1 2 3 4 5	RUSSELL J. FRACKMAN (SBN 49087) rjf@msk.com ALEXA L. LEWIS (SBN 235867) all@msk.com MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP 11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90064-1683 Telephone: (310) 312-2000 Facsimile: (310) 312-3100		
6	Attorneys for MTV Networks Enterprises Inc.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, WESTERN DIVISION		
10			
11	Osama Ahmed Fahmy, an individual,	CASE NO. CV 07-05715 CAS (PJWx)	
12	Plaintiff,	The Honorable Christina A. Snyder	
13	V.	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT OF DEFENDANT MTV NETWORKS	
14	Jay-Z (aka Shawn Carter), Timothy Mosely, Kyambo Joshua, Rob Bourdon,	ENTERPRISES INC.	
15	Brad Delson, Mike Shinoda, Dave Farrell, Joseph Hahn, Chester		
16	Bennington, Big Bad Mr. Hahn Music, Chesterchaz Publishing, EMI Blackwood		
17	Music, Inc., EMI Music Publishing Ltd., Kenji Kobayashi Music, Lil Lulu		
18	Publishing, Machine Shop Recordings, LLC, Marcy Projects Productions II,		
19	Inc., MTV Networks Enterprises Inc., Nondisclosure Agreement Music		
20	Paramount Home Entertainment, Inc., Paramount Pictures Corporation, Radical		
21	Media, Rob Bourdon Music, Roc-A- Fella Records, LLC, Timbaland Productions, Inc., UMG Recordings,		
22	Productions, Inc., UMG Recordings, Inc., Universal Music and Video		
23	Distribution, Inc., and Warner Music Inc.,		
24	Defendants.		
25			
26			
27 Mitchell			
Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 1779124.1	ANGWED TO	COMPLAINT	
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		

Defendant MTV Networks Enterprises Inc. ("Defendant"), answers the 1 2 Complaint of Osama Ahmed Fahmy ("Plaintiff") as follows: 3 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 1. 4 The allegations contained in paragraph 1 are legal conclusions to 5 which a response is not required. To the extent the allegations in paragraph 1 are 6 factual in nature, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein. 7 PARTIES 8 2 Answering the first and second sentences of paragraph 2, Defendant 9 lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and 10 on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth therein; and answering the 11 third sentence of paragraph 2, denies each and every allegation set forth therein. Answering paragraph 3, Defendant admits the allegations in the first 3. 12 13 sentence of paragraph 3; lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph 3, and on that basis denies 14 15 each and every allegation set forth therein; and denies each and every remaining allegation set forth in paragraph 3. 16 Answering paragraph 4, Defendant admits that Rob Bourdon, Brad 17 4 18 Delson, Mike Shinoda, Dave Farrell, Joseph Hahn, and Chester Bennington are 19 entertainers who record, produce, and perform music as members of the band "Linkin Park," but lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of 20 21 the remaining allegations of paragraph 4 and on that basis denies each and every 22 allegation set forth therein. 23 5. Defendant admits that the product packaging of the album entitled 24 Collision Course, which contained a track entitled "Big Pimpin'/Papercut," states that it is presented by MTV Ultimate Mash-Ups, but denies each and every 25 26 remaining allegation set forth in the first sentence of paragraph 5. Answering the second sentence of paragraph 5, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a 27

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 1779124.1 belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and every
 allegation set forth therein.

6. Answering paragraph 6, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
every allegation set forth therein.

7. Answering paragraph 7, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
every allegation set forth therein.

9

## **ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

8. Answering the final sentence of paragraph 8, Defendant denies each
 and every allegation set forth therein. Answering the remainder of paragraph 8,
 Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of said
 allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

9. Answering paragraph 9, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
every allegation set forth therein.

17 10. Answering paragraph 10, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
18 form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
19 every allegation set forth therein.

20 11. Answering paragraph 11, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
21 form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
22 every allegation set forth therein.

12. Answering paragraph 12, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
every allegation set forth therein.

26 13. Answering paragraph 13, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
27 form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
28 every allegation set forth therein.

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 1779124.1

1 14. Answering paragraph 14, Defendant admits that, in or about July 2 2004, Linkin Park and Jay-Z performed a concert at the Roxy Theater in West 3 Hollywood; that, during said concert Jay-Z and Linkin Park performed a song entitled "Big Pimpin'/Papercut;" and that, in approximately late November 2004, a 4 work entitled Collision Course, which jointly packaged a DVD recording of said 5 concert and a CD containing an in-studio recording of "Big Pimpin'/Papercut," 6 7 was released, which continues to be distributed today. Defendant denies each and 8 every remaining allegation set forth in paragraph 14.

9 15. Answering paragraph 15, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
10 form a belief as to the truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and
11 every allegation set forth therein.

12 16. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 16, Defendant denies each
13 and every allegation set forth therein. Answering the second sentence of paragraph
14 16, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of said
15 allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

16 17. The allegations contained in paragraph 17 are legal conclusions to
17 which a response is not required. To the extent the allegations in paragraph 17 are
18 factual in nature, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the
19 truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth
20 therein.

18. The allegations contained in paragraph 18 are legal conclusions to
which a response is not required. To the extent the allegations in paragraph 18 are
factual in nature, Defendant lacks information sufficient to form a belief as to the
truth of said allegations and on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth
therein.

26

27

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP

# **ANSWER TO FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

19. Answering paragraph 19, Defendant incorporates by reference its answers to paragraphs 1 through 18 above, as if set forth in full herein.

20. The allegations contained in paragraph 20 are not directed against
 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 20 is required. To the extent any
 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

21. The allegations contained in paragraph 21 are not directed against Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 21 is required. To the extent any answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

7 22. The allegations contained in paragraph 22 are not directed against
8 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 22 is required. To the extent any
9 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

10 23. The allegations contained in paragraph 23 are not directed against
11 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 23 is required. To the extent any
12 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

13 24. The allegations contained in paragraph 24 are not directed against
14 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 24 is required. To the extent any
15 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

16 25. The allegations contained in paragraph 25 are not directed against
17 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 25 is required. To the extent any
18 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

19 26. The allegations contained in paragraph 26 are not directed against
20 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 26 is required. To the extent any
21 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

22 27. The allegations contained in paragraph 27 are not directed against
23 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 27 is required. To the extent any
24 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

25

4

5

6

# **ANSWER TO SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

26 28. Answering paragraph 28, Defendant incorporates by reference its
27 answers to paragraphs 1 through 18 above, as if set forth in full herein.

Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 1779124.1 29. Answering paragraph 29, Defendant denies each and every allegation
 set forth therein.

3 30. Answering the first sentence of paragraph 30, Defendant denies each
and every allegation set forth therein. Defendant lacks information sufficient to
form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in the second sentence of paragraph
30 and on that basis denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

7 31. Answering paragraph 31, Defendant lacks information sufficient to
8 form a belief as to the truth of the allegations that Linkin Park and Jay-Z have
9 performed "Big Pimpin'/Papercut" at venues and on occasions other than the July
10 2004 performance at the Roxy, and on that basis denies those allegations.

11 Defendant denies each and every remaining allegation set forth in Paragraph 31.

12 32. Answering paragraph 32, Defendant denies each and every allegation13 set forth therein.

14 33. Answering paragraph 33, Defendant denies each and every allegation15 set forth therein.

16 34. Answering paragraph 34, Defendant denies each and every allegation17 set forth therein.

18 35. Answering paragraph 35, Defendant denies each and every allegation19 set forth therein..

20

Knupp LLP

## **ANSWER TO THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

36. Answering paragraph 36, Defendant incorporates by reference its
answers to paragraphs 1 through 18 above, as if set forth in full herein.

37. The allegations contained in paragraph 37 are not directed against
Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 37 is required. To the extent any
answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

26 38. The allegations contained in paragraph 38 are not directed against
 27 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 38 is required. To the extent any
 <sup>Mitchell</sup> Silberberg & 28 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

ANSWER TO COMPLAINT

1 39. The allegations contained in paragraph 39 are not directed against 2 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 39 is required. To the extent any 3 answer is required. Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

4 40. The allegations contained in paragraph 40 are not directed against Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 40 is required. To the extent any 6 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

7 41. The allegations contained in paragraph 41 are not directed against 8 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 41 is required. To the extent any 9 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

10 42. The allegations contained in paragraph 42 are not directed against 11 Defendant and as such no answer to paragraph 42 is required. To the extent any 12 answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth therein.

13

5

#### **ANSWER TO FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

43 14 This claim for relief has been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order 15 of March 20, 2008, and as such no answer to paragraph 43 is required. To the extent any answer is required, Defendant incorporates by reference its answers to 16 17 paragraphs 1 through 37 above, as if set forth in full herein.

18 44. This claim for relief has been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order 19 of March 20, 2008, and as such no answer to paragraph 44 is required. To the 20 extent any answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth 21 therein.

22 This claim for relief has been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order 45 23 of March 20, 2008, and as such no answer to paragraph 45 is required. To the 24 extent any answer is required. Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth 25 therein

26 This claim for relief has been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order 46 of March 20, 2008, and as such no answer to paragraph 46 is required. To the 27

Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP 1779124 1

1	extent any answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth		
2	therein.		
3	47. This claim for relief has been dismissed pursuant to the Court's Order		
4	of March 20, 2008, and as such no answer to paragraph 47 is required. To the		
5	extent any answer is required, Defendant denies each and every allegation set forth		
6	therein.		
7			
8	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
9	48. Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to recover any of the		
10	damages, injunctive or other relief sought in his Prayer for Relief, and denies each		
11	and every allegation contained therein.		
12			
13	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
14	(Failure to State a Claim for Relief)		
15	49. The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to state a claim upon		
16	which relief may be granted.		
17			
18	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
19	(Statute of Limitations)		
20	50. Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part by the applicable		
21	statute(s) of limitations.		
22			
23	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
24	(Standing)		
25	51. Plaintiff lacks standing to bring this action or any claim against		
26	Defendant for the relief sought herein.		
27			
Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP			
1779124.1	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
	·		

	1	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	2	(Failure to Join Necessary and Indispensable Parties)	
	3	52. The Complaint fails to name necessary or indispensable parties,	
	4	including persons and entities that own the allegedly infringed works, as alleged in	
	5	the Complaint.	
	6		
	7	FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	8	(Independent Creation)	
	9	53. Defendant's works were the result of Defendant's independent	
	10	creation.	
	11		
	12	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	13	(Adequate Remedy at Law)	
	14	54. Plaintiff's causes of action, and each of them, and his injunctive and	
	15	restitution remedies, are barred in light of the fact that Plaintiff has an adequate	
	16	remedy at law.	
	17		
	18	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	19	(Attorneys' Fees Not Recoverable)	
	20	55. Plaintiff is barred from any recovery of attorneys' fees, because, in	
	21	bringing this action, Plaintiff has not alleged any basis upon which attorneys' fees	
	22	are recoverable.	
	23		
	24	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
	25	(Laches)	
	26	56. Plaintiff is barred by the doctrine of laches from asserting any of his	
	27	claims for relief.	
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP	28		
1779124.1		8 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	

1	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
2	(Waiver)	
3	57. Plaintiff has, through his actions, conduct, delay, and failure to act,	
4	waived any right to relief.	
5		
6	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
7	(Estoppel)	
8	58. Plaintiff is estopped by his own acts and omissions from asserting any	
9	claims in this action.	
10		
11	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
12	(De Minimis)	
13	59. To the extent any copyrightable elements from any of the allegedly	
14	infringed works were used in allegedly infringing works and were not	
15	independently created, such use is <i>de minimis</i> and not actionable.	
16		
17	<b>TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</b>	
18	(Authorization, License, Acquiescence, Ratification, Consent)	
19	60. To the extent any of the acts or omissions averred in the Complaint	
20	occurred, those acts were authorized, licensed, acquiesced in, ratified, or consented	
21	to it, expressly, by implication, or by conduct.	
22		
23	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
24	(Lack of Willfulness)	
25	61. Defendant has not willfully infringed any alleged copyright in the	
26	Plaintiff's purported work.	
27		
Mitchell Silberberg & 28 Knupp LLP		
1779124.1	9 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT	

1	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
2	(Failure to Comply with Statutory Requirements)		
3	62. Plaintiff has failed to comply with the registration, deposit, and other		
4	statutory requirements that are conditions precedent to maintaining this action		
5	and/or to the recovery of statutory damages and attorneys' fees.		
6			
7	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	(Work for Hire)		
9	63. To the extent Baligh Hamdy wrote or contributed to "Khosara		
10	Khosara," such contribution was a work made for hire.		
11			
12	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	(Unclean Hands)		
14	64. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of unclean hands.		
15			
16	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
17	(Good Faith)		
18	65. To the extent Defendant engaged in any act averred by Plaintiff, it did		
19	so innocently and in good faith.		
20			
21	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
22	(Lack of Originality)		
23	66. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred as the portion of the Plaintiff's		
24	work alleged to have been infringed is not original.		
25			
26			
27			
Mitchell Silberberg & 28			
Knupp LLP 1779124.1	10		
	ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		

	1	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
	2	(Lack of Protectability)		
	3	67. Plaintiff's causes of action are barred as the portion of the Plaintiff's		
	4	work alleged to have been infringed is not protectable.		
	5			
	6	<b>TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</b>		
	7	(Forfeiture by General Publication)		
	8	68. Plaintiff's works are in the public domain by reason of the alleged		
	9	author's sale of said works without affixing any copyright notice thereto.		
1	10			
1	1	<b>TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</b>		
1	12	(Fair Use)		
1	13	69. To the extent any copyrightable elements from the allegedly infringed		
1	14	work was used in allegedly infringing works and were not independently created,		
1	15	such use constituted fair use.		
1	16			
1	17	<b>TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</b>		
1	18	(Not Copyrightable Expression)		
1	19	70. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because there is no		
2	20	infringement of copyrightable expression.		
2	21			
2	22	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays:		
2	23			
2	24	1. That Plaintiff take nothing by the Complaint, and that the Complaint,		
2	25	and each claim for relief therein, be dismissed with prejudice;		
2	26			
2	27	2. For Defendant's attorneys' fees and full costs incurred herein; and		
Silberberg &	28			
Knupp LLP 1779124.1		11 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT		
		ANSWEK IU CUMPLAINI		

1	3. For such other relie	ef as the Court deems just and proper.
2		
3 4	DATED: April 3, 2008	RUSSELL J. FRACKMAN ALEXA L. LEWIS MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUPP LLP
4		WITCHEEL SIEDERDERG & RIVOTT EEL
6		By: <u>/s/ Alexa L. Lewis</u>
7		Alexa L. Lewis
8		Attorneys for Defendant MTV Networks Enterprises Inc.
9		Enterprises me.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27 Mitchell 20		
Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP		10
1779124.1	<i>I</i>	12 ANSWER TO COMPLAINT