PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff Pom Wonderful is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business in Los Angeles, California.
- 2. On information and belief, defendant Tropicana Products, Inc. ("Tropicana") is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Bradenton, Florida.
- 3. Plaintiff is not aware of the true names and capacities of the defendants identified herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore fictitiously names said defendants. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to allege the true names and capacities of these fictitiously named defendants when their identities are ascertained.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Tropicana and each of the fictitiously named Doe defendants (collectively "Defendants") were in some manner responsible for the acts alleged herein and the harm, losses and damages suffered by Plaintiff as alleged hereinafter. Plaintiff is also informed and believes that, while participating in such acts, each Defendant was the agent, alter ego, conspirator, and aidor and abettor of the other Defendants and was acting in the course and scope of such agency and/or acted with the permission, consent, authorization or ratification of the other Defendants.
- 5. As described further below, Tropicana conducts business and manufactures and/or distributes products in the greater Los Angeles area, and throughout the United States.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This action arises under 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and the statutory law of the State of California. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action

8

6

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 (federal question), 15 U.S.C. § 1121 (Lanham Act claims) and 28 U.S.C. §1367 (supplemental jurisdiction).

Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b) and 7. 1400 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this District.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS

- 8. This action seeks redress for Tropicana's deliberate and unlawful false and misleading representations regarding its "Pure Pomegranate Blueberry" product ("Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product"), which has been packaged, marketed and sold by Tropicana based on the representation that the primary ingredients in the product are pomegranate and blueberry juice, when, in fact, the primary ingredient is pear juice.
- 9. This action also seeks redress for the unfair, unlawful and fraudulent business practices of all Defendants, each of whom have participated in making the false advertising claims relating to Tropicana's Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product in California and nationwide.

POM WONDERFUL

- Plaintiff is the largest grower and distributor of pomegranates and 10. pomegranate juice in the United States.
- Plaintiff produces, markets and sells POM WONDERFUL® brand 11. bottled pomegranate juice, and various pomegranate juice blends including a pomegranate blueberry blend. Pom Wonderful has been bottling, selling and marketing its juice products since 2002.
- When Pom Wonderful began the development and distribution of POM 12. WONDERFUL® juice, it invested millions of dollars in researching the nutritional qualities and health benefits of pomegranate juice, an investment that continues to this day. Pom Wonderful has consulted with world-renowned scientists, including a Nobel laureate, and underwrites major research studies, many of which are

5

8

11

12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

published in well-known and prestigious peer-reviewed scientific and medical journals.

- 13. Scientists have discovered that pomegranate juice has very high levels of unique polyphenols, antioxidants that are particularly effective at neutralizing free radicals, preventing oxidation of LDL cholesterol (the "bad" cholesterol) and plaque build-up in the blood vessels, and preserving nitric oxide, a key chemical in the body for regulating blood flow and maintaining vessel health.
- A key element of Pom Wonderful's marketing campaign has been its 14. concentration on the health benefits associated with pomegranates and pomegranate juice, and its emphasis on the high level of antioxidants contained in POM WONDERFUL® brand juice.
- Through its investment of millions of dollars to research and promote 15. the nutritional qualities and health benefits associated with pomegranate juice, Plaintiff largely created the burgeoning market for genuine pomegranate juice that exists today. POM Wonderful's pomegranate juice has, in only six short years, eclipsed all other products in its market segment of super premium juices to take the #1 spot nationwide in supermarket sales, as well as the #1 spots in the key geographic regions of Los Angeles, Chicago, New York, among many others. POM Wonderful's annual supermarket sales have, incredibly, gone from zero to well over \$70 million in that same period.
- 16. Plaintiff's success has been both good and bad for consumers. On the one hand, consumers have been made aware of the nutritional qualities and health benefits of 100 percent pomegranate juice. On the other hand, unscrupulous competitors have set out to cash in on Plaintiff's success by marketing and selling to consumers products labeled as "pomegranate juice," that in fact contain little or no actual pomegranate juice. Tropicana is one such competitor.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II. TROPICANA AND ITS FALSE ADVERTISING OF ITS PURE POMEGRANATE BLUEBERRY PRODUCT

17. Tropicana markets and sells various bottled juice products under the "Tropicana" brand, and is currently one of Plaintiff's primary competitors in the bottled pomegranate juice market. Tropicana is based in Bradenton, Florida and, Plaintiff is informed and believes, markets, distributes and sells its products, including its Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product, in Los Angeles County and throughout the United States.

18. In or about early 2006, Tropicana introduced a pomegranate blueberry product targeting health-conscious consumers.



19. Like the pomegranate, in recent years the blueberry has become a staple for health conscious consumers because of its high antioxidant capacity. Thus, Tropicana's Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product purports to combine two of nature's most powerful antioxidants into a single product. In fact, the main ingredient in Tropicana's Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product is neither pomegranate nor blueberry juice, but rather, pear juice.

- 20. Notwithstanding that Tropicana's product actually contains little or no pomegranate or blueberry juice, both in advertising and on the bottle itself, Tropicana characterizes this product as "100%" "Pure" "Pomegranate Blueberry" juice, and includes a prominent depiction of a pomegranate among blueberries on the bottle when, in fact, the primary ingredient is actually pear juice. By characterizing this product as "100%" "Pure" "Pomegranate Blueberry," and including the prominent display of a pomegranate and blueberries on the label and packaging, Tropicana has confused and misled consumers, who reasonably expect that the primary ingredients are pomegranate and blueberry juice. Attached as "Exhibit A" are true and correct copies of photographs of Tropicana's Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product.
- 21. In addition to the claims on the product itself, Tropicana also maintains a website at www.tropicana.com that advertises and markets Tropicana's product as "100%" "Pure" "Pomegranate Blueberry" juice. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Tropicana has engaged in other forms of marketing and advertising of its Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product targeting consumers throughout the United States.
- 22. Purchasers of Tropicana's product are likely to be misled and deceived by Tropicana's product labeling, marketing and advertising. By the name of the product, and by the label that prominently features a depiction of a pomegranate and blueberries, one would expect that the primary ingredients in Tropicana's Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product are pomegranate and blueberry juice. However, the primary ingredient is pear juice. Blueberry ranks last among the five juices in Tropicana's product.
- 23. Tropicana's false and misleading advertising of its Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product is damaging to the reputation and goodwill of Plaintiff and is damaging to the consuming public. These false and misleading representations are designed to entice consumers to purchase Tropicana's product over Plaintiff's products. Specifically, Tropicana's false and misleading representations regarding

the primary ingredients of its product imply that its product is of the same composition and quality of actual pomegranate blueberry juices such as Plaintiff's pomegranate blueberry juice, when in fact Tropicana has substituted much of the valuable and beneficial substance of pure pomegranate and blueberry juice with economically and nutritionally inferior juices such as pear, apple and grape. Tropicana's suggestive marketing, which includes a depiction of a pomegranate and blueberries on the label, on the packaging, and in connection with advertising, leads consumers to believe that the Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product is an antioxidant-rich juice product, containing all of the health benefits associated with Plaintiff's products, when it does not.

- 24. Because the cost to produce Tropicana's product containing economically inferior components is far less than the cost to produce actual pomegranate blueberry juice (*i.e.*, a juice product whose primary ingredients are actually pomegranate and blueberry juice), Tropicana can charge less for its product than competitors, including Plaintiff, while reaping a substantial profit. In this way, notwithstanding that Tropicana's product actually contains little (if any) pomegranate juice, Tropicana entices consumers who would otherwise buy Plaintiff's product to buy its product instead. In doing so, Tropicana wrongfully tricks consumers into thinking that they are getting a product similar to Plaintiff's (*i.e.*, all natural pomegranate blueberry juice with all of its associated health benefits) for a lower price, when in fact they are getting an economically and nutritionally inferior product.
- 25. The natural, probable and foreseeable result of Tropicana's wrongful conduct has been to cause confusion, deception and mistake in the pomegranate blueberry juice market as a whole, to deprive Plaintiff of business and goodwill, and to injure Plaintiff's relationships with existing and prospective customers.
- 26. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Tropicana's wrongful conduct has resulted in increased sales of Tropicana's own Pure Pomegranate Blueberry

Product while hindering the sales of Plaintiff's pomegranate juice products and damaging Plaintiff's goodwill. Plaintiff has sustained and will sustain damages as a result of Tropicana's wrongful conduct.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(False Advertising Under Lanham Act § 43(a), 15 U.S.C. 1125(a)

Against All Defendants)

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 26 above as though fully set forth herein.
- 28. Upon information and belief Defendants have made and distributed, in interstate commerce and in this District, advertisements that contain false or misleading statements of fact regarding their products. These advertisements contain actual misstatements and/or misleading statements and failures to disclose, including, among others, the statement that Defendants' product consists primarily of pomegranate and blueberry juice.
- 29. Upon information and belief, these false statements actually deceive, or have a tendency to deceive, a substantial segment of Plaintiff's customers and potential customers. This deception is material in that it is likely to influence the purchasing decisions of Plaintiff's customers.
- 30. Defendants' false and misleading advertising statements and omissions injure both consumers and Plaintiff.
- 31. Defendants' false and misleading advertising statements and omissions violate the Lanham Act § 43(a), 15 U.S.C. §1125(a).
- 32. Defendants have caused, and will continue to cause, immediate and irreparable injury to Plaintiff, including injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill, for which there is no adequate remedy at law. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction under 15 U.S.C. §1116 restraining Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives and all persons acting in concert with them from

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

engaging in further acts of false advertising, and ordering removal of all Defendants' false advertisements.

- Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from 33. Defendants the damages sustained by Plaintiff as a result of Defendants' acts in violation of Lanham Act § 43(a). Plaintiff is at present unable to ascertain the full extent of the monetary damages it has suffered by reason of Defendants' acts.
- Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117, Plaintiff is further entitled to recover 34. from Defendants the gains, profits and advantages that they have obtained as a result of their acts. Plaintiff is at present unable to ascertain the full amount of the gains, profits and advantages Defendants have obtained by reason of their acts.
- Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1117, Plaintiff is further entitled to recover the costs of this action. Moreover, Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that Defendants' conduct was undertaken willfully and with the intention of causing confusion, mistake or deception, making this an exceptional case entitling Plaintiff to recover additional damages and reasonable attorneys' fees.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(False Advertising Under Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17500 Against All Defendants)

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 35 above as 36. though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have 37. known that their publicly disseminated statements and omissions relating to their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product were false or misleading. Defendants' false advertising statements and omissions injure consumers and Plaintiff. Defendants' false and misleading statements include, among others, that Defendants' product consists primarily of pomegranate and blueberry juice.

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

27 28

- 38. By making such untrue or misleading statements, Defendants have engaged in false advertising in violation of the statutory law of the state of California, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, et seq.
- 39. By reason of Defendants' conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact and has lost money or property.
- 40. Defendants have caused, and will continue to cause, immediate and irreparable injury to Plaintiff, including injury to its business, reputation, and goodwill, for which there is no adequate remedy at law. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining Defendants, their agents, employees, representatives and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in further such acts, and forbidding Defendants from advertising their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product as primarily containing pomegranate and blueberry juice, and from making other false statements in connection with the product.
 - Plaintiff is further entitled to a restitutionary recovery from Defendants. 41.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Statutory Unfair Competition - Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq. Against All Defendants)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates by reference Paragraphs 1 through 41 above as though fully set forth herein.
- Defendants have made, published, disseminated, and circulated false, 43. deceptive, and misleading statements, representations, and advertisements in California misrepresenting the nature, quality, and characteristics of their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product with the intent of selling, distributing, and increasing the consumption of, and interest in, their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product.
- 44. Defendants' conduct as alleged herein constitutes unfair competition in that such acts were and are unlawful, unfair, deceptive and/or fraudulent business

acts or practices in violation of California Business & Professions Code §17200, et seq.

- 45. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact, which losses include damage to Plaintiff's good will with its existing, former and potential customers. Defendants' conduct has also caused damage to consumers.
- 46. These wrongful acts have proximately caused and will continue to cause Plaintiff substantial injury, including loss of customers, dilution of goodwill, confusion of existing and potential customers, and diminution of the value of Plaintiff's products. The harm these wrongful acts will cause to Plaintiff is both imminent and irreparable, and the amount of damage sustained by Plaintiff will be difficult to ascertain if these acts continue. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law.
- 47. Plaintiff is entitled to an injunction restraining Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, and all persons acting in concert with them from engaging in further such unlawful conduct.
 - 48. Plaintiff is further entitled to a restitutionary recovery from Defendants.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For temporary, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief prohibiting Defendants, their agents, or anyone working for, in concert with or on behalf of Defendants from engaging in false or misleading advertising with respect to their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product and/or violating Lanham Act § 43(a), which relief includes but is not limited to removal of all false or misleading advertisements and corrective advertising to remedy the effects of Defendants' false advertising.
- 2. For an order requiring Defendants to correct any erroneous impression persons may have derived concerning the nature, characteristics, or qualities of their

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

22

21

23 | / / / / /

24

25

27

Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product, including without limitation, the placement of corrective advertising and providing written notice to the public.

- 3. That Defendants be adjudged to have violated 15 U.S.C. §1125(a) by unfairly competing against Plaintiff by using false, deceptive or misleading statements of fact that misrepresent the nature, quality and characteristics of their Pure Pomegranate Blueberry Product.
- That Defendants be adjudged to have unlawfully and unfairly competed 4. against Plaintiff under the laws of the State of California, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17200, et seq.
- 5. That Defendants be adjudged to have unfairly competed against Plaintiff by engaging in false or misleading advertising under the laws of the State of California, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code §17500, et seq.
- 6. That Plaintiff be awarded damages Plaintiff has sustained in consequence of Defendants' conduct.
- 7. That Plaintiff be awarded Defendants' profits obtained by Defendant as a consequence of Defendants' conduct.
- That such damages and profits be trebled and awarded to Plaintiff as a 8. result of Defendants' willful, intentional and deliberate acts in Plaintiff violation of Lanham Act § 43(a).
 - 9. That Plaintiff recover its costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 10. That all of Defendants' misleading and deceptive materials and products be destroyed as allowed under 15 U.S.C. §1118.

- 11. That Plaintiffs be granted prejudgment and post judgment interest.
- 12. That Plaintiff have such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 23, 2009

LOEB & LOEB LLP ANDREW S. CLARE MARK D. CAMPBELL DAVID GROSSMAN

By: / /a.w. Compbell

Attorneys for Plaintiff POM WONDERFUL LLC

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues properly triable by jury.

Dated: January 23, 2009

LOEB & LOEB LLP ANDREW S. CLARE MARK D. CAMPBELL **DAVID GROSSMAN**

Attorneys for Plaintiff

POM WONDERFUL LLC

EXHIBIT A



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Dale S. Fischer and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Carolyn Turchin.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV09- 566 DSF (CTx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

NOTICE TO COLINSEL
=======================================
All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[X]	Western Division					
	312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8					
	Los Angeles, CA 90012					

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

MARK D. CAMPBELL (SBN 18⁽⁻⁾8) ORIGINA mcampbell@loeb.com LOEB & LOEB LLP 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310-282-2000 Facsimile: 310-282-2200 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CASE NUMBER POM WONDERFUL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company PLAINTIFF(S) CV09 00566 DSF (CT) V. TROPICANA PRODUCTS, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-10, inclusive **SUMMONS** DEFENDANT(S). TO:DEFENDANT(S): TROPICANA PRODUCTS, INC. and DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE A lawsuit has been filed against you. Within 20 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it), you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached omplaint amended complaint counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Mark D. Campbell, Loeb & Loeb, LLP, whose address is 10100 Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 2200, Los Angeles, CA 90067. If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court. Clerk, U.S. District Court Dated: January 23, 2009

(Seal of the Court)

Deputy Clerk

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

UNITED STATES STRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT CIVIL COVER SHEET

CALIFORN	





						Appl Mark
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box POM WONDERFUL	DEFENDANTS TROPICANA PRODUCTS, INC. and DOES 1-10, inclusive					
yourself, provide same.) Mark D. Campbell (S LOEB & LOEB LLP	Boulevard, Suite 2200	you are representing	Attorneys (If Knov	vn)		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	(Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCI X in one box for pla		IES - For Diversity Cases e for defendant.)	Only
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party	Citizen of Thi	s State	PTF ☐ 1		
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)		other State oject of a Foreign Cou	☐ 2 antry ☐ 3	of Business in Ar	Principal Place 5 5 5 nother State 6 6 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) 1 Original 2 Removed from 3 Remanded from 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from another district (specify): 6 Multi- 7 Appeal to District 5 Distr						
	the U. S. Civil Statute under which livertising under the Lanhar an X in one box only.)		vrite a brief statement	of cause. Do	o not cite jurisdictional sta	atutes unless diversity.)
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT	TORTS	TORT		PRISONER	LABOR
400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Info. Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loan (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 462 Naturalization Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corpu Alien Detaine ☐ 465 Other Immigra	ROPER 370 Other I 371 Truth i 380 Other I Proper 385 Propert Produc BANKRU 22 Appeal 158 e 423 Withdr USC 1 CIVIL Rice Ility 441 Voting 442 Employ 444 Welfare USC 1 444 Welfare USC 1 445 Americ Disabil Employ 446 Americ Disabil Other 440 Other C Rights	raud n Lending Personal ty Damage ty Damage tt Liability PTCY 28 USC awal 28 57 GHTS yment g/Acco- ations an with lities — yment an with ities —	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus/ Other 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition FORFEITURE / PENALTY 610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 630 Liquor Laws 640 R.R.& Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety /Health 690 Other	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act ☐ PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY
		Actions	0= 1 1	[_		<u> </u>
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:						

UNITED STATE: STRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Has	-	eviously filed in this court an	d dismissed, remanded or closed? 🛛 No 🗌 Yes				
	any cases been pre-	viously filed in this court that	are related to the present case? 🛛 No 🗌 Yes				
Civil cases are deemed related if a Check all boxes that apply) A. B. C.	previously filed ca Arise from the sam Call for determinat For other reasons w	se and the present case: te or closely related transaction tion of the same or substantial yould entail substantial duplic					
X. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	necessary.)				
a) List the County in this District; Check here if the government, i	California County of the county of the country of t	outside of this District; State in overs is a named plaintiff. If	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County							
			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
			Florida				
c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation c			if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. ved.				
County in this District:*		: 	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
Los Angeles County							
Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			San Luis Obispo Counties				
K. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (C	OR PRO PER): 🖊	/ fortilas	Date January 23, 2009				
	M	ARK D. CAMPBELL					
or other papers as required by lav	v. This form, approv	ed by the Judicial Conference	nation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3 -1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Cey to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security					

864

865

SSID

RSI

U.S.C. (g))

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security Act, as amended.

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42