Doc. 33

Dockets.Justia.com

UMG Recordings, Inc. et al v. Veoh Networks, Inc. et al

27

28

Plaintiffs,
VS. VEOH NETWORKS, INC. a California Corporation Defendant.

Defendant Veoh Networks, Inc. ("Veoh") hereby answers the Complaint of Plaintiffs UMG Recordings, Inc., Universal Music Corp., Songs of Universal, Inc., Universal-Polygram International Publishing, Inc., Rondor Music International, Inc., Universal Music—MGB NA LLC, Universal Music—Z Tunes LLC, Universal Music—MGB Music Publishing Ltd. (collectively "Plaintiffs") as follows:

- 1. Answering paragraph 1, Veoh lacks information or knowledge sufficient to determine the truth or falsity of said allegations and on that basis Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 2, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and 2. every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 3, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 4, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and 4. every allegation contained therein.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Answering paragraph 5, Veoh admits said allegations.
- 6. Answering paragraph 6, Veoh admits that the Court has personal jurisdiction over Veoh, Veoh does business in this District, and Plaintiffs do business in this District, but Veoh generally and specifically denies that Plaintiffs are suffering harm in this District.
 - 7. Answering paragraph 7, Veoh admits said allegations.

7

8

5

15

THE PARTIES

- Answering paragraph 8, Veoh lacks information or knowledge sufficient 8. to determine the truth or falsity of said allegations and on that basis Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 9, Veoh lacks information or knowledge sufficient 9. to determine the truth or falsity of said allegations and on that basis Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 10, Veoh lacks information or knowledge sufficient to determine the truth or falsity of said allegations and on that basis Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. Answering paragraph 11, Veoh lacks information or knowledge sufficient to determine the truth or falsity of said allegations and on that basis Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. Answering paragraph 12, Veoh admits that its principal place of business is in San Diego, California, but Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every remaining allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 13, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 13. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 14, Veoh admits that its publicly disclosed 14. investors include Shelter Capital Partners, Spark Capital, the Tornante Company, TimeWarner Investments, and Goldman Sachs. Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 14.
- Veoh admits that Plaintiffs have named Does 1-10 as defendants, which 15. together with Veoh, Plaintiffs refer to as the Defendants, but generally and specifically denies each and every remaining allegation contained in paragraph 15.
- Answering paragraph 16, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 16. and every allegation contained therein.

4

8

6

10

15

GENERAL AVERMENTS

- Answering paragraph 17, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 17. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 18, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 18. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 19, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 19. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 20, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 21, and each of its subparts, Veoh generally and 21. specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 22. Answering paragraph 22, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 23. Answering paragraph 23, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 24, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 24. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 25, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 25. and every allegation contained therein.
- 26. Answering paragraph 26, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 27. Answering paragraph 27, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.

COUNT 1

DIRECT COYPRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

[Against All Defendants]

Answering paragraph 28, Veoh repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 28. through 26 of this Answer and incorporates the same by reference as if fully set forth. LA:201449.5

4

9

7

11

15

24

- 29. Answering paragraph 29, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 30. Answering paragraph 30, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 31, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 31. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 32, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 32. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 33, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 33. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 34, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 34. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 35, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 35. and every allegation contained therein.

COUNT II

CONTRIBUTORY COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

[Against All Defendants]

- 36. Answering paragraph 36, Veoh repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 26 of this Answer and incorporates the same by reference as if fully set forth.
- 37. Answering paragraph 37, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 38. Answering paragraph 38, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 39, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 39. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 40, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 40. and every allegation contained therein.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

- 41. Answering paragraph 41, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 42. Answering paragraph 42, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 43, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 43. and every allegation contained therein.

COUNT III

VICARIOUS COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

[Against All Defendants]

- Answering paragraph 44, Veoh repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 44. through 26 of this Answer and incorporates the same by reference as if fully set forth.
- 45. Answering paragraph 45, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 46. Answering paragraph 46, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 47, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 47. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 48, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 48. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 49, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 49. and every allegation contained therein.
- 50. Answering paragraph 50, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 51, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 51. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 52, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 52. and every allegation contained therein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COUNT IV

INDUCING COPYRIGHT INFRINGEMENT

[Against All Defendants]

- Answering paragraph 53, repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 26 53. of this Answer and incorporates the same by reference as if fully set forth.
- Answering paragraph 54, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 54. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 55, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 55. and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 56, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 56. and every allegation contained therein.
- 57. Answering paragraph 57, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 58. Answering paragraph 58, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- Answering paragraph 59, Veoh generally and specifically denies each 59. and every allegation contained therein.
- 60. Answering paragraph 60, Veoh generally and specifically denies each and every allegation contained therein.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 1. Veoh denies the allegations contained in paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, and contends that Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought therein.
- 2. Veoh denies the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, and contends that Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought therein.
- Veoh denies the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Prayer 3. for Relief, and contends that Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought therein.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3

4.	Veoh denies the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Prayer
for Relief,	and contends that Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought therein.

5. Veoh denies the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief, and contends that Plaintiffs are not entitled to any relief sought therein.

Veoh requests that the Court:

- Dismiss all claims asserted by Plaintiffs, with prejudice; A.
- B. Award Veoh its reasonable costs and attorneys' fees; and
- **C**. Grant all other and further relief that the Court deems just and proper.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

First Affirmative Defense

(Failure to State a Claim for Relief)

61. Plaintiffs' Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Second Affirmative Defense

(17 U.S.C. § 512)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the statutory immunity granted to service 62. providers under 17 U.S.C. § 512.

Third Affirmative Defense

(No Primary Liability)

Plaintiffs' claims based upon secondary liability are barred because 63. Plaintiffs cannot establish the primary liability of Veoh's users, including because such users' alleged conduct constitutes fair use, de minimus use and/or is otherwise not actionable.

Fourth Affirmative Defense

(Staple Articles of Commerce)

64. Plaintiffs' claims based upon secondary liability are barred because Veoh's products and/or services are staple articles of commerce. LA:201449.5

333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543
--

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Fifth	Affir	mative	Defense

(No Volitional Act)

65. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because the alleged infringement was not caused by a volitional act attributable to Veoh.

Sixth Affirmative Defense

(Lack of Knowledge)

Plaintiffs' claims based upon contributory liability are barred because 66. Veoh did not have requisite knowledge of the alleged primary infringement and did not encourage or induce the alleged primary infringement.

Seventh Affirmative Defense

(No Direct Financial Benefit)

67. Plaintiffs' claims based upon vicarious liability are barred because Veoh did not obtain a direct financial benefit from the alleged primary infringement.

Eighth Affirmative Defense

(No Right or Ability to Control)

Plaintiffs' claims based upon vicarious liability are barred because Veoh 68. does not have the right or ability to control the alleged primary infringement.

Ninth Affirmative Defense

(Fair Use)

69. Veoh's alleged conduct constitutes fair use.

Tenth Affirmative Defense

(Laches)

70. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by laches.

Eleventh Affirmative Defense

(Waiver)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred by waiver. 71.

Winston & Strawn LLP

Twelfth Affirmative Defense

(Estoppel)

72. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by estoppel.

Thirteenth Affirmative Defense

(Unclean Hands)

73. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by unclean hands.

Fourteenth Affirmative Defense

(Invalidity and Unenforceability of Copyright)

74. Plaintiffs' copyrights are invalid and/or unenforceable.

Fifteenth Affirmative Defense

(License, Consent and Acquiescence)

75. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by Plaintiffs' license, consent and acquiescence to Veoh's use.

Sixteenth Affirmative Defense

(Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction)

76. Plaintiffs' claims are barred for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because they lack valid registrations for the intellectual property rights asserted.

Seventeenth Affirmative Defense

(Abandonment and Forfeiture)

77. Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent that they have forfeited or abandoned their intellectual property.

Eighteenth Affirmative Defense

(Standing)

Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent that the Plaintiffs lack standing. 78.

	10
m	11
71-154	12
eles, CA 90071-1543	13
	14
s Angeles	15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

(Misuse of Copyright)

79. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the doctrine of misuse of copyright.

Twentieth Affirmative Defense

(Non-Infringing Use)

80. Plaintiffs' claims are barred because Veoh's products and/or services are capable of substantial non-infringing uses.

Twenty-First Affirmative Defense

(Failure to Mitigate Damages)

81. Plaintiffs' claims are barred due to Plaintiffs' failure to mitigate damages.

Twenty-Second Affirmative Defense

(Innocent Intent)

82. Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Veoh's conduct was in good faith and with non-willful intent, at all times.

Twenty-Third Affirmative Defense

(Unconstitutionality)

83. The statutory damages sought by Plaintiffs are unconstitutionally excessive and disproportionate to any actual damages that may have been sustained, in violation of the Due Process Clause.

Twenty-Fourth Affirmative Defense

(Statutes of Limitations)

84. Plaintiffs' claims are barred by the applicable statutes of limitations.

Twenty-Fifth Affirmative Defense

(First Amendment)

85. Plaintiffs' claims are barred to the extent that Veoh's actions and speech are protected by the First Amendment of the Constitution of the United States.

Winston & Strawn LLP

/s/ Erin R. Ranahan Email: rcalkins@winston.com Email: eranahan@winston.com Los Angeles, California 90071-1543 (213) 615-1700 (Telephone) (213) 615-1750 (Facsimile) Email: jgolinveaux@winston.com San Francisco, California 94111-5894 (415) 591-1000 (Telephone) (415) 591-1400 (Facsimile) Email: melkin@winston.com Email: tlane@winston.com New York, New York 10166-4193 (212) 294-6700 (Telephone) (212) 294-4700 (Facsimile) Case No. CV 07 5744 – AHM (AJWx) Winston & Strawn LLP

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

	Veoh hereby d	emands a jury	trial as pro	ovided by	Rule 38(a)	of the	Federal
Rules	of Civil Proced	lure.					

Dated: December 14.	2007 V	VINSTON &	z STRAWI	N LLP

By: /s/ Erin R. Ranahan
Rebecca Lawlor Calkins
Email: realkins@winston.com
Erin R. Ranahan
Email: eranahan@winston.com
333 S. Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90071-1543
(213) 615-1700 (Telephone)
(213) 615-1750 (Facsimile)

Jennifer A. Golinveaux Email: jgolinveaux@winston.com 101 California Street San Francisco, California 94111-5894 (415) 591-1000 (Telephone) (415) 591-1400 (Facsimile)

Michael S. Elkin Email: melkin@winston.com Thomas P. Lane Email: tlane@winston.com 200 Park Avenue New York, New York 10166-4193 (212) 294-6700 (Telephone) (212) 294-4700 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Defendant