1		0
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	CENTRAL DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	TOM KAGY,) CASE NO. CV 08-02167 VBF (RZx)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
13	vs.)
14	RICHARD BARNES, ET AL.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		- /
17	On October 5, 2008, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel as to Defendants	
18	Hemela, Davidson, Thomas and Agusto. Plaintiff submitted a joint stipulation, but the	
19	stipulation contained no argument from the defendants. It appears to the Court that those	
20	defendants were provided with the proposed Joint Stipulation, in accordance with an	
21	agreement, in sufficient time for Defendants to provide their portion of the argument.	
22	Defendants did not do so. In fact, Defenda	nts filed nothing in response to the motion from
23	Plaintiff.	
24	Accordingly, Defendants Her	mela, Davidson, Thomas and Agusto shall show
25	cause why they should not be sanctioned for failing to comply with Local Rule 37	
26	concerning the resolution of discovery disputes. Defendants shall submit any declarations	
27	///	
28	///	

1	or other response in writing not later than November 3, 2008. Thereafter the matter shall
2	stand submitted.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	
5	DATED: October 28, 2008
6	
7	Rall Zarefolix
8	RALPHZAREFSKY
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	