Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation et al v. Cox et al

Doc. 21

ORDERS that based on the parties' stipulation and only as to Defendant, his successors, heirs, and assignees, this Injunction shall be and is hereby entered in the within action as follows:

- 1) This Court has jurisdiction over the parties to this action and over the subject matter hereof pursuant to 17 U.S.C. § 101 *et seq.*, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338. Service of process was properly made against Defendant.
- 2) Plaintiffs claim that they own or control the pertinent rights in and to the copyright registrations listed in Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference (The copyrights identified in Exhibit A are collectively referred to herein as "Plaintiffs' Properties").
- 3) Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendant has made unauthorized uses of Plaintiffs' Properties or substantially similar likenesses or colorable imitations thereof.
- 4) Defendant and his agents, servants, employees and all persons in active concert and participation with him who receive actual notice of the Injunction are hereby restrained and enjoined from:
  - a) Infringing Plaintiffs' Properties, either directly or contributorily, in any manner, including generally, but not limited to manufacturing, importing, reproducing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or offering for sale any unauthorized product which features any of Plaintiffs' Properties ("Unauthorized Products"), and, specifically from:
    - i) Importing, manufacturing, reproducing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or offering for sale the Unauthorized Products or any other unauthorized products which picture, reproduce, copy or use the likenesses of or bear a substantial similarity to any of Plaintiffs' Properties;
    - ii) Importing, manufacturing, reproducing, distributing, advertising, selling and/or offering for sale in connection thereto any unauthorized

promotional materials, labels, packaging or containers which picture, reproduce, copy or use the likenesses of or bear a confusing similarity to any of Plaintiffs' Properties;

- iii) Engaging in any conduct that tends falsely to represent that, or is likely to confuse, mislead or deceive purchasers, Defendant's customers and/or members of the public to believe, the actions of Defendant, the products sold by Defendant, or Defendant himself is connected with Plaintiffs, is sponsored, approved or licensed by Plaintiffs, or is affiliated with Plaintiffs;
- iv) Affixing, applying, annexing or using in connection with the importation, manufacture, reproduction, distribution, advertising, sale and/or offer for sale or other use of any goods or services, a false description or representation, including words or other symbols, tending to falsely describe or represent such goods as being those of Plaintiffs.
- 5) Each side shall bear its own fees and costs of suit.
- 6) Except as provided herein, all claims alleged in the Complaint are dismissed with prejudice.
- 7) This Injunction shall be deemed to have been served upon Defendant at the time of its execution by the Court.
- 8) The Court finds there is no just reason for delay in entering this Injunction and, pursuant to Rule 54(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court directs immediate entry of this Injunction against Defendant.
- 9) The Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action to entertain such further proceedings and to enter such further orders as may be necessary or appropriate to implement and enforce the provisions of this Injunction.
- 10) The above-captioned action, shall, upon filing by Plaintiffs of the Settlement Agreement, Stipulation for Entry of Judgment and Judgment Pursuant to Stipulation,

1	and requesting entry of judgment against Defendant, be reopened should Defendant			
2	default under the terms of the Settlement Agreement.			
3	11) This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Defendant for the purpose of			
4	making further orders necessary or proper for the construction or modification of this			
5	consent decree and judgment; the enforcement hereof; the punishment of any			
6	violations hereof; and for the possible entry of a further Judgment Pursuant to			
7	Stipulation in this action.	_	^	
8 9	DATED: February 10, 2009	).	Jame	Otens
10		Judg	S. James Oter e, United State pe Central Dist	o s District Court rict of California
11	PRESENTED BY:	101 ti	ic Central Dist	net of Camorina
12	J. Andrew Coombs, A Prof. Corp.			
13	3. Andrew Coomos, Al Fron. Corp.			
14	By:			
15	Nicole L. Drey Attorneys for Plaintiffs Twentieth			
16	Nicole L. Drey Attorneys for Plaintiffs Twentieth Century Fox Film Corporation and Warner Bros. Entertainment Inc.			
17				
18	Craig Raiford			
19	By:			
20	Craig Raiford Defendant, in pro se			
21	, 1			
22 23				
24				
	11			