FOREPERSON SIGNATURE

11

Foreperson”

12

13 It appearing by reason of said verdict, that Defendants Cristina Martinez,  
14 Roberto Ochoa, Michael Smith, and Aron Wolfe are entitled to judgment against  
15 Plaintiff John Young,

16 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED  
17 that the Plaintiff, John Young, take nothing; that the action be dismissed on the  
18 merits; and that the Defendants recover from Plaintiff their costs of action, taxed in  
19 the sum of an amount to be determined upon the filing of the appropriate motion.

20

21

22 DATED: August 13, 2013

/s/Ronald S. W. Lew  
Hon. Ronald S.W. Lew  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE

23

24

25

26

27

28