1	Lincoln D. Bandlow (SBN 170449) LATHROP & GAGE LLP		
2	11880 Century Park East Suite 1004		
3	Los Angeles, California 90067-2627 Telephone: (310) 789-4600 Fax: (310) 789-4601		
4	Email: lbandlow@lathropgage.com		
5	Attorneys for Defendant JOHN MCCAIN		
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9	WESTERN	DIVISION	
10	JACKSON BROWNE, an individual	CASE NO. CV08-05334 RGK (Ex)	
11	Plaintiff,		
12	VS.	ANSWER BY DEFENDANT JOHN MCCAIN	
13	JOHN MCCAIN, an individual; THE		
14	REPUBLICAN NATIONAL		
15	COMMITTEE, a non-profit political organization; THE OHIO REPUBLICAN PARTY; a non-profit political		
16	organization,		
17	Defendants.		
18			
19			
20	Defendant IOHN MCCAIN ("Defen	dant") for himself and for no other	
21	Defendant JOHN MCCAIN ("Defendant"), for himself and for no other defendants, hereby responds to the Complaint for (1) Convright Infringement:		
22	defendants, hereby responds to the Complaint for (1) Copyright Infringement; (2) Vicarious Copyright Infringement: (3) Violation of Lanham Act 81125(a); and		
23	(2) Vicarious Copyright Infringement; (3) Violation of Lanham Act §1125(a); and (4) Violation of California Common Law Right of Publicity, by Plaintiff LACKSON		
24	(4) Violation of California Common Law Right of Publicity, by Plaintiff JACKSON BROWNE ("Plaintiff") as follows. The paragraph numbers below correspond to the		
25	paragraphs in the Complaint.	rugruph humbers below correspond to the	
26			
27			
28			

INTRODUCTION

1. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each allegation in the first four sentences of the first paragraph of the "Introduction" of the Complaint. Defendant denies the allegations in the last sentence of Paragraph 1 of the Complaint.

2. Defendant denies the allegations of the first two sentences of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, except Defendant admits that the content of the political video that is the subject of this case speaks for itself. Defendant denies the allegations of the third sentence of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint. As to the fourth sentence of Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, Defendant admits that he did not seek or receive a license from Plaintiff but Defendant denies that Defendant was required to do so and Defendant specifically denies having had any involvement in the creation or distribution of the political video or having had any knowledge of the political video until after this lawsuit was filed.

3. Defendant denies each allegation of Paragraph 3 of the Complaint.

PARTIES

4. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information the allegation in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint.

5. Defendant admits that he is a United States Senator and is a citizen of the State of Arizona.

6. Defendant admits that the Republican National Committee ("RNC") is a non-profit political organization headquartered in the District of Columbia.

7. Defendant admits each allegation in Paragraph 7 of the Complaint.

8. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 8 of the Complaint.

9. Defendant admits that Plaintiff refers to McCain, the RNC and the Ohoo
Republican Party ("ORP") collectively as "Defendants" in the Complaint.

3

1	
2	10. De
3	Copyright Act a
4	any such purpor
5	over this matter
6	supplemental ar
7	11. De
8	this matter is pr
9	exceeds \$75,00
10	12. De
11	U.S.C. § 1391(t
12	
13	
14	13. De
15	allegation in Par
16	14. De
17	allegation in Par
18	15. De
19	allegation in Par
20	16. De
21	allegation in Par
22	had any involve
23	any knowledge
24	17. De
25	allegation in Par
26	18. De
27	but denies that I

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

10. Defendant admits that Plaintiff purports to state claims for relief under the Copyright Act and Lanham Act and that the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over any such purported claims. Defendant admits that Plaintiff alleges that jurisdiction over this matter is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1338(a), and principles of supplemental and pendant jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

11. Defendant admits that alternatively Plaintiff alleges that jurisdiction over this matter is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1332 but denies that the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.

12. Defendant admits that Plaintiff alleges that venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

STATEMENT OF FACTS

13. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each allegation in Paragraph 13 of the Complaint.

14. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information the
allegation in Paragraph 14 of the Complaint.

15. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each allegation in Paragraph 15 of the Complaint.

16. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each allegation in Paragraph 16 of the Complaint and Defendant specifically denies having had any involvement in the creation or distribution of the political video or having had any knowledge of the political video until after this lawsuit was filed.

17.Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information eachallegation in Paragraph 17 of the Complaint.

18.Defendant admits that he did not seek or receive a license from Plaintiffbut denies that Defendant was required to do so and Defendant specifically denies

3

having had any involvement in the creation or distribution of the political video or
 having had any knowledge of the political video until after this lawsuit was filed.
 Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information the allegation in
 Paragraph 18 of the Complaint that Plaintiff has never allowed the Composition to be
 used in any commercial. Except as expressly admitted herein, Defendant denies each
 and every allegation of Paragraph 18 of the Complaint.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Copyright Infringement – Unauthorized Performance of a Copyrighted Work in an Audiovisual Work 17 U.S.C. § 106(4) – Against All Defendants)

19. Defendant responds to the allegations realleged and incorporated by reference in paragraph 19 of the Complaint in the same manner as set forth hereinabove.

20. Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each allegation in Paragraph 20 of the Complaint.

16 21. Defendant admits that he did not seek or receive a license from Plaintiff
17 but denies that Defendant was required to do so and Defendant specifically denies
18 having had any involvement in the creation or distribution of the political video or
19 having had any knowledge of the political video until after this lawsuit was filed.
20 Defendant specifically denies that he has infringed any copyrights owned by Plaintiff.

21 22. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 22 and specifically denies
22 that he has caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.

23 23. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 23, and specifically denies
24 that Defendant has infringed any copyrights held by Plaintiff and specifically denies
25 that Defendant has caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.

26 24. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 24, and specifically denies
27 that Defendant has willfully infringed any copyrights held by Plaintiff.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1		SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION	
2	<u>(Vicarious Copyright Infringement – Unlawful Performance of Copyrighted</u>		
3	Work in an Audiovisual Work 17 U.S.C. § 106(4) – Against the RNC and		
4		<u>McCain)</u>	
5	25.	Defendant responds to the allegations realleged and incorporated by	
6	reference in paragraph 25 of the Complaint in the same manner as set forth		
7	hereinabove.		
8	26.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 26.	
9	27.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 27.	
10	28.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 28.	
11	29.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 29, and Defendant	
12	specifically denies that he has caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.		
13	30.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 30, and specifically denies	
14	that Defendant has caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.		
15	31.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 31, and specifically denies	
16	that Defendant has willfully infringed any copyrights held by Plaintiff.		
17			
18		THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION	
19	(Federa	al Trademark Infringement – False Association or Endorsement – 15	
20		<u>U.S.C. § 1125(a))</u>	
21	32.	Defendant responds to the allegations realleged and incorporated by	
22	reference in paragraph 32 of the Complaint in the same manner as set forth		
23	hereinabove.		
24	33.	Defendant denies for lack of sufficient knowledge or information each	
25	allegation i	n Paragraph 33 of the Complaint.	
26	34.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 34.	
27	35.	Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 35.	
3		4	

36. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 36, and Defendant specifically denies that Defendant has caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.

37. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 37, and Defendant specifically denies that Defendant caused Plaintiff to suffer any damages.

38. Defendant denies each allegation in Paragraph 38, and Defendant specifically denies that Defendant intentionally and/or willfully injured Plaintiff in any way.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Common Law Right of Publicity)

39. Defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal regarding this Court's denial of Defendant's motion to strike the Fourth Cause of Action under California's Anti-SLAPP statute which automatically stays further proceedings by this Court on the merits of that cause of action. Accordingly, no response to Paragraphs 39 is required or would be appropriate.

40. Defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal regarding this Court's denial of
Defendant's motion to strike the Fourth Cause of Action under California's AntiSLAPP statute which automatically stays further proceedings by this Court on the
merits of that cause of action. Accordingly, no response to Paragraphs 40 is required
or would be appropriate.

41. Defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal regarding this Court's denial of
Defendant's motion to strike the Fourth Cause of Action under California's AntiSLAPP statute which automatically stays further proceedings by this Court on the
merits of that cause of action. Accordingly, no response to Paragraphs 41 is required
or would be appropriate.

26 42. Defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal regarding this Court's denial of
27 Defendant's motion to strike the Fourth Cause of Action under California's Anti-

3

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

SLAPP statute which automatically stays further proceedings by this Court on the
 merits of that cause of action. Accordingly, no response to Paragraphs 39-42 is
 required or would be appropriate.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

26

27

3

43. Defendant has filed a Notice of Appeal regarding this Court's denial of Defendant's motion to strike the Fourth Cause of Action under California's Anti-SLAPP statute which automatically stays further proceedings by this Court on the merits of that cause of action. Accordingly, no response to Paragraphs 43 is required or would be appropriate.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

For his affirmative defenses to the Complaint, Defendant alleges as follows:

First Affirmative Defense

(Failure to State a Claim)

1. The Complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim against Defendant.

Second Affirmative Defense

(Fair Use/Equity)

2. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's copyrighted work, such use is a protected "fair use" and protected by the principles of equity.

Third Affirmative Defense

(First Amendment)

3. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's identity and/or his
copyrighted work, such use is a protected by the First Amendment of the United States
Constitution and Article 1, Section 2 of the California Constitution.

1	Fourth Affirmative Defense	
2	(Transformative Use)	
3	4. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's identity, the use was	
4	transformative and thus protected by the First Amendment of the United States	
5	Constitution and Article 1, Section 2 of the California Constitution.	
6	Fifth Affirmative Defense	
7	(Public Interest)	
8	5. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's identity, such a use is	
9	exempt from liability because it relates to a matter of public interest and concern.	
10	Sixth Affirmative Defense	
11	(Lack of Actual Malice)	
12	6. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's identity, Plaintiff cannot	
13	show by clear and convincing evidence that the use was made with knowledge of	
14	falsity or reckless disregard for the truth.	
15	Seventh Affirmative Defense	
16	(Non-Infringement)	
17	7. Plaintiff's claims are barred because Defendant had no right nor ability to	
18	supervise the allegedly infringing acts, Defendant had no obvious and direct financial	
19	interest in the allegedly infringing acts, and Defendant otherwise took no steps to	
20	foster, promote, entice, or encourage infringement.	
21	Eighth Affirmative Defense	
22	(Licensed Performances)	
23	8. Plaintiff's claims of copyright infringement pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §	
24	106(4) are barred with respect to any public performance of the political video on	
25	television networks, as such performances occurred pursuant to licenses.	
26		
27		
3	7	

1	Ninth Affirmative Defense	
2	(Innocent Infringer – Lack of Willfulness)	
3	9. To the extent that Defendant infringed Plaintiff's copyright, which	
4	Defendant denies, such infringement was innocent and not willful.	
5	Tenth Affirmative Defense	
6	(Invalid Copyrights)	
7	10. Plaintiff lacks valid copyrights in the work he contends was infringed.	
8	Eleventh Affirmative Defense	
9	(De Minimis Use)	
10	11. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's copyrighted work, such	
11	use was de minimis and therefore not subject to liability.	
12	Twelth Affirmative Defense	
13	(No Jury On Equitable Issues)	
14	12. Plaintiff is not entitled to a jury trial on any equitable issues.	
15	Thirteenth Affirmative Defense	
16	(Failure To Mitigate)	
17	13. Plaintiff has failed to mitigate some or all of the damages he claims to	
18	have suffered.	
19	Fourteenth Affirmative Defense	
20	(No Likelihood of Confusion)	
21	14. Consumers are not confused, nor are they likely to be confused, as to the	
22	source of the political video that is the subject of this lawsuit or that Plaintiff is	
23	sponsoring or endorsing the political video.	
24	Fifteenth Affirmative Defense	
25	15. Plaintiff's federal Lanham Act claim is barred, in whole or in part, since	
26	neither Plaintiff's identity and persona nor the Composition has acquired secondary	
27	meaning and do not afford Plaintiff any enforceable trademark.	
3	8	

1	Sixteenth Affirmative Defense		
2	(Not Entitled to Injunction)		
3	16. Plaintiff is not entitled to injunctive relief because any injury is not		
4	irreparable, Plaintiff has an adequate remedy at law and the balance of hardship and		
5	the public interest do not favor injunctive relief.		
6	Seventeenth Affirmative Defense		
7	(Harm Caused by Others)		
8	17. Any injury or harm suffered by Plaintiff was proximately caused by		
9	parties other than Defendant.		
10	Eighteenth Affirmative Defense		
11	(Artistic Relevance)		
12	18. To the extent that there was any use of Plaintiff's identity, such use was		
13	artistically relevant and thus is a protected by the First Amendment of the United		
14	States Constitution.		
15	Nineteeth Affirmative Defense		
16	(Misuse, Waiver and Estoppel)		
17	19. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrines of misuse, waiver and		
18	estoppel.		
19			
20	To the extent that further investigation and discovery reveals additional		
21	affirmative defenses to which Defendant is entitled, Defendant reserves the right to		
22	assert such additional affirmative defenses.		
23			
24	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
25	WHEREFORE, Defendant prays for relief as follows:		
26	1. That the Complaint be dismissed and Plaintiff take nothing herein;		
27			
8	9		

1	2. That Defendant be awarded its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in			
2	defense	defense of the action; and		
3	3.	For such other and	further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.	
4				
5	Dated:	March 10, 2009	LATHROP & GAGE LLP	
6			$\Lambda \Omega$	
7			ву:	
8			Lincoln D. Bandlow	
9			Attorneys for Defendant JOHN MCCAIN	
10			JOHN MCCAIN	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
3			10	