1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Veoh submits this short brief to update the Court on additional chain of title defects located through Veoh's review of UMG's purported ownership documents produced pursuant to this Court's order dated March 5, 2001 (Docket 321) ("Order").

In response to the Court's Order, UMG produced almost 100,000 pages of documents electronically, which came to 26 boxes of documents when printed. Veoh has dedicated hundreds of hours to reviewing and analyzing those documents. (Supplemental Declaration of Erin R. Ranahan ("Ranahan Decl.") ¶ 2). Veoh spent considerable time removing duplicate documents from the 100,000 pages of documents, as well as chain of title documents relating to works no longer at issue.<sup>1</sup> *Id.* After this process was complete, what was originally 26 boxes of documents has been whittled down to just 7 ½ boxes. Id. In other words, UMG produced what amounted to 19 boxes of documents containing duplicates or documents not related to the 20% sample. Based upon a preliminary review of the remaining documents, Veoh has located numerous defects further confirming that production of all of UMG's chain of title documents for works at issue in this action is warranted.

By way of example, Veoh has located the following defects in UMG's purported chain of title production:

> • Failure to produce necessary assignment agreements transferring the works at issue to any of the Plaintiffs in this action (e.g., ABC The Look of Love, Row 104; Backstreet Boys, Larger Than Life, Row 243; Backstreet Boys, Show Me The Meaning of Love, Row 244; Backstreet Boys, The One, Row 245; Belinda Carlisle, Mad About You, Row 260;; Brittany Spears, Oops! I Did It Again, Row 366, Stronger, Row 369, Baby, One More Time, Row 348; Christina Aguilera, Ain't No Other Man, Row 415, Come On Over Baby, Row 421, Hurt, Row 422);

As discussed extensively in Veoh's moving and reply papers, UMG withdrew allegations of infringement with respect to 24 of the 241 sample 20% works on April 22, 2009, and then removed allegations of infringement with respect to eight more of the sample works on May 11, 2009.

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
	12
7006	13
ics,	14
Los Angeles, CA 700/1-100	15
	16
	17
	18
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

1

• Failure to produce recording agreements necessary to transfer rights to any Plaintiff to this action (e.g., Aqua, Cartoon Heroes, Row 133)

See Declaration of Rebecca Lawlor Calkins in Support of Veoh Network Inc.'s Renewed Motions to Compel Plaintiff UMG to Identify Works At Issue and Produce Chain of Title/Rights Information Re Allegedly Infringed Works (Docket 221-3) ¶ 6 and Exh. D. (11/7 Hearing in MySpace/Grouper Actions at p. 108: 18-20---UMG's Counsel, Mr. Marenberg acknowledged that "the most important document in the chain of title is the recording agreement, which gives us rights to the copyright.");

- Failure to produce songwriter agreements to any of the Plaintiffs in this action (e.g., pending PA for Akon "Smack That," Rows 1656, 1657, 1659, 1660, 1661, 1662, 1664, and 1668);
- Failure to produce assignment agreements of pre-existing works with respect to compilations (e.g., ABC The Look of Love, Row 104) See 17 U.S.C. § 103(b);
- Failure to produce work-for-hire agreements necessary to show
   Plaintiffs have a right to the work (e.g., Aqua, Cartoon Heroes, Row 133);
- Failure to produce <u>any</u> chain of title documents relating to numerous identified works;
- Failure to produce documents showing consent by author to assignment agreement as required by co-publishing agreement (e.g., Belinda Carlisle, Mad About You, Row 260);
- Failure to produce documents that show percentages and shares of ownership by a Plaintiff in this action necessary to prove the extent/type of ownership (e.g., Bobby Valentino, Tell Me, Row, Row 1752); and

Winston & Strawn LLP	333 South Grand Avenue	Los Angeles, CA 90071-1543
----------------------	------------------------	----------------------------

28

		100	
2	which Plaintiffs	claim registrations.	
3	Id.		
4	These defects are just the tip of the iceberg, as Veoh conti		
5	20%, though they could result in the reduction of millions more		
6	damages. For all these and the reasons discussed in Veoh's Moti		
7	should be ordered to complete its chain of title production for all		
8	infringements in this action.		
9			
10			
11	Dated: June 1, 2009	WINSTON & STRAWN	
12			
13		By /s/ Erin R. Ranahar Michael S. Elkin	
14		Thomas P. Lane Jennifer A. Golinveau	
15		Rebecca L. Calkins Erin R. Ranahan	
16		Attorneys for Defenda VEOH NETWORKS,	
17		VEOII NEI WORKS,	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

• Failure to produce numerous copyright certificates for works for

nues its review of the in potential statutory on and Reply, UMG of the alleged

## LLP

int INC.