

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
WESTERN DIVISION

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No. CV 07-5744 AHM (AJWx)

Date: December 5, 2008

Title: UMG RECORDINGS, INC., et al. v. VEOH NETWORKS, INC., et al.

PRESENT: HON. ANDREW J. WISTRICH, MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Ysela Benavides
Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:
None Present

ORDER REGARDING VEOH'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR AN ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY PLAINTIFFS SHOULD NOT BE FOUND IN CONTEMPT FOR REFUSAL TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S NOVEMBER 20, 2008 ORDER

The ex parte application is **denied** without prejudice to its renewal on the basis of a more adequate record (that is, a topic-by-topic explanation of exactly how Veoh contends that UMG's compliance with Veoh's Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) deposition notice is lacking) after compliance with Local Rule 37. Further, this is not an emergency warranting an ex parte application. See Mission Power Eng'g Co. v. Continental Cas. Co., 883 F. Supp. 488 (C.D. Cal. 1995).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

cc: Parties

MINUTES FORM 11
CIVIL-GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk_____