

1 ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
 HOWARD D. RUSSELL, Deputy City Attorney  
 2 State Bar No. 163595  
 333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
 3 Long Beach, California 90802-4664  
 Telephone: (562) 570-2200  
 4 Facsimile: (562) 436-1579  
 e-mail: *Howard.Russell@longbeach.gov*  
 5

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
 CITY OF LONG BEACH  
 7 OFFICER KRIS NELSON  
 OFFICER JESSE VALADEZ  
 8 OFFICER JACINTO PONCE  
 OFFICER ABEL MORALES  
 9

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 11 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

12 TERRENCE WILSON,  
 13  
 Plaintiff,  
 14  
 vs.  
 15 CITY OF LONG BEACH, OFFICER  
 16 KRIS NELSON, OFFICER JESSE  
 VALADEZ, OFFICER JACINTO  
 17 PONCE, OFFICER ABEL MORALES,  
 and DOES 1 through 10, Inclusive,  
 18  
 Defendants.  
 19

Case No.: CV08-05194 AHM (AGR<sub>x</sub>)

Honorable A. Howard Matz, Judge

**PROTECTIVE ORDER**

Trial: January 26, 2010

21 **I. INTRODUCTION**

22 This case involves claims that Long Beach Police Officers Kris Nelson,  
 23 Jesse Valadez, Jacinto Ponce, and Abel Morales used excessive force against  
 24 Terrance Wilson, and that the City of Long Beach is liable under *Monell*.  
 25 Defendants deny the allegations.

26 Plaintiff issued a subpoena duces tecum to the Custodian of Records for  
 27 the Long Beach Police Department; among the items requested was the Police  
 28 Department's administrative investigation of the Wilson incident. Part of that

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
 ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
 333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
 Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1 investigation are the findings and recommendations of the Officer-Involved  
2 Shooting Review Board; those findings and recommendations are privileged peace  
3 officer personnel records, and defendants object to production (not inspection) of the  
4 findings and recommendations without a protective order. Defendants have  
5 produced without objection the remainder of the investigation.

6  
7 II. GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT:

8 Plaintiff has requested certain privileged items. It should be noted that in  
9 response to the request, defendants will produce the following under a Protective  
10 Order:

11 That portion of the Long Beach Police Department’s administrative review of  
12 the officer-involved shooting of Terrance Wilson that contains the findings and  
13 recommendations of the board.

14 Defendants contend that the records identified herein above are confidential  
15 and not subject to disclosures absent a protective order. Specifically, under state  
16 law these records are only subject to disclosures after compliance with Evidence  
17 Code sections 1040, et seq., commonly referred to as a “Pitchess” motion. The  
18 statutory scheme is based on the premise that: “Peace officer personnel records . . .  
19 are confidential and shall not be disclosed by the department or agency that employs  
20 the peace officer in any criminal proceeding except by discovery pursuant to  
21 Sections 1043 and 1046 of the Evidence Code” and Penal Code §832.7(a). A  
22 plaintiff in a civil action would have less of a due process right than a criminal  
23 defendant. As a result, civil discovery statutes are not applicable in obtaining this  
24 information.

25 A police officer, just like any other person, also has a constitutional right of  
26 privacy regarding his or her personnel file under the California Constitution, Article  
27 I, Section 1. *City and County of San Francisco v. Superior Court* (1981) 125  
28 Cal.App.3d 879, 882 [178 Cal.Rptr. 435].

1           Although these rules do not specifically apply to the Federal arena, the  
2 disclosure of the materials requested by the plaintiff without a protective order,  
3 would permit other litigants to obtain confidential information not otherwise  
4 available to them. In essence, the disclosures permit other “users” to circumvent the  
5 detailed statutory requirements in state court; and permit free exchange of  
6 information for pending litigation without conducting discovery in federal court.

7           Defendants respectfully submit that good cause does exist for the  
8 issuance of a Protective Order, and that plaintiff will not be prejudiced in any  
9 manner as a result.

10           III.   PROTECTIVE ORDER

11           The stipulated points for the Protective Order are stated as follows:

12           1. Attorneys for the parties shall receive that portion of the Long Beach  
13 Police Department’s administrative review of the officer-involved shooting of  
14 Terrance Wilson that contains the findings and recommendations of the board.

15           2. Attorneys for the parties shall personally secure and maintain said copies  
16 in their possession to the end that said copies are to be used only for the purposes set  
17 forth below and for no other purpose.

18           3. Copies of the records shall only be used for preparing for and prosecuting  
19 or defending this case pending the completion of the judicial process including  
20 appeal, if any.

21           4. Copies of the records may be used at time of trial only if so ordered by the  
22 court. Additionally, reference to the content of any records, or the existence of any  
23 such record shall only be made after so ordered by the court.

24           5. If necessary in the judgment of the attorneys for the parties in this case,  
25 they may show or reveal the contents of the copies to their employees or agents, if  
26 the same may actively assist in the prosecution or defense of this case.

27           6. Any depositions, during which the contents of copies of the records are  
28 part of the testimony or copies of the records are attached as exhibits, shall be

1 sealed.

2 7. After completion of the judicial process in this case, attorneys for the  
3 parties shall return the above-referenced records to the Office of the City Attorney  
4 as attorney for the Custodian of Records for the Long Beach Police Department and  
5 shall retain no copy of such material in any form.

6 8. Attorneys for the parties shall cause the substance of this Order to be  
7 communicated to each person to whom the information is revealed in accordance  
8 with this Order.

9 9. The attorneys for the parties shall not cause or knowingly permit  
10 disclosure of the contents of the copies beyond the disclosure permitted under the  
11 terms and conditions of this Order, including but not limited to any news media  
12 which is inclusive of film or video, television, radio or print.

13 ///

14 ///

15 ///

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

OFFICE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY  
ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 11th Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802-4664

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The Court retains jurisdiction to modify this Protective Order and to make further Orders with respect to control and use of the information delivered to the attorneys for the parties pursuant to this Order, including Orders as to the ultimate disposition of said copies while the judicial process is pending.

DATED: January 27, 2010


ROBERT E. SHANNON, City Attorney

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
HOWARD D. RUSSELL  
Deputy City Attorney  
Attorneys for Defendants  
CITY OF LONG BEACH  
OFFICER KRIS NELSON  
OFFICER JESSE VALADEZ  
OFFICER JACINTO PONCE  
OFFICER ABEL MORALES

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_, 2009

\_\_\_\_\_  
CAREE ANNETTE HARPER, ESQ.  
Attorney for Plaintiff  
TERRENCE WILSON

IT IS SO ORDERED.



DATED: January 27, 2010

\_\_\_\_\_  
HON. A. HOWARD MATZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE