## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA WESTERN DIVISION

## CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No.	. CV 0	7-5744 AI	WLA) ME	Ix)				Date:	De	cember	5,	2008
Title: <u>[</u>	UMG REC	ORDINGS,	INC.,	et	al.	v.	VEOH	NETWORE	KS,	INC.,	et	<u>al.</u>
PRESENT:	HON.	ANDREW J.	WISTRI	<u>СН</u> ,	MAGI	STRA	TE JUD	====== GE	===		====	:====
<u>Ysela Benavides</u> Deputy Clerk							Co	urt Repor	ter			
ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:					ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:							

## ORDER REGARDING VEOH'S EX PARTE APPLICATION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER ALLOW IT TO CEASE STORING CANCELLED VIDEO FILES

The ex parte application is denied without prejudice to its renewal after compliance with Local Rule 37. This is not an emergency. See Mission Power Eng'q Co. v. Continental Cas. Co., 883 F. Supp. 488 (C.D. Cal. 1995). Although the Court has perhaps been more liberal than it should have been in tolerating Veoh's use of the ex parte application mechanism, Veoh has overused it to the point that it is unduly burdening both the Court and UMG's counsel. Therefore, from this point forward, Veoh is forbidden from filing parte applications regarding discovery disputes without obtaining the prior telephonic permission of the Clerk.

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

cc: Parties

MINUTES FORM 11 CIVIL-GEN

Initials of Deputy Clerk