

1	2.	Medtronic MiniMed's Third Cause of Action for Conversion
2		The jury found that Medtronic MiniMed had not proved by a preponderance of the
3		evidence its conversion claim against Nova.
4	3.	Medtronic MiniMed's Fifth Cause of Action for Breach of Contract
5		The jury found that Medtronic MiniMed had not proved by a preponderance of the
6		evidence its breach of contract claim against Nova.
7	4.	Medtronic MiniMed's Sixth Cause of Action for Intentional Interference with
8		Prospective Economic Advantage
9		The jury found that Medtronic MiniMed had not proved by a preponderance of the
10		$evidence\ its\ claim\ for\ intentional\ interference\ with\ prospective\ economic\ advantage.$
11	5.	Medtronic MiniMed's Claim for Damages
12		Since the jury found that Medtronic MiniMed had not proved any of its claims by the
13		appropriate standard, damages are neither assessed nor awarded.
14	6.	Entry of Final Judgment is Appropriate
15		As there are no further proceedings available against Nova with respect to the
16		claims adjudicated at trial, nor do any claims or counterclaims set forth in the
17		pleadings remain between Medtronic MiniMed and Nova, this judgment possess the
18		requisite degree of finality. Therefore, the Court finds that there is no just reason for
19		delay in entering final judgment on Medtronic MiniMed's claims against Nova.
20	Accordingly, it is hereby ordered that judggment is entered in favor of Nova Biomedical	
21	Corporation, a Massachusetts corporation and against Medtronic MiniMed, Inc., a Delaware	
22	corporation and MiniMed Distribution, a Delaware corporation.	
23	IT IS	SO ORDERED.
24		
25	Dated: Octo	oer 13, 2009.
26		5. Jame Oten
27		
28		S. JAMES OTERO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE