1 2 FILED
CLERK, U.S.D.C. SOUTHERN DIVISION I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS DOCUMENT WAS SERVED BY FIRST CLASS MAIL. POSTAGE PREPAID, TO MELOGUNSEL Petitioner 3 (OR PARTIES) AT THEIR RESPECTIVE MOST RECENT ADDRESS OF RECORD IN THIS ACTION ON THIS DATE. JUL 3 0 **2009** 4 30 DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JOSE LEDESMA. Case No. CV 08-8332-GAF (RNB) 10 Petitioner, ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS, 11 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF UNITED VS. 12 STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 13 MICHAEL A. SMELOSKY, Warden, 14 Respondent. 15 16 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, all the records 18 and files herein, and the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate 19 Judge. On July 10, 2009, petitioner belatedly filed objections to the Report and 20 Recommendation. Having made a de novo determination of those portions of the Report 21 and Recommendation to which belated objections have been made, the Court concurs 22 with and adopts the findings, conclusions and recommendations of the Magistrate Judge. 23 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that Judgment be entered denying the Petition and 24 dismissing this action with prejudice. 25 26 27 28 ITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE